

Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report

Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003

July 2005, NCJ 210333

Sexual Violence Reported by Correctional Authorities, 2004

By Allen J. Beck, Ph.D. and Timothy A. Hughes BJS Statisticians

On September 4, 2003, President George W. Bush signed into law the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (P.L. 108-79). The legislation requires the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) to develop new national data collections on the incidence and prevalence of sexual violence within correctional facilities. This report fulfills the requirement under Sec. 4 (c)(1) of the act for submission of an annual report on the activities of the Bureau with respect to prison rape.

As an initial step in a multiphase implementation strategy, BJS completed the first-ever national survey of administrative records on sexual violence in adult and juvenile correctional facilities. Although data are limited to incidents reported to correctional authorities during 2004, the survey provides an understanding of how administrators respond to sexual violence. The survey also collects basic counts of substantiated incidents, characteristics of victims and perpetrators, and sanctions imposed.

Survey results should not be used to rank systems or facilities. Future data collections, including victim reports of sexual violence in surveys of current and former inmates, are being developed to permit reliable comparisons.

Highlights

Survey selected more than 2,700 correctional facilities holding 79% of all adults and juveniles in custody

	Number of facilities	Population covered
Total	2,730	1,754,092
Prison systems	1,404	1,318,616
Local jails	404	331,768
Private prisons/jails	32	31,086
State juvenile		
systems*	510	41,196
Local/private		
juvenile facilities	297	21,739
Other facilities	83	9,705
*Includes the Distric	t of Columbi	a.

- The survey met the requirement that BJS draw a random sample, or other scientifically appropriate sample, of not less than 10 percent of facilities.
- Entire systems were selected to maximize reporting coverage. Local and private facilities were selected with probabilities proportionate to the number of adults or juveniles held.

8,210 allegations of sexual violence reported Nationwide in 2004

	Reported in survey	National estimate
Total	5,528	8,210
Prison systems	3,456	3,456
Local jails	699	1,700
Private prisons/jails State juvenile	67	210
systems Local/private	931	931
juvenile facilities	359	1,890
Other facilities	16	20

- 42% of allegations involved staff sexual misconduct; 37%, inmate-oninmate nonconsensual sexual acts; 11%, staff sexual harassment; and 10%, abusive sexual contact.
- Correctional authorities reported 3.15 allegations of sexual violence per 1,000 inmates held in 2004.

Correctional authorities substantiated nearly 2,100 incidents of sexual violence, 30% of completed investigations

		Hate per
	Number	1,000 inmate
National estimate	2,090	0.94
Total reported	1,213	0.69
Prisons - Federal	47	0.31
Prisons - State	611	0.52
Local jails	210	0.63
Private prisons/jails State juvenile	17	0.55
systems Local/private	212	5.15
juvenile facilities Other facilities	108 8	4.97 0.82

- Males comprised 90% of victims and perpetrators of inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual acts in prison and jail.
 - In State prisons 69% of victims of staff sexual misconduct were male, while 67% of perpetrators were female.
 - In local jails 70% of victims of staff sexual misconduct were female; 65% of perpetrators, male.

BJS conducts the first annual administrative records collection

Between January 1 and June 15, 2005, BJS completed the first national survey of the incidence and prevalence of sexual violence in correctional facilities. The Governments Division of the U.S. Census Bureau was the data collection agent for the survey. The survey was conducted to provide information on occurrences of sexual violence based on allegations brought to the attention of correctional authorities. Although the results are limited to incidents reported to officials and officially recorded during 2004, the survey provides an understanding of what officials know, how many allegations were reported, how many were substantiated, basic

characteristics of victims and perpetrators, and sanctions imposed on perpetrators.

Administrative records alone cannot provide reliable estimates of sexual violence. Due to fear of reprisal from perpetrators, a code of silence among inmates, personal embarrassment, and lack of trust in staff, victims are often reluctant to report incidents to correctional authorities. At present there are no reliable estimates of the extent of unreported sexual victimization among prison and jail inmates and youth held in residential facilities.

BJS is developing and testing methods for more fully measuring the incidence of sexual violence in correctional

facilities. The methods will rely on selfadministered surveys to provide anonymity to victims when reporting their experiences. At the same time, computer-assisted technologies will ensure uniform conditions under which inmates complete the survey, and sampling techniques and supplemental data collections will reduce potential biases. (See box below for an update of these activities.)

The 2004 administrative survey provides the basis for the annual statistical review, as required under the act. Though limited to basic counts, these survey data will be used by the Review Panel on Prison Rape within the Department of Justice for purposes of conducting public hearings concerning

National implementation of victim self reports to begin in 2006

BJS works toward full implementation of the Prison Rape Elimination Act. Since January 2004 BJS has entered into cooperative agreements with -

- 1. RTI International (Raleigh, NC) to develop and test the adult prison and jail collection methodologies
- 2. Westat, Inc. (Rockville, MD) to develop and test methodologies for measuring sexual violence in State and local juvenile facilities
- 3. National Opinion Research Center (NORC) (Chicago, IL) to develop and test methods of collecting data from soon-to-be released and former prisoners.

Though underlying survey methodology and logistical procedures differ with each of the data collection efforts, the measurement strategies will be consistent. The surveys will consist of an Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interview (ACASI) in which respondents interact with a computeradministered questionnaire using a touch-screen and follow audio instructions delivered via headphones. The use of ACASI is expected to overcome many limitations of previous research. (See Data Collections for the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003, June 2004, NCJ 206109.)

As of June 30, 2005, the following work had been completed or was underway:

Prison and jail inmates

- An ACASI guestionnaire for adult inmates had been developed and reviewed by over 40 prison and jail administrators, prisoner rights advocates, and researchers.
- Paper-and-pencil interview (PAPI) forms were under development, including forms translated into the five most frequent foreign languages (in addition to Spanish) spoken by inmates; forms for inmates considered too dangerous for interaction with survey staff; and forms to gather administrative data on all inmates.
- Survey materials and methods had been submitted to the Office and Management and Budget (OMB) and to an Institutional Review Board (IRB) for approval to conduct tests.
- Procedures for selection of prison and jail facilities and for sampling inmates within selected facilities had been developed.
- · A formal pretest in 10 State prisons, 5 Federal prisons, and 10 local jails is planned for October 2005.

Youth in residential placement facilities

- A draft ACASI questionnaire for juveniles had been developed and will be reviewed in a national workshop of stakeholders in September 2005.
- Survey procedures and draft questionnaires have been submitted to an IRB for approval to begin conducting cognitive testing.
- · A formal pretest of collection methods in up to 20 juvenile facilities is planned for November 2005.

Former and soon-to-be-released prisoners

- · An ACASI questionnaire and administrative records form were being developed to survey former inmates under active parole or postcustody supervision.
- Activities were expanded to include the development of methods to survey soon-to-be-released prisoners in community-based facilities.
- · Cognitive testing is planned for September 2005.

National implementation of data collections is scheduled to begin with a 10% sample of prisons and jails in June 2006, and a sample of juvenile facilities in December 2006.

the operation of correctional facilities with the highest and lowest incidence of sexual violence. The number of allegations and substantiated incidents for each system and sampled facility in the survey is provided. (See Appendix tables.)

Survey covers more than 2,700 adult and juvenile correctional facilities

The 2004 survey included all Federal and State prison systems, Stateoperated juvenile facilities, and facilities in the United States operated by the U.S. military (table 1). In addition, a representative sample was drawn of local jails, jails in Indian country, facilities operated by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), privately operated adult prisons and jails, and privately or locally operated juvenile facilities. Altogether, the administrative survey covered 2,730 of the 8,663 facilities specified by the act. These facilities housed more than 1.7 million inmates, or 79% of all adults and juveniles held at midyear 2004.

The survey was based on 11 separate samples corresponding to the different types of facilities covered under the act. (See *Methodology*, page 10.) Each sample was designed in accordance with the requirement that BJS draw a random sample, or other scientifically appropriate sample, of not less than 10% of facilities. Entire systems were selected, when possible, to maximize reporting coverage. Local and private facilities were sampled to insure at least one in each State and with selection probabilities proportionate to the number of adults or juveniles held at the time of the last facility census.

How sexual violence was measured

The definition of "rape" as required under the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 was operationalized by disaggregating sexual violence into two categories of inmate-on-inmate sexual acts and two categories of staff sexual misconduct. The inmate-on-inmate categories reflected uniform definitions formulated by the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, in "Sexual Violence Surveillance: Uniform Definitions and Recommended Data Elements," Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The categories were -

Nonconsensual sexual acts

Contact of any person without his or her consent, or of a person who is unable to consent or refuse; and

- Contact between the penis and the vagina or the penis and the anus including penetration, however slight; or
- Contact between the mouth and the penis, vagina, or anus; or
- Penetration of the anal or genital opening of another person by a hand, finger, or other object.

Abusive sexual contacts

Contact of any person without his or her consent, or of a person who is unable to consent or refuse: and

• Intentional touching, either directly or through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or buttocks of any person.

Definitions of staff sexual misconduct and staff sexual harassment were based on "Training for Investigators of Staff Sexual Misconduct," prepared by the National Institute of Corrections.

Staff sexual misconduct

Any behavior or act of a sexual nature directed toward an inmate by an employee, volunteer, official visitor, or agency representative. Romantic relationships between staff and inmates are included. Consensual or nonconsensual sexual acts include:

- Intentional touching of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or buttocks with the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire; or
- Completed, attempted, threatened, or requested sexual acts; or
- Occurrences of indecent exposure, invasion of privacy, or staff voyeurism for sexual gratification.

Staff sexual harassment

Repeated verbal statements or comments of a sexual nature to an inmate by employee, volunteer, official visitor, or agency representative, including:

- Demeaning references to gender or derogatory comments about body or clothing; or
- Profane or obscene language or gestures.

Table 1. Facilities selected for the Survey of Sexual Violence, 2004

	Number of	Selected in 2004
Facility type	facilities	survey
Total	8,663	2,730
Prisons		
Public - Federal	84	Alla
Public - State	1,320	Alla
Private	264	27
Local jails		
Public	3,318	404
Private	47	5
Juvenile facilities		
Public - State	510	Alla
Public - Local	685	69
Private	2,275	228
Other facilities		
Indian country jails	70	10
Military-operated	59	Alla
ICE-operated ^b	31	14

^aThe 2004 survey included all State prison and juvenile systems, all Federal facilities, and all facilities operated by the U.S. military.

blncludes facilities operated by or exclusively for the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

Two-thirds or more of systems and facilities able to fully report the most serious forms of sexual violence

After consulting with experts in sexual victimization, prison rape researchers, and corrections administrators, BJS developed uniform definitions of sexual violence. (See box on page 3.) Incidents of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence were classified as nonconsensual sexual acts and abusive sexual contacts. Incidents of staff-on-inmate sexual violence were separated into staff sexual misconduct and staff sexual harassment. Incidents varied in seriousness, ranging from the least serious, harassment, to the most serious, rape. For purposes of this report, all such incidents are considered sexual violence.

The most serious forms of sexual violence (inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual acts and staff sexual misconduct) were the most widely reported using survey definitions and reporting rules (table 2).

Correctional authorities in two-thirds of prison systems and more than three-quarters of sampled jails were able to report incidents of nonconsensual acts as defined in the survey. Fewer were able to report data fully on abusive sexual contacts, with 25% of prison systems and 14% of jails including the lesser forms of sexual violence among counts of nonconsensual sexual acts.

Compared to prison and jail authorities, juvenile authorities had a greater capacity to adopt the survey's uniform definitions and reporting rules for youth-on-youth sexual violence. More than 80% of authorities responsible for local and privately operated juvenile facilities were able to report data using the categories provided.

Most prison administrators (35) were able to report data on staff sexual misconduct using survey definitions; 9 were unable to separate sexual harassment from misconduct; 3 could report data on some but not all of the occurrences during the year; 4 could

not report any data. Jail authorities had similar reporting capabilities, with 88% using the survey definitions.

Fewer prison administrators were able to report comparable data on staff sexual harassment. Among prison administrators, 9 were unable to separate harassment from other forms of staff sexual misconduct, and 13 did not have any data on staff sexual harassment.

Variations in the reporting capacities of State juvenile systems and local or private juvenile facilities were similar. Some administrators of State systems were unable to separate staff sexual misconduct from sexual harassment (6) or unable to report any data on sexual harassment (4). Operators of local and private juvenile facilities, which typically house small numbers of youth, had the greatest capacity to report data using survey definitions. Nearly 90% of these facilities reported data on staff misconduct and harassment.

Caution needed when interpreting the 2004 survey results

In completing the 2004 survey, correctional administrators frequently expressed concern about the absence of uniform definitions and differential reporting capabilities. Many indicated a commitment to improving their offender-based information systems and grievance tracking systems to conform to future survey requirements. During 2005 BJS expects to work with administrators to improve reporting, especially those with systems too large for manual searches of paper files.

The absence of uniform reporting and tracking procedures necessitates caution when interpreting the 2004 survey results. The data should not be used to rank systems or facilities. Higher or lower counts may reflect variations in definitions, reporting capacities, and procedures for recording allegations and not differences in the underlying incidence of sexual violence.

Table 2. Reporting capabilities of adult and juvenile correctional authorities to provide data on sexual violence, 2004

Type of sexual violence	Federal and State prison systems	Local jails	State juvenile systems ^a	Local/private juvenile facilities
Total	51	404	50	270
Nonconsensual sexual acts				
Full reporting	34	315	37	221
Partial ^b	4	30	2	13
Includes abusive sexual contacts	13	55	10	30
Unable to report	0	4	1	6
Abusive sexual contacts				
Full reporting	26	343	38	230
Partial ^b	3	0	0	2
Combined with other sexual acts	13	55	10	30
Unable to report	9	6	2	8
Staff sexual misconduct				
Full reporting	35	357	40	240
Partial ^b	3	10	4	12
Includes sexual harassment	9	32	6	14
Unable to report	4	5	0	4
Staff sexual harassment				
Full reporting	27	365	37	252
Partial ^b	2	0	3	0
Combined with staff sexual misconduct	9	32	6	14
Unable to report	13	7	4	4

^aIncludes the District of Columbia and all States, except Arkansas which did not operate any juvenile facilities.

bSee Appendix tables for systems and facilities that reported for only part of the year, some but not all facilities, or only incidents that were completed or substantiated.

More than 5,500 allegations of sexual violence reported in survey

All selected correctional systems and facilities responded except four:

- Navajo Department of Corrections, Window Rock, AZ
- Colorado Boys Ranch, La Junta, CO
- Home Youth Family Program, Wittenberg, WI
- Residential Treatment Center, Yonkers, NY

Reports of sexual violence varied across systems and sampled facilities, with every State prison system except New Hampshire reporting at least one allegation of sexual violence. Among the 404 sampled local jails, 166 (41%) reported an allegation. Among Stateoperated juvenile systems, 46 reported at least one allegation. (Iowa, Montana, South Dakota, and Wyoming were the exceptions.) About a third of sampled local and privately operated juvenile facilities (96) reported at least one allegation.

Combined, the 2004 survey recorded 5,528 allegations of sexual violence. Taking into account weights for sampled facilities, the estimated total number of allegations for the Nation was 8,210. Prison systems reported 42% of all allegations; local or private juvenile facilities, 23%; local jails, 21%; and State juvenile systems, 11%.

	Number of allegations of sexual violence during 2004				
	Reported	National			
	in survey	estimate ^a			
Total	5,528	8,210			
Prison systems	3,456	3,456			
Local jails	699	1,700			
Private prisons and jails	67	210			
State juvenile systems Local/private	931	931			
juvenile facilities Other facilities ^b	359 16	1,890 20			

^aAmong sampled facilities, totals were estimated based on the reported number of allegations times the inverse of the probability of selection, and then summed. blncludes jails in Indian country and facilities operated by ICE and the U.S. military.

Nearly 42% of the reported allegations of sexual violence involved staff sexual misconduct, 37% involved inmate-oninmate nonconsensual sexual acts: 11% staff sexual harassment; and 10% inmate-on-inmate abusive sexual contact.

Expressed in terms of rates, there were 3.15 allegations of sexual violence per 1,000 inmates held in 2004 (table 3). Rates of staff sexual misconduct were the highest with 1.31 allegations per 1,000 inmates, followed by inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual acts, (1.16 allegations per 1,000). Rates of alleged abusive sexual contacts (0.33) and staff sexual harassment (0.36) were the lowest.

Juvenile facilities reported the highest rates of alleged sexual violence

State-operated juvenile facilities, often required by law to record all allegations and report them to State and local law enforcement authorities and child protective services, had the highest rates of alleged staff sexual misconduct (11.34 allegations per 1,000 youth). Local and privately operated juvenile facilities reported 3.22 allegations of staff sexual misconduct per 1,000 youth, nearly 3 times the rate in State prison systems (1.12 per 1,000 inmates) and Federal prisons (1.33).

Because many States have laws specifying that all sexual acts involving youth below certain ages are nonconsensual, rates of alleged nonconsensual sexual acts were high in juvenile correctional facilities. In 2004 there were an estimated 7.31 allegations of youth-onyouth nonconsensual sexual acts per 1,000 youth in local or private juvenile facilities and 6.75 allegations per 1,000 in State juvenile facilities. These rates were more than 6 times the inmate-oninmate rate in State prison systems (1.05 per 1,000) and nearly 7 times the rate in local jails (.97 per 1,000). Only jails in Indian country had a higher rate (10.48); but, due to the small number of reported allegations, the rate is unstable. (See Methodology, page 10.)

Inmate-on-inmate sexual violence										
	Number of	Nonconsen	sual sexual acts	Abusive s	exual contacts	Staff sexu	al misconduct	Staff sexual harassment		
Type of facility	inmates covered	Number of allegations	Rate per 1,000 inmates	Number of allegations	Rate per 1,000 inmates	Number of allegations	Rate per 1,000 inmates	Number of allegations	Rate per 1,000 inmates	
Total	1,754,092	2,027	1.16	579	0.33	2,298	1.31	624	0.36	
Prisons										
Public - Federal	151,650	17	0.11	66	0.44	201	1.33		/	
Public - State	1,166,966	1,229	1.05	221	0.19	1,305	1.12	417	0.36	
Private	27,682	12	0.43	14	0.51	26	0.94	14	0.51	
Local jails										
Public	331,768	322	0.97	65	0.20	225	0.68	87	0.26	
Private	3,386	0	/	0	/	1	0.30	0	/	
Juvenile facilities										
State-operated	41,196	278	6.75	131	3.18	467	11.34	55	1.34	
Local or private	21,739	159	7.31	82	3.77	70	3.22	48	2.21	
Other facilities										
Indian country jails	477	5	10.48	0	/	0	/	0	/	
Military-operated	2,355	3	1.27	0	/	2	0.85	0	/	
ICE-operated	6,873	2	0.29	0	/	1	0.15	3	0.44	

External authorities often involved in investigating allegations

Allegations involving youth in State, local or private facilities are typically investigated by external authorities, such as the State police, sheriff's department/local police, office of inspector general, division of social services, child protective services, and other agencies serving youth. Nearly

80% of local/private juvenile agencies and 64% of State juvenile systems indicated that external authorities had sole or shared responsibility for investigating allegations of youth-on-youth sexual violence (table 4). In contrast, in 21 of the 51 prison systems (41%) and 195 of 404 sampled jails (48%) external authorities had a responsibility for investigating allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence.

Drivete prices

Responsibility for investigating allegations of staff sexual misconduct was left to the prison authorities in 22 systems (43%), jail authorities in 166 local jails (41%), State juvenile authorities in 14 State systems (28%), and local/private juvenile authorities in 69 facilities (26%). In other systems and facilities, allegations were either referred to external authorities or were jointly investigated.

Table 4. Responsibility for investigating allegations of nonconsensual sexual acts and staff sexual misconduct, by type of facility, 2004

	State and Federal prisons	Local jails	State juvenile systems ^a	Local or private juvenile facilities ^b
Total	51	404	50	270
Nonconsensual sexual acts Internal Shared with external authority External authorities only Not reported	29 13 8 1	203 82 113 6	18 10 22 0	56 31 182 1
Staff sexual misconduct Internal Shared with external authority External authorities only Not reported	22 18 11 0	166 95 127 16	14 18 18 0	69 45 156 0

^aIncludes the District of Columbia and all States, except Arkansas.

^bExcludes 20 sampled facilities that were out-scope (not covered under the act).

Table 5. Allegations of sexual violence in State prisons, local jails, and private prisons and jails, 2004

						Private prisons		
	State p	orisons	Local	jails	and ja			
	Number	Percenta	Number	Percenta	Number	Percenta		
Inmate-on-inmate								
nonconsensual sexual acts	1,229	100%	322	100%	12	100%		
Substantiated	152	17.6	73	27.2	0	0.0		
Unsubstantiated	392	45.3	117	43.7	8	66.7		
Unfounded	322	37.2	78	29.1	4	33.3		
Investigation ongoing	355		41		0			
Inmate-on-inmate								
abusive sexual contacts	221	100%	65	100%	14	100%		
Substantiated	57	27.8	22	35.5	2	40.0		
Unsubstantiated	126	61.5	31	50.0	0	0.0		
Unfounded	22	10.7	9	14.5	3	60.0		
Investigation ongoing	16		3		0			
Staff sexual misconduct ^b	1,305	100%	225	100%	27	100%		
Substantiated	321	29.9	81	46.3	13	52.0		
Unsubstantiated	588	54.7	42	24.0	6	24.0		
Unfounded	166	15.4	52	29.7	6	24.0		
Investigation ongoing	230		38		2			
Staff sexual harassment	417	100%	87	100%	14	100%		
Substantiated	81	22.7	34	48.6	2	14.3		
Unsubstantiated	218	61.1	22	31.4	10	71.4		
Unfounded	58	16.2	14	20.0	2	14.3		
Investigation ongoing	60		12		0			

Note: Comparable data for inmate-on-inmate sexual violence in the Federal Bureau of Prisons were not available.

In State prisons fewer than 20% of allegations of nonconsensual sexual acts were substantiated

Allegations reported in 2004 were classified as:

- substantiated, if they were determined to have occurred
- unsubstantiated, if the evidence was insufficient to make a final determination that they occurred
- *unfounded*, if they were determined not to have occurred
- investigation ongoing, if a final determination had not been made at time of data collection.

Overall, inmate-on-inmate allegations of sexual violence were less likely to be substantiated than allegations of staff sexual misconduct. Based on allegations in State prisons for which investigations had been completed, 18% of nonconsensual sexual acts were substantiated, compared to 30% of allegations of staff sexual misconduct (table 5). In jails 27% of completed investigations of nonconsensual sexual acts were substantiated, compared to 46% of the allegations of staff sexual misconduct.

The most common outcome of investigations of sexual violence was a determination of lack of evidence. Nearly 55% of allegations of staff sexual misconduct in prison and 45% of allegations of inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual acts were unsubstantiated. More than a third (37%) of completed investigations of nonconsensual sexual acts in State prison and 29% in local jails were determined to be unfounded.

^aPercents based on allegations for which investigations had been completed.

^bThe Federal Bureau of Prisons reported 201 allegations of staff sexual misconduct and sexual harassment: 11 were substantiated; 96 unsubstantiated; 11 unfounded; 77 investigation ongoing; and 6 disposed of administratively.

In juvenile facilities a third of the alleged nonconsensual sexual acts were substantiated

Based on allegations in State-operated juvenile facilities for which investigations had been completed, 33% of youth-on-youth nonconsensual sexual acts were substantiated, compared to 15% of allegations of staff sexual misconduct (table 6). In local or private juvenile facilities, 33% of completed investigations of nonconsensual sexual acts were substantiated, compared to 17% of the allegations of staff sexual misconduct.

About half of all allegations of nonconsensual sexual acts were determined to be unsubstantiated: 53% in State juvenile facilities; 49% in local/private facilities. More than a third of alleged incidents of staff sexual misconduct in State juvenile facilities were determined to be unfounded (39%); nearly 30% in local/private facilities.

State juvenile authorities reported 212 substantiated incidents of sexual violence, 24% of allegations for which investigations had been completed. Local and private juvenile authorities reported 108 substantiated incidents, 31% of completed investigations. State prison officials reported 611 substantiated incidents (24%); and jail administrators 210 (35% of completed investigations). Federal prison authorities, reporting data only for staff sexual misconduct and harassment, indicated that 11 of the allegations had been substantiated and another 6 disposed of administratively through termination or resignation. As a percentage of completed investigations, 14% of allegations were substantiated or administratively resolved.

Table 6. Allegations of sexual violence in State juvenile systems and local or private juvenile facilities, 2004

• •	_				
	State-or			r private	
	juvenile	facilities	juvenile	facilities	
	Number	Percent*	Number	Percent*	
Youth-on-youth					
nonconsensual sexual acts	278	100%	159	100%	
Substantiated	85	32.7	49	33.3	
Unsubstantiated	137	52.7	72	49.0	
Unfounded	38	14.6	26	17.7	
Investigation ongoing	13		4		
Youth-on-youth					
abusive sexual contacts	131	100%	82	100%	
Substantiated	42	40.4	42	51.2	
Unsubstantiated	49	47.1	34	41.5	
Unfounded	13	12.5	6	7.3	
Investigation ongoing	3		1		
Staff sexual misconduct	467	100%	70	100%	
Substantiated	69	15.4	11	17.2	
Unsubstantiated	204	45.5	34	53.1	
Unfounded	175	39.1	19	29.7	
Investigation ongoing	20		6		
Staff sexual harassment	55	100%	48	100%	
Substantiated	16	30.8	6	13.0	
Unsubstantiated	27	51.9	17	37.0	
Unfounded	9	17.3	23	50.0	
Investigation ongoing	3		2		

^{*}Percents based on allegations for which investigations had been completed.

During 2004 correctional authorities substantiated nearly 2,100 incidents of sexual violence

The survey of administrative records recorded 1,213 substantiated incidents of sexual violence. Taking into account sampling of local jails, private prisons or jails, and local/private juvenile facilities, the estimated total for the Nation was 2,090. Relative to the number of inmates, there were 0.94 substantiated incidents of sexual violence per 1,000 inmates reported in 2004.

The rates of substantiated incidents of sexual violence were highest in juvenile facilities. State juvenile administrators reported 5.15 substantiated incidents per 1,000 youth; local and private administrators reported 4.97 per 1,000 youth. These victimization rates were nearly 10 times those reported in State prisons (0.52 substantiated incidents per 1,000 inmates) and 8 times those in local jails (0.63 per 1,000 inmates).

Substantiated incidents of sexual violence, 2004				
Rate per				
Number	1,000 inmates			
2,090	0.94			
1,213	0.69			
47	0.31			
611	0.52			
210	0.63			
17	0.55			
212	5.15			
108	4.97			
8	0.82			
	of sexual Number 2,090 1,213 47 611 210 17 212 108			

^{*}Includes 36 guilty findings of abusive sexual contacts involving cases spanning more than 1 calendar year.

Males comprised 90% of victims and perpetrators of nonconsensual sexual acts in prison and jail

Characteristics of victims and perpetrators of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence generally reflected the overall composition of the adult inmate population. At midyear 2004 males represented 93% of State prisoners and 88% of local inmates. (See *Prison and Jail Inmates at Midyear 2004*, April 2005, NCJ 208801.)

Approximately, 90% of reported victims of inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual acts in State prison were male; 87% of the reported victims in local jails (table 7).

Among victims of abusive sexual contacts, women were over represented compared with the general inmate population. Females comprised 46% of victims of abusive sexual contacts in State prison, and 28% of the victims in local jails.

Juvenile authorities reported that girls comprised 17% of the victims of youth-on-youth nonconsensual sexual acts in State-operated facilities, 28% of the victims in local/private facilities (table 8). Compared to their percentages among youth held in juvenile facilities nationwide, girls were over-represented among victims. In the Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement, conducted by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention in 2001, girls accounted for 11% of the youth in State facilities and 17% of the youth in local and private facilities.

Table 7. Characteristics of victims and perpetrators in substantiated incidents of sexual violence in adult correctional facilities, by type, 2004

	Number of substantiated	Vict	ims	Perpe	trators
Type of incident	incidents	Male	Female	Male	Female
Total	838	567	342	558	341
Nonconsensual sexual acts					
State prisons	152	133	15	145	14
Local jails	73	66	10	70	5
Private jails and prisons	0	0	0	0	0
Abusive sexual contacts					
State prisons	57	37	32	49	17
Local jails	22	28	11	31	7
Private jails and prisons	2	2	0	2	0
Staff sexual misconduct					
State prisons	321	188	86	82	165
Local jails	81	41	96	73	40
Private jails and prisons	13	5	7	6	7
Staff sexual harassment					
State prisons	81	52	39	53	50
Local jails	34	15	45	45	34
Private jails and prisons	2	0	1	2	2

Note: Details on victims and perpetrators were not provided for all substantiated incidents.

Table 8. Characteristics of victims and perpetrators in substantiated incidents of sexual violence in juvenile correctional facilities, by type, 2004

	Number of substantiated	Vict	ims	Perpe	trators
Type of incident	incidents	Male	Female	Male	Female
Total	320	302	161	256	141
Nonconsensual sexual acts State-operated Local or private facilities	85 49	77 46	16 18	64 34	17 8
Abusive sexual contacts State-operated Local or private facilities	42 42	32 29	42 19	33 30	44 10
Staff sexual misconduct State-operated Local or private facilities	69 11	80 17	36 10	55 14	49 8
Staff sexual harassment State-operated Local or private facilities	16 6	17 4	11 9	20 6	4 1

Note: Details on victims and perpetrators were not provided for all substantiated incidents.

Female staff implicated in staff sexual misconduct in prisons; male staff in local jails

Characteristics of victims and perpetrators of staff sexual misconduct differed among correctional systems and facilities:

- In State prisons 69% of victims of staff sexual misconduct were male, while 67% of perpetrators were female.
- In local jails 70% of victims were female; 65% of perpetrators, male.
- In State-operated juvenile facilities, 69% of victims were male; 47% of perpetrators, female.
- In local/privately operated juvenile facilities, 63% of the victims and 64% of the perpetrators were male.

Perpetrators of staff sexual harassment, involving incidents of demeaning references to gender, derogatory comments about an inmate's body, or use of obscene language, were divided between male (58%) and female (42%) staff.

Overall, correctional authorities reported data on 716 perpetrators of staff sexual misconduct or staff sexual harassment. Of these perpetrators, 50% were female staff.

Table 9. Sanctions imposed on perpetrators of inmate-on-inmate and youth-on-youth sexual violence, by type of correctional facility, 2004

	State pri systems		Local ja	ils	State-ope		Local or p	
Sanction	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	36	100%	42	100%	27	100%	40	100%
Legal sanction	31	86%	32	76%	19	70%	20	50%
Arrested	11	31	10	24	8	30	10	25
Referred for prosecution	30	83	29	69	19	70	16	40
Given new sentence	9	25	6	14	6	22	1	3
Change in custody	32	89%	31	74%	19	70%	26	65%
Solitary confinement or segregation	30	83	30	71	16	59	10	25
Higher custody within same facility	14	39	23	55	11	41	12	30
Transferred to another facility	26	72	5	12	12	44	13	33
Internal discipline	27	75%	25	60%	21	78%	29	73%
Confinement to own cell or quarters	11	31	15	36	15	56	12	30
Loss of good time/increase in "bad" time	21	58	4	10	12	44	9	23
Given extra work	3	8	0	0	2	7	2	5
Loss of privileges	20	56	20	48	20	74	25	63

Note: Data based on correctional systems and facilities that reported one or more

substantiated nonconsensual sexual act or abusive sexual contact involving inmates or youth.

Most prisons and jails imposed legal sanctions on perpetrators of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence

To better understand how correctional authorities respond to incidents of sexual violence, the survey included questions on sanctions imposed on perpetrators. Authorities who had reported at least one substantiated inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual act or abusive sexual contact were asked to report all of the sanctions that had been imposed.

A legal sanction, including arrest, referral for prosecution, or new sentence, was imposed on perpetrators in -

- 86% of the 36 prison systems reporting a substantiated incident
- 76% of the 42 jail facilities
- 70% of the 27 State-operate juvenile systems
- 50% of the 40 local/private juvenile facilities (table 9).

A change in custody was also a frequently reported sanction. Authorities in 89% of State prison systems and 74% of local jails with a substantiated incident reported that perpetrators were moved

to solitary confinement, changed to a higher custody level, or transferred to another facility as a result of sexual violence. A change in custody was imposed on perpetrators in 65% of the local/private juvenile facilities and 70% of State-operated juvenile systems.

In addition, authorities with substantiated incidents during 2004 reported use of other sanctions, including

- · loss of good time in 58% of State prison systems and 44% of Stateoperated juvenile systems
- loss of privileges in 56% of State prisons, 48% of local jails, 74% of State juvenile systems; and 63% of local/private juvenile facilities
- confinement to cell or quarters in 56% of State juvenile systems and 30% of local/private juvenile facilities.

90% of perpetrators of staff sexual misconduct discharged or referred for prosecution

The survey collected data on 539 staff implicated in 508 substantiated incidents of staff sexual misconduct during 2004 (table 10). Correctional

authorities indicated that 55% of the staff had been discharged, 36% referred for prosecution, and 9% disciplined but not discharged. An additional but unknown number of staff had resigned before investigations had been completed.

State adult and juvenile systems reported the largest numbers of staff referred for prosecution. In State prisons, 117 staff in substantiated incidents of sexual misconduct had been referred for prosecution (39%); in State-operated juvenile systems 44 staff (41%). In local jails staff involved in incidents of sexual misconduct were less likely to have been referred for prosecution (18%).

The most common sanction imposed on staff involved in sexual harassment of inmates was discipline but not discharge or prosecution. During 2004, 129 staff were implicated in the 140 reported incidents of staff sexual harassment. Of these staff, 60% were disciplined; 36% discharged; and 4 referred for prosecution.

^{*}Detail sums to more than total, since systems or facilities may impose more than one sanction on perpetrators.

Table 10. Sanctions imposed on perpetrators of staff sexual misconduct and staff sexual harassment, by type of correctional facility, 2004

		Staff sexual i	misconduct			Staff sexual harassment					
	Substantiated incidents	Staff discharged	Staff disciplined	Referred for prosecution	Substantiated incidents	Staff discharged	Staff disciplined	Referred for prosecution			
Total	508	296	50	193	140	47	78	4			
Prisons Public - Federal*	11	0	1	4							
Public - State	321	160	23	117	81	25	43	1			
Private	12	11	0	5	2	0	2	0			
Local jails Public Private	81 1	59 1	17 0	17 1	34 0	8 0	22 0	1 0			
Juvenile facilities State-operated Local or private	69 11	55 10	8 0	44 4	16 6	12 1	7 4	2 0			
Other facilities Indian country jails Military-operated ICE-operated	0 2 0	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 1 0	0 0 1	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0			

⁻⁻ Not reported.

Methodology

Between January 1 and June 15, 2005, BJS, with the Governments Division of the U.S. Census Bureau as its collection agent, conducted the 2004 Survey of Sexual Violence. The survey was the first-ever survey of correctional systems and facilities, designed to measure the number of reported incidents of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence and staff-on-inmate sexual misconduct. Based on administrative records, the 2004 survey was designed to provide an understanding of what corrections officials know, what information is recorded, how allegations and substantiated incidents are handled, where incidents occur and how officials respond to allegations brought to their attention. It was not designed to rank systems or facilities.

Sampling design

The survey was based on 11 separate samples, corresponding to the different facilities covered under the act. Each sample was designed in accordance with the requirement that BJS draw a random sample, or other scientifically appropriate sample, of not less than 10 percent of all Federal, State, and county prisons, and a representative sample of municipal prisons.

The following samples were drawn:

- 1. The survey included all 50 State adult prison systems and the Federal Bureau of Prisons. Prison administrators were directed to report only on incidents of sexual violence that occurred within publicly operated adult facilities.
- 2. A sample of 27 privately operated prison facilities was drawn to represent a 10% sample of the 264 private prisons identified in the 2000 Census of State and Federal Adult Correctional Facilities. Facilities were sorted by region and average daily population and then sampled with probabilities proportionate to size. Each sampled facility was then weighted to provide a national sum reflecting the total average daily population of inmates held in private prisons in the 12-month period ending June 30, 2000.
- 3. Publicly operated jail facilities were selected based on data reported in the 2003 Deaths in Custody collection. This collection provided the most up-to-date measure of jurisdiction size corresponding to the total number of inmates held on December 31, 2002, plus the number admitted in 2003.

Jurisdictions were sorted into 6 strata. based on size, and then sampled systematically, to provide a representative national sample. A total of 77 jurisdictions were sampled with certainty (corresponding to the largest jurisdiction in each State plus 31 jurisdictions selected due to their large size). An additional 327 jurisdictions were selected from 4 strata, with probabilities of selection proportionate to size. Jail administrators were directed to report on all publicly operated facilities within their jurisdiction. Each facility was then weighted to provide a national estimate for inmates held in local iails.

4. A sample of 5 privately operated jails was also elected based on the data reported in the 2003 Deaths in Custody collection. Facilities were sorted by region and size. The measure of size was the number of inmates held on December 31, 2002, plus the number of new admissions in 2003. Facilities were selected systematically using a random start and a fixed sampling interval. Each facility was then weighted to provide a total sum of inmates corresponding to the number of inmates at risk to sexual violence in private jails in 2003.

^{*}Excludes 10 resignations of Federal prison staff.

- 5. The survey included all Stateoperated juvenile correctional facilities in 49 States and the District of Columbia. (Arkansas was the only State that did not operate a juvenile facility.) Based on the 2003 Census of Juveniles in Residential Placement (CJRP), States operated a total of 510 juvenile correctional facilities.
- 6. A separate sample was drawn from the 685 locally operated juvenile facilities identified in the 2003 Census. In meeting the requirement under the act to select a 10% sample, with at least one facility in each State, the largest locally-operated facility in each State was selected (37). An additional 32 facilities were then sampled from among the remaining facilities. Facilities were first sorted by region and facility type (commitment and non-commitment) and then ordered by size (the number of youth with assigned beds on the day of the Census). Facilities were then selected with probabilities proportionate to size.
- 7. A separate sample was drawn from the 2,275 privately operated juvenile facilities also identified in the 2003 Census. At total of 57 facilities were selected with certainty, corresponding to the largest facility in each State (51), and 6 other large facilities. An additional 171 facilities were selected from the remaining 2,218 facilities by forming 8 strata (based on region and facility type). Within each stratum, facilities were sorted by size (total youth with assigned beds) and then sampled with probabilities proportionate to size.
- 8. Three additional samples of other correctional facilities were drawn to represent a) jails in Indian country (10 selected from a total 70 based on probabilities proportionate to size); b) military-operated facilities (all of the 59 facilities operated the Armed Services in the continental U.S.); and c) 14 facilities operated by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (excluding contract facilities holding inmates exclusively for ICE).

The Bureau of Justice Statistics is the statistical agency of the U.S. Department of Justice. Lawrence A. Greenfeld is director.

Allen J. Beck and Timothy A. Hughes wrote this report. Paige M. Harrison provided statistical assistance. Lauren E. Glaze and Thomas P. Bonczar verified the report, and Tom Hester edited it.

Timothy A. Hughes and Paige M. Harrison, under the supervision of Allen J. Beck, designed the survey, developed the questionnaires, and monitored data collection and data processing.

Pamela H. Butler, Lisa A. McNelis, Greta B. Clark, and Monica R. Hill, carried out data collection and processing, under the supervision of Charlene M. Sebold, Governments Division, Census Bureau, U.S. Department of Commerce. Arthur W. Ciampa, Regina M. Yates, Patricia D. Torreyson, and Pearl E. Chase assisted in data collection. Suzanne M. Dorinski drew the facility samples and provided sampling weights.

June 2005 NCJ 210333

Office of Justice Programs Partnerships for Safer Communities

http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov

Comparing systems and facilities

Data for each correctional system and sampled facility are displayed in the Appendix tables. (See pages 13 to 39.) In each table a measure of population size has been provided as a basis of comparison. These measures include:

- Custody population on June 30, 2004, for State and Federal prison systems (the most recent counts from the National Prisoners Statistics data
- Average daily population during 2004, for local jails, private jails and prisons, and other adult correctional facilities (collected specially for the survev):
- · Number of youth held on December 31, 2004, for State juvenile systems and local or private juvenile facilities (collected specially for the survey).

These population counts still mask underlying differences in systems and facilities related to the total number of inmates or youth who were at risk to sexual victimization during 2004. Such differences result from variations in length of stay, and further complicate drawing reliable comparisons of systems and facilities.

The 2004 survey results should not be used to rank systems or facilities.

This report in portable document format and in ASCII, its tables, and related statistical data are available at the BJS World Wide Web Internet site:

http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/

The 2004 Survey of Sexual Violence comprised six separate questionnaires corresponding to types of correctional systems and facilities. Copies of the questionnaires in Portable Document Format (pdf) are available on the BJS website. Click on Publications.

Variations in the number of allegations and substantiated incidents may reflect differences in definitions and reporting criteria, as well as variations in procedures for recording allegations and in the thoroughness of subsequent investigations. Nevertheless, the 2004 survey provides an understanding of what officials know and how they respond to incidents brought to their attention.

Future data collections, based on victim reports of sexual violence in surveys of current and former inmates, are being developed to permit reliable comparisons that overcome the limitations of administrative records.

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs *Bureau of Justice Statistics*



PRESORTED STANDARD POSTAGE & FEES PAID DOJ/BJS Permit No. G-91

Washington, DC 20531

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

Keeping current on criminal justice issues

For the most recent list of BJS reports or ordering instructions for printed copies, visit http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs. Download BJS reports at no cost.

To register for the free National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) bimonthly catalog by mail, please call **1-800-851-3420**. The specialist will send you a registration packet.

JUSTSTATS

Get e-mail notification of the latest statistical releases from BJS, the FBI, and the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention through JUSTSTATS. To learn how to subscribe, see http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/juststats.htm

JUSTINFO

For a biweekly electronic newsletter about all the publications, funding opportunities, and other announcements for the Office of Justice Programs, subscribe to JUSTINFO. To learn how to subscribe, see http://virlib.ncjrs.org/JUSTINFO.asp

Appendix table 1a. Allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence reported by State or Federal prison authorities, by type, 2004

	Prisoners	non	consensua	te-on-inmate I sexual acts		Reported inmate-on-inmate abusive sexual contacts						
	in custody, 6/30/2004ª	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded			
Total	1,318,616	1,246	152	392	322	287	93	126	22			
Federal ^b State	151,650 1,166,966	17 1,229	0 152	392	 322	66 221	36 57	126	22			
Alabamac	24,768	6	2	2	1							
Alaska	3,158	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Arizona	26,833	18	4	12	2	1	0	1	0			
Arkansas	12,655	4	1	0	3	4	0	1	3			
California ^{c,d}	160,703	23	23	0	0							
Coloradoe	16,609	5	3	1	1							
Connecticut	18,814	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	0			
Delaware	6,778	3	0	2	1	2	0	2	0			
Florida ^c	77,647	75 54	2	56	4							
Georgia ^g	44,026	51	0	16	4							
Hawaii	3,877	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0			
daho ^{f,g}	4,621	3	3									
Illinois	44,379	17	1	12	2	3	0	2	1			
ndiana ^c	21,236	18	0	16	1							
owa ^f	8,611	4	4			10	10					
Kansas	9,181	21	2	8	11	12	6	5	1			
Kentucky	10,814	7	2	4	1	3	0	2	1			
ouisiana ^h	16,672	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0			
Maine	1,986	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
∕laryland°	23,622	3	1	2	0							
Massachusetts (10,043	12	2	5	5	23	7	11	5			
∕lichigan ^{c,i}	48,111	39	17	22	0							
Minnesota	7,827	13	4	6	2	1	0	1	0			
Mississippi	11,456	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0			
Vissouri	30,139	17	3	11	2	15	1	11	0			
Montana ⁹	2,074	2	1	1	0							
Nebraska	4,053	12	0	11	1	0	0	0	0			
Nevadaº	10,152	15	4	2	6							
New Hampshire	2,426	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
New Jersey ^c	23,752	1	0	1	0							
New Mexico ^{e,i}	3,703	4	1	2	1							
New York	64,778	15	2	11	0	1	0	1	0			
North Carolina ^c	35,219	15	0	7	7							
North Dakota	1,176	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0			
Ohio	42,231	86	14	18	46	32	4	16	9			
Oklahoma	17,727	29	2	17	1	15	1	8	0			
Oregon ^g	12,678	16	5	7	3							
Pennsylvania ^g	39,823	9	3	6	0							
Rhode Island	3,494	9	3	3	3	1	0	1	0			
South Carolina ⁹	23,321	14	1	0	7							
South Dakota	3,157	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0			
Tennessee	14,306	8	2	0	1							
Texas ⁱ	139,148	550	13	78	197	59	2	51	2			
Jtah ^c	4,550	18	2	12	1							
/ermont ^c	1,632	6	1	5	0							
Virginia	29,514	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0			
Washington	16,765	12	4	0	0							
West Virginia	3,987	12	11	0	1	16	15	1	0			
Wisconsin	21,560	31	7	24	0	17	8	9	0			
Wyoming	1,174	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	0			

Note: The total number of allegations includes ongoing investigations (not - - Not reported.

eReports of abusive sexual contacts were based on a broader category of inmate sexual misconduct.

^fAllegations limited to substantiated occurrences only.

⁹Reports of abusive sexual contacts are not in a central database.

^hLouisiana conducted a manual search of records in 2 facilities with a combined capacity of 2,406 beds.

Reports of nonconsensual sexual acts may include reports of other acts of inmate sexual misconduct.

^aExcludes inmates in private facilities. Counts were based on National Prisoner Statistics (NPS-1A), 2004.

^bAllegations were reported for occurrences in 2004; findings may include cases from previous years.

^cNonconsensual sexual acts may include abusive sexual contacts.

^dInformation provided for period January 1 to June 30, 2004, only.

Appendix table 1b. Allegations of staff sexual misconduct with inmates reported by State and Federal prison authorities, by type, 2004

	Re _l	ual miscond	ations of statuct with inm	ff nates	sexu	<u>ıal harassn</u>	ations of stat	ff tes	
	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	
Total	1,506	332	684	177	417	81	218	58	
Federal ^a State	201 1,305	11 321	96 588	11 166	 417	 81	218	 58	
Alabama	5	3	0	2	4	0	1	3	
Alaska	4 54	3	0	0	5	4	0	0	
Arizona Arkansas	5 4 15	19 1	34 3	1 7	32 5	9 0	13 1	0 2	
California	126	75	17	15					
Colorado ^b	20	5	6	8					
Connecticut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Delaware Florida ^c	7 181	1 10	6 143	0 2	5 	4	0	1	
Georgia ^{c,d}									
Hawaii	11	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	
Idaho ^a	17	11	4	1					
Illinois ^c Indiana	2 40	0 17	0 17	2 6	27	8	9	10	
lowa ^e	2	2			5	5			
Kansas	39	13	13	7	1	0	0	1	
Kentucky ^a	42	11	26	5					
Louisianaf	18	0	7	10	6	0	2	3	
Maine Maryland ^c	4 5	3 1	0 1	1 3	2	0	0	0	
Massachusetts ^c	28	2	2	7					
Michigan	23	3	5	11	39	1	23	14	
Minnesota	33	7	13	9	18	13	4	0	
Mississippi Missouri	9 45	1 16	5 21	3 5	1 130	0 25	1 68	0 20	
Montana ^c	3	0	3	0	130	25		20 	
Nebraska	12	4	2	5	4	2	2	0	
Nevadaª	2	0	1	0					
New Hampshire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
New Jersey ^a	9	0	9	0					
New Mexico ^c New York	1 181	0 12	0 125	1 0	99	1	81	0	
North Carolina ^{a,e}	13	13							
North Dakota	_1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Ohio ^c	26	6	8	2					
Oklahoma Orogon [©]	11 16	2 4	5 12	0	5 	0	5 	0	
Oregon ^c Pennsylvania	34	7	26	0	14	6	3	0	
Rhode Island	4	2	0	2	3	0	1	1	
South Carolina ^a	37	8	12	1					
South Dakotad									
Tennessee Texas ^c	32 56	9 1	14 20	4 1	9	2	4	1	
Utah	56 7	2	20	0	0	0	0	0	
Vermont ^{c,d}									
Virginia	51	16	13	4	0	0	0	0	
Washington ^{c,d}		16							
West Virginia Wisconsin ^a	24 46	16 3	5 3	2 36	1 	1	0	0	
Wyoming	9	7	0	2	1	0	0	1	
, ,									

^{- -} Not reported.

^aReports of staff sexual misconduct may include reports of staff sexual harassment.

^bReports of staff sexual harassment are included in a broader category of staff misconduct.

 $^{^{\}rm c}\mbox{Reports}$ of staff sexual harassment are not recorded in a central database.

 $^{{}^{\}rm d} \mbox{Reports}$ of staff sexual misconduct are not recorded in a central database.

^{*}Reports of staff sexual misconduct are based on substantiated allegations only.

^fReports are based on allegations reported in two facilities.

Appendix table 2a. Allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence reported by local jail authorities, by type, 2004

		Reported inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual acts				Reported inmate-on-inmate abusive sexual contacts				
	Average daily population, 2004	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	
Total	271,418	322	73	117	78	65	22	31	9	
Alabama										
Athens City ^a	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Baldwin County	498	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Jefferson County ^b	1,057	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Arizona										
Maricopa County	8,938	11	1	5	1	4	0	2	0	
Yavapai County	482	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Arkansas										
Craighead County	248	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Pulaski County Regional ^b	1,095	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
California										
Alameda County	4,047	5	0	4	0	2	0	1	0	
Kern County	2,180	Ö	Õ	0	Ö	3	3	0	Ö	
Los Angeles County	17,451	30	2	18	2	3	2	1	0	
Orange County	5,871	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Plumas County	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Riverside County	3,204	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Sacramento County	4,038	2	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	
San Bernardino County	5,615	5				0	0	0	0	
San Diego County ^c	5,097	11	0	7	0					
San Francisco City and County		2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Santa Clara County	4,050	1	0	1	0					
Stanislaus County ^c	1,186	2	1	0	1					
Ventura County ^c	1,571	1	1	0	0					
Colorado										
Arapahoe County	1,299	1	0	1	0	3	1	1	1	
Denver County	1,906	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Douglas County	259	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
District of Columbia	3,477	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Florida										
Alachua County ^c	957	11	3	8	0					
Broward County	5,072	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Charlotte County ^c	423	0	0	0	0					
Columbia County	292	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jacksonville City	3,414	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	
Leon County	1,063	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Manatee County	1,027	5	1	2	2	2	0	2	0	
Miami-Dade County	6,721	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Okaloosa County ^a	612	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Orange County ^c Palm Beach County	3,380 2,601	3 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Pain Beach County Pasco County	2,601 1,158	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Pinellas County ^b	3,213	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Polk County	2,529	3	1	1	1	Ö	0	0	ő	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,	-	•	•	-	-	-	,	-	
Georgia Chatham County ^{c,d}	1,414	0	0	0	0					
DeKalb County	2,856	2			0	2	0	0	2	
Douglas County	2,656 674	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fulton County ^c	3,429	16	12	0	0					
Jackson County ^c	147	0	0	0	ő					
Lowndes County	507	1	ő	ő	1	0	0	0	0	
Spalding County ^{a,b,c}	375	0	0	0	0					
Idaho										
Washington County	27	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	_,	•	•	•	J	•	J	J	J	
Illinois Cook County	10.470	10	10	0	0	4	4	0	0	
Cook County	10,479	12	12	0	0	1	1	0	0	

Appendix table 2a (continued). Allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence reported by local jail authorities	ί,
by type. 2004	

			consensual	e-on-inmate sexual acts		Reported inmate-on-inmate abusive sexual contacts				
	Average daily population, 2004	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	
Indiana	population, 200	7 o ga o o	ota mato a	otal matou	000000	7 o ga o o	otal mateu	otal matou	000000	
Elkhart County	406	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	
Howard County	243	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
La Porte County	282	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	
Lake County ^c	940	1	0	1	0					
St. Joseph County	574	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Wabash County	95	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	
Iowa										
Dubuque County ^c	77	1	1	0	0					
Hardin County ^c	62	1	0	0	1					
Polk County	571	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	
Kansas										
Sedgwick County	1,381	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	
Kentucky										
Daviess County	613	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Franklin County ^c	287	1	0	0	1					
Hopkins County ^c	390	6	0	2	4					
Louisville City ^c	1,921	3	0	3	0					
Woodford County ^c	72	1	0	Ō	1					
Louisiana										
Caddo Parish	1,101	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
East Baton Rouge Parish	1,580	4	0	2	1					
Orleans Parish ^c	5,931	10	10	0	Ö					
Rapides Parish	939	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
•	000	•	·	•	ŭ	Ü	·	ŭ	ŭ	
Maine Cumberland County	558	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	338	'	I	U	U	U	U	U	U	
Maryland	4.040	_								
Baltimore City ^d	4,319	7	1	1	2					
Baltimore County	1,092	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Prince George's County	1,203	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Wicomico County ^c	536	0	0	0	0					
Massachusetts		_	_	_	_					
Hampden County ^c	1,861	1	0	1	0					
Suffolk County ^c	1,145	4	0	0	4					
Worcester County ^c	1,281	4	0	4	0					
Michigan										
Macomb County	1,397	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	
Van Buren County	154	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Wayne County	2,725	4	0	2	2	2	0	2	0	
Minnesota										
Hennepin County	604	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Sherburne County ^c	381	0	0	0	0					
Mississippi										
Harrison County ^{b,c}	935	0	0	0	0					
Missouri										
Greene County ^b	477	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Jasper County	163	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	
Laclede County	98	0	0	0	0					
St. Louis City ^b	1,430	0	0	0	0					
Montana	1,700	U	J	3	J					
	207	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	0	
Missoula County ^b	397	0	0	0	0	4	1	3	0	
Nebraska										
Douglas County	966	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sarpy County	164	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Nevada										
Clark County ^b	3,061	1	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	
New Hampshire										
Hillsborough County ^a	502	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
	002	Ŭ	•	J	Ŭ	•	•	J	ŭ	

Appendix table 2a (continued). Allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence reported by local jail author	ities,
by type, 2004	

		Reported inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual acts					Reported inmate-on-inmate abusive sexual contacts			
	Average daily population, 2004	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	
New Jersey										
Cumberland County	589	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	
Essex County ^c	1,888	3	0	0	0					
Gloucester County	337	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
New Mexico										
Bernalillo County ^c	1,882	8								
Curry County	200	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Dona Ana County	859	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
San Juan County	660	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	
New York										
Erie County Holding Center ^{c,d}	989	0	0	0	0					
Erie County Correctional Fac.	926	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
New York City ^c	13,709	13	0	6	0					
Orange County	585	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Schenectady County ^c	334	3	0	0	3					
Tioga County	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
North Carolina										
Buncombe County	413	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cabarrus Countyc (202	1	0	1	0					
Henderson County ^c	163	0	0	0	0					
Mecklenburg County ^c	2,046	1	0	0	1					
New Hanover County ^c	434	0	0	0	0					
Ohio										
Franklin County ^c	2,356	3	1	0	1					
Hamilton County ^e	2,057	3	1	2	0					
Lorain County	403	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	
Montgomery County	910	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Northwest Regional Cor. Center	r 588	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Oklahoma										
Dewey County ^c	22	0	0	0	0					
Oklahoma County	2,600	5	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Rogers County	147	0	0	0	0					
Oregon										
Clackamas County ^c	349	4	3	1	0					
Jackson County	225	1	0	1	ő	1	0	1	0	
Multnomah County	1,497	0	Ö	0	Ö					
Myrtle Creek City	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Northern Regional Cor. Fac.b,c	123	0	Ö	Ō	0					
Polk County	105	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	
Pennsylvania										
Adams County	255	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Lehigh County ^c	1,300	0	0	0	Ö					
Philadelphia City ^{b,d,e}	7,493	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
South Carolina										
Beaufort County	194	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charleston County	1,344	3	Ö	2	1	0	Ö	0	Ō	
Greenville County	1,127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
South Dakota										
Pennington County	373	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	3.0	•	•	•	Ü	•	•	•	v	
Tennessee Hamilton County	553	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Madison County	445	0	0	0	0					
Nashville-Davidson County	3.105	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	
Shelby County	2,317	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Wilson County	140	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1-10	Ü	3	3	J	J	3	3	J	
Texas	60	^	^	^	0	0	0	^	0	
Amarillo City Bexar County	68 3,770	0 3	0 0	0 3	0 0	0	0	0	0	
Dallas County	3,770 7,082	8	4	0	3					
El Paso County	2,224	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	
∟i i aso odulity	۷,۷۷4	_	U	U	4	_	U	U	_	

Appendix table 2a (continued). Allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence reported by local jail authorities, by type, 2004

			Reported inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual acts				orted inmat sive sexual	te-on-inmate contacts	
	Average daily		Sub-	Unsub-			Sub-	Unsub-	
	population, 2004	Allegations	stantiated	stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	stantiated	stantiated	Unfounded
Texas (continued)									
Harris County ^c	7,989	3	0	3	0				
Jefferson County	933	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery County	726	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Nueces County	861	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Travis County ^c	2,356	3	1	2	0				
Williamson County	678	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Utah									
Salt Lake County	2,036	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
Utah County	589	4	0	2	2	1	0	1	0
Weber County ^c	1,024	0	0	0	0				
Virginia									
Alexandria City	420	1	1	0	0				
Chesapeake City ^c	835	1	0	0	1				
Fairfax County	1,276	0	0	0	0	4	1	3	0
Hampton City	421	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Newport News City ^c	643	0	0	0	0				
Pamunky Regional	454	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pittsylvania County	140	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Riverside Regional	1,006	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Virginia Beach City ^c	1,365	2	0	0	1				
Virginia Peninsula Regional ^{c,d}	409	1	0	1	0				
Washington									
King County ^c	2,461	5	1	4	0				
Kitsap County ^c	367	1	0	0	1				
Thurston County ^c	472	3	0	0	3				
Whatcom County ^c	256	0	0	0	0				
Yakima County	947	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
West Virginia									
North Central Regional ^c	499	2	0	2	0				
Wisconsin									
Milwaukee County	918	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outagamie County	474	1	0	1	0	3	1	2	0
Walworth County	306	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Winnebago County ^c	343	0	0	0	0				

^{- -} Not reported.

^aAverage daily population was based on data from the Deaths in Custody Reporting Program, 2003 and 2004.

^bAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to substantiated occurrences only.

[°]Non-consensual sexual acts may include abusive sexual contacts.

^dAllegations are limited to completed nonconsensual sexual acts only.

^eReports of abusive sexual contacts are not recorded in a central database.

Appendix table 2b. Allegations of staff sexual misconduct with inmates reported by local jail authorities, by type, 2004 Reported allegations of staff Reported allegations of staff sexual misconduct with inmates sexual harassment of inmates Sub-Unsub-Sub-Unsub-Allegations stantiated stantiated Unfounded Allegations stantiated stantiated Unfounded Total Alabama Athens City **Baldwin County** Jefferson County^a Arizona Maricopa County Λ Yavapai County **Arkansas** Craighead County^{a,b} Pulaski County Regional^a - -- -- -- -California Alameda County Kern County^a - -Los Angeles County^a **Orange County** Plumas County Riverside County Sacramento County^a San Bernardino County^a - -San Diego County San Francisco City and County Santa Clara County Stanislaus County Ventura County Colorado **Arapahoe County Denver County** n **Douglas County District of Columbia** Florida Alachua County **Broward County** Charlotte County Columbia County Jacksonville City n Leon County Manatee County Miami-Dade County Okaloosa County Orange County^a - -- -- -Palm Beach County^a _ _ _ _ Pasco County Pinellas County^{c,d} Polk County Georgia Chatham County^b **DeKalb County** n **Douglas County Fulton County** Jackson County **Lowndes County** Spalding County^a Idaho Washington County

Illinois Cook County^a

	sexual	Reported allegations of staff sexual misconduct with inmates					Reported allegations of staff sexual harassment of inmates Sub- Unsub-				
		Sub- Unsub- Allegations stantiated stantiated Unf		Unfounded		Sub- egations stantiated		Unfounded			
iana											
khart County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
oward County	0 1	0	0 1	0	1 0	0	1 0	0			
Porte County ke County	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0			
. Joseph County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
abash County	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
a hugus County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
buque County	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0			
rdin County Ik County	0	0 0	0 0	0 0		0		0			
ısas											
dgwick County	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0			
tucky	0	^	0	0	0	^	0	0			
riess County nklin County ^a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
kins County	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0			
sville City ^a	2	0	0	0							
dford County ^a	0	0	0	0							
iana	-	^		ن	•	•	•				
lo Parish	5	3	1	1	3	0	2	1			
Baton Rouge Parish ^a ns Parish	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	1	1	0	0			
s Parish s Parish	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
perland County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
land			-	-	-	-	-	-			
nore City	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
ore County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
e George's County	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0			
nico County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
nchusetts	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0			
pden County olk County	2 3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0			
ester County	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	0			
an											
mb County⁵	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Buren County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
e County	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0			
esota nepin County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
rburne County	0	0 0	0	0	1	0	0	1			
issippi											
son County ^{a,b}	1	1	0	0							
ouri	0	^	•	^							
ene County ^a per County	0 3	0 3	0	0 0	3	3	0	0			
de County	0	0	0	0	2	3 1	1	0			
ouis City	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0			
ana oula County											
asksa											
asksa glas County	9	8	1	0	2	0	2	0			
y County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
ia	O	J	J	U	O .	U	J	J			
l a County⁵	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
mpshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	· ·			
rough County	3	0			0	0	0	0			

Appendix table 2b (continued). reported by local jail authoritie	•		sexual mi	sconduct w	ith inmates		
		_	ations of stat luct with inm		Reported allega sexual harassn		
	Sub-		Unsub-		Sub-	Unsub-	
	Allegations stant	iated	stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations stantiated	stantiated	ι
New Jersey							

			ations of stat luct with inm		nep sexi	ual harassm	nent of inmat	i les	
		Sub-	Unsub-			Sub-	Unsub-		
	Allegations	stantiated	stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	stantiated	stantiated	Unfounded	
New Jersey									
Cumberland County	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	
Essex County	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	
Gloucester County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
New Mexico									
Bernalillo County	4				4				
Curry County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dona Ana County	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
San Juan County	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
New York									
Erie County Holding Center ^a	4	1	0	1					
Erie County Correctional Facility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
New York City ^a	10	1	4	1					
Orange County	2	0	1	1	7	5	0	0	
Schenectady County ^{a,c}	0	0	0	0				- -	
Tioga County	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	
North Carolina									
Buncombe County	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Cabarrus County ^a	0	0	0	0					
Henderson County	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	
Mecklenburg County	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
New Hanover County	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Ohio									
Franklin County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Hamilton County ^a	1	1	0	0					
Lorain County	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Northwest Regional Cor. Center	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Oklahoma									
Dewey County ^a	2	1	1	0					
Oklahoma County	2	2	0	0	4	0	4	0	
Rogers County	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Oregon									
Clackamas County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jackson County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Multnomah County	4	0			2	0			
Myrtle Creek City	0 1	0	0 1	0 0	1 	0	1	0	
Northern Regional Cor. Facility ^a Polk County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	
Pennsylvania	_								
Adams County ^a	2	1	0	1					
Lehigh County Philadelphia City ^a	2 14	1 9	1	0 0	3 	3	0	0	
	14	9	'	U					
South Carolina			_	_	_	_	•	•	
Beaufort County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charleston County	1 0	0	1 0	0 0	2	0	1 0	0	
Greenville County	U	U	U	U	1	1	U	0	
South Dakota	_	_			_	_	_	_	
Pennington County	3	3	0	0	2	2	0	0	
Tennessee									
Hamilton County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Madison County	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Nashville-Davidson County ^a	0	0	0	0					
Shelby County	2	2	0	0					
Wilson County ^a	1	0	0	0					
Texas									
Amarillo City	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Bexar County	= -								
Dallas County	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	
El Paso County	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	

Appendix table 2b (continued). Allegations of staff sexual misconduct with inmates reported by local jail authorities, by type, 2004

			ations of staf			Reported allegations of staff sexual harassment of inmates				
	Su	b-	Unsub-			Sub-	Unsub-			
	Allegations sta	ntiated	stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations s	stantiated	stantiated	Unfounded		
Texas (continued)										
Harris County	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0		
Jefferson County	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	3		
Montgomery County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Nueces County	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0		
Travis County ^c	3	0	2	0						
Williamson County	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0		
Utah										
Salt Lake County	3	1	1	0	2	1	0	1		
Utah County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Weber County ^a	1	0	1	0						
Virgina										
Alexandria City ^a	0	0	0	0						
Chesapeake City	2	2	0	Ō	1	1	0	0		
Fairfax County	0	0	Ö	Ö	0	0	Ö	Ö		
Hampton City	0	0	Ö	Ö	0	0	0	Ô		
Newport News City ^a	1	Ö	Ö	Ö						
Pamunky Regional	1	1	Ö	Ö	0	0	0	0		
Pittsylvania County	0	0	Ö	Ö	Ö	0	Ő	Õ		
Riverside Regional	1	1	0	Ö	1	1	0	0		
Virginia Beach City	6	3	ő	3	i	0	Ö	1		
Virginia Peninsula Regional	1	1	Ö	0	0	0	Ö	0		
Washington	•	-			-	-		-		
King County ^a	1	0	0	0						
Kitsap County	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Thurston County	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		
Whatcom County ^a	1	0	1	0	<u>'</u>			ı		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Yakima County	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		
West Virginia										
North Central Regional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Wisconsin										
Milwaukee County	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0		
Outagamie County ^a	0	0	0	0						
Walworth County	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Winnebago County	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

^aReports of staff sexual misconduct may include reports of staff sexual harassment.

^bReports of staff sexual misconduct are based on substantiated allegations only.

 $^{^{\}circ}\text{Reports}$ of staff sexual harassment are not recorded in a central database.

dReports of staff sexual misconduct are not recorded in a central database.

to and a self-self-se	Average daily	London di calcono	Average daily	London di saltono	Average daily
Jurisdiction	population, 2004	Jurisdiction	population, 2004	Jurisdiction	population, 2004
Alabama		Illinois		Minnesota	
Carbon Hill City ^a	1	Bond County ^a	20	Carver County ^{c,d}	94
Choctaw County	30	Boone County	95	Dakota County	222
Covington County ^b	150	Du Page County	814	Douglas County	64
Dallas County	178	Ford County	41	Kandiyohi County	152
Etowah County	660	Kendall County	131	Otter Tail County	82
Lauderdale County ^c	155	McHenry County ^e	266	Ramsey County	350
Saraland City	6	Peoria County ^{b,f,g,h}	404	Stearns County ^a	160
		Schuyler County	21	Todd County	45
Alaska		St. Clair County ^c	442	Winona County	54
Kodiak City	9	Washington County	9	Trinona Goanny	· .
Unalaska City	1	Will County	521	Mississippi	
OrlaidSRd Oity	•	Will County	321	Copiah County	49
Arizona		Indiana			280
	404		00	De Soto County	
Apache County	121	Daviess County ^{a,c,d,e}	90	Hinds County	866
Coconino County	490	Dearborn County ^{a,c}	194	Neshoba County	43
Graham County	109	Delaware County ^d	274	Rankin County	279
La Paz County ^c	195	Johnson County	249	Union County	41
Pima County	1,739	Knox County ^a	81		
Yuma County	628	Marion County ^a	1,089	Missouri	
,		Orange County	81	Dunklin County ^b	70
Arkansas		Vanderburgh County	329	Franklin County	115
Crossett City	25	Wayne County	209	Grundy County	11
Fayetteville City	34	ayno oddiny	200	Kansas City	177
Hot Spring County	22	lowa		Madison County	15
Marianna City ^a		Adair County	4		13
	5		4	Sullivan County	ı
Springdale City	10	Johnson County	77		
Washington County	251	Woodbury County	230	Montana	
Yell County	24			Yellowstone County ^e	399
		Kansas			
California		Allen County	23	Nebraska	
El Dorado County	323	Butler County	188	Colfax County	13
Fresno County	3,019	Reno County	136	Franklin County	5
Glendale City ^c	12	,		Morrill County	5
Kings County	311	Kentucky		Pierce County	11
Lake County	256	Christian County	690	Tieree County	
Nevada County	181	Clark County ^a	144	Nevede	
				Nevada Las Vegas City ^{b,f,g,h}	004
Sutter County	273	Henderson County	371		884
		Jackson County	85	Mineral County	22
Florida		Laurel County	305		
Collier County	956	Lincoln County Regional	107	New Jersey	
Hamilton County ^{c,d}	90	McCracken County	422	Bergen County	972
Indian River County	475	Warren County	573	Morris County	286
Santa Rosa County	353	-		-	
•		Louisiana		New Mexico	
Georgia		Livingston Parish	128	Artesia City	3
Acworth City	22	Shreveport City	52	Roosevelt County	75
Baker County ^a	4	St. Charles Parish	338	,	.0
Clinch County ^a	30	West Baton Rouge Parish	279	New York	
Cobb County	2,083	co. Daton Houge i alisii	210	Monroe County	1,410
Effingham County	∠,083 240	Maine		Oneida County ^{c,e}	415
			150		
Elbert County ^a	65	Penobscot County	156	Onondaga County	604
McDuffie County	161	Waldo County	50		
Milledgeville City ^a	9			North Carolina	
Peach County	63	Maryland		Bertie-Martin Regional ^a	95
Stewart County	90	Dorchester County	158	Craven County	189
Troup County	356	Frederick County	452	Davidson County	235
Upson County	166	,		Franklin County	114
Washington County	50	Michigan		Lenoir County	123
gion county	00	Allegan County	141	Moore County	92
daho		Bay County	214	Nash County	205
	007				
Ada County	927	Berrien County	397	Northampton County	76
Bingham County	89	Calhoun County	582	Orange County	130
Elmore County ^a	31	Charlevoix County	65		
Jerome County	34	Grand Traverse County	143		
•		Marquette County	75		
		Newaygo County ^c	195		

Appendix table 2c (continued). Local jail jurisdictions with no allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence and staff sexual misconduct, 2004

Jurisdiction	Average daily population, 2004	Jurisdiction	Average daily population, 2004	Jurisdiction	Average daily population, 2004
North Carolina (continued)		South Carolina		Virginia	
Polk County ^g	25	Allendale County	30	Albermarle-Charlottesville Reg.b,f,g	,h 484
Robeson County ^c	417	Berkeley County	303	Augusta County	194
Rowan County	202	Clarendon County	65	Blue Ridge Regional	923
Union County	242	Darlington County	171	Central Virginia Regional	380
Yadkin County	28	Georgetown County	185	Chesterfield County	314
radkiii Oddiity	20	acorgetown county	100	Danville City	239
North Dakota		South Dakota		Mecklenburg County	126
Adams County	2	Lake County	11	Montgomery County	152
Cass County	200	Minnehaha County	468	Norfolk City	1,639
ease esam,		······y		Smyth County	70
Ohio		Tennessee		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Adams County	39	Benton County ^c	63	Washington	
Allen County	250	Blount County	343	Adams County ^a	23
Cleveland City	204	Cumberland County	130	Kent City ^g	140
Darke County	30	Fayette County ^{c,h}	97	Lynnwood City	39
Geauga County ^e	47	Sevier County	265	Okanogan County	139
Huron County	100	Washington County	432	Skamania County	26
Mahoning County	704	Trashington County	102	Spokane County	470
Multicounty Cor. Center	142	Texas		oponano odanty	
Noble County	14	Carrollton City	13	Wisconsin	
Trumbull County	275	Comal County	226	Dodge County	411
Wood County	133	Dallam County	20	La Crosse County	251
vv ood County	100	Denton County	877	Ozaukee County	215
Oklahoma		Fort Bend County	696	Polk County	107
Adair County	5	Grand Prairie City	61	Price County	21
Cleveland County	190	Gregg County	486	Racine County	622
Garfield County	66	Hardin County	126	nacine County	022
Jefferson County	25	Jackson County	78	Mast Vivoleia	
LeFlore County	68	Kaufman County	218	West Virginia Central Regional	261
Midwest City	11	Lee County	16	Eastern Regional	368
Midwest City	11		217		400
Oregon		Maverick County Menard County	7	South Central Regional	400
Cottage Grove City	3	Nacogdoches County	276	Wyoming	
Lane County	571	Refugio County	50	Lincoln County	20
Lincoln County ^a		Rockwall County	155		258
	143 562		41	Natrona County	256
Washington County	30∠	Shelby County ^{a,d} Starr County			
Pennsylvania		Taylor County	245 516		
	110				
Armstrong County	112	Walker County	160		
Blair County	251	Webb County	588		
Butler County	233				
Dauphin County	1,239				
Erie County	705				

Note: The average daily population for all facilities in Appendix table 2c is 60,350.

^aThe average daily population was based on the Deaths in Custody Reporting Program, 2003 and 2004.

^bReports of staff sexual harassment are not recorded in a central database.

^cAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to substantiated occurrences only.

^dReports of staff sexual misconduct are limited to substantiated occurrences only.

^eAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to completed occurrences only.

¹Reports of nonconsensual sexual acts are not recorded in a central database.

⁹Reports of staff sexual misconduct are not recorded in a central database.

^hReports of abusive sexual contacts are not recorded in a central database.

Appendix table 3a. Allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence
reported in private prisons and jails, by type, 2004

	Average daily		nonconser	nmate-on-in nsual sexual			•	mate-on-inn	
	population, 2004	Allega- tions	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- I stantiated	Un- founded	Allega- tions	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Un- founded
Total	31,068	12	0	8	4	14	2	0	3
Arizona		_		_				_	
Florence Correctional Facility (CCA) Phoenix West State Prison (CSC)	1,754 440	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
,	440	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
California California City Correctional Center (CCA) ^a	2,620	0	0	0	0				
Central Valley Community Cor. Fac. (GEO) ^a	515	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö			-, -	
Colorado									
Crowley County Correctional Facility (CCA) ^a	911	2	0	1	1				
Florida									
Gadsen Correctional Facility (CCA)	1,036	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moore Haven Correctional Facility (GEO)	745	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Bay Correctional Facility (GEO) ^a	47	0	0	0	0				
Georgia Coffee Correctional Eggility (CCA)	1 400	2	0	2	0	11	2	0	0
Coffee Correctional Facility (CCA)	1,490	2	U	2	U	- 11	2	U	U
_ouisiana Allen Correctional Center (GEO)	1,456	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	1,450	U	U	U	U	3	U	U	3
Mississippi Delta Correctional Facility (CCA) ^a	258	0	0	0	0				
Walnut Grove Youth Cor. Fac. (Cornell)	758	Ö	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0
Montana									
Community Counseling and Corr. Services	161	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Jersey									
Tremont House (VOA)	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Mexico									
Torrance County Detention Facility (CCA) ^a	730	0	0	0	0				
Ohio	400		0	•	•				
Columbiana County Jail (CiviGenics) Lake Erie Correctional Institution (MTC) ^a	183 1,366	0 5	0 0	0 4	0 1				
	1,300	5	U	4	ı				
Oklahoma David L. Moss Criminal Justice Center (CCA) ^{a,b}	1,206	0	0	0	0				
Davis Correctional Facility (CCA) ^a	952	1	0	0	1				
Pennsylvania									
Joseph Coleman Center (CEC)	227	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ennessee									
Hardeman County Correctional Center (CCA)	1,950	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Central Correctional Center (CCA) ^{c,d}	1,620								
Whiteville Correctional Facility (CCA)	1,471	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Texas	,		_		_	_		-	_
Bradshaw State Jail (MTC) Cleveland Correctional Center (GEO)	1,970 519	1 0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
East Hidalgo Detention Center (LCS)	519	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson County Jail (CSC)	382	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lindsey State Jail (CCA) ^a	1,015	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö				
Mineral Wells Pre-Parole Facility (CCA)	2,060	Ö	0	0	Ö				
South Texas Intermediate Sanction Fac. (CSC)		0	0	0	0				
Val Verde County Jail (GEO)	885	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Virginia Virginia									
Lawrenceville Correctional Center (GEO) ^e	1,566	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

Note: The total number of allegations includes ongoing investigations (not shown). Initials identify the following: CCA - Corrections Corporation of America. substantiated occurrences only. CEC - Community Education Centers. CiviGenics - CiviGenics Corporation. Cornell - Cornell Companies, Incorporated. CSC - Correctional Services Corporation. GEO - Global Expertise in Outsourcing. LCS - Louisiana Corrections Services, Incorporated. MTC - Management and Training Corporation. VOA -Volunteers of America.

^bAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to

°Reports of nonconsensual sexual acts are not recorded in a central database.

^dReports of abusive sexual contacts are not recorded in a central database.

^eAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to completed occurrences only.

^{- -} Not reported.

^aReports of nonconsensual sexual acts may include abusive sexual contacts.

Appendix table 3b. Allegations of staff sexual misconduct with inmates reported in private prisons and jails, by type, 2004

		Reported alle exual misco					gations of s sment of inr	
	Allegation	Sub- s stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded
Total	27	13	6	6	14	2	10	2
Arizona Florence Correctional Facility (CCA) Phoenix West State Prison (CSC)	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
California California City Correctional Center (CCA) Central Valley Community Correctional Fac. (GEO) ^a	1 1	1 1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Colorado Crowley County Correctional Facility (CCA) ^a	3	0	0	3				
Florida Gadsen Correctional Facility (CCA) Moore Haven Correctional Facility (GEO) South Bay Correctional Facility (GEO)	9 1 1	6 0 1	2 1 0	0 0 0	3 0 4	0 0 0	3 0 4	0 0 0
Georgia Coffee Correctional Facility (CCA)	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
Louisiana Allen Correctional Center (GEO)	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Mississippi Delta Correctional Facility (CCA) ^a Walnut Grove Youth Correctional Facility (Cornell)	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	 0	 0	 0
Montana Community Counseling and Corr. Services	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Jersey Tremont House (VOA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Mexico Torrance County Detention Facility (CCA) ^b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio Columbiana County Jail (CiviGenics) ^a Lake Erie Correctional Institution (MTC)	0 2	0 0	0 0	0 2	1	0	 1	0
Oklahoma David L. Moss Criminal Justice Center (CCA) ^a Davis Correctional Facility (CCA)	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	 0	 0	 0
Pennsylvania Joseph Coleman Center (CEC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tennessee Hardeman County Correctional Center (CCA) South Central Correctional Center (CCA) ^b Whiteville Correctional Facility (CCA)	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Texas Bradshaw State Jail (MTC) Cleveland Correctional Center (GEO) East Hidalgo Detention Center (LCS) Jefferson County Jail (CSC) Lindsey State Jail (CCA) Mineral Wells Pre-Parole Facility (CCA) South Texas Intermediate Sanction Facility (CSC) ^a Val Verde County Jail (GEO)	1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1
Virginia Lawrenceville Correctional Center (GEO)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0

Note: The total number of allegations includes ongoing investigations (not shown). Initials identify the following: CCA - Corrections Corporation of America. CEC -Community Education Centers. CiviGenics - CiviGenics Corporation. Cornell - Cornell Companies, Incorporated. CSC - Correctional Services Corporation. GEO - Global Expertise in Outsourcing. LCS - Louisiana Corrections Services, Incorporated. MTC - Management and Training Corporation. VOA - Volunteers of America.

^aReports of staff sexual misconduct may include staff sexual harassment. ^bReports of staff sexual misconduct are limited to substan-

tiated occurrences only.

^{- -} Not reported.

Appendix table 4a. Allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence reported in other correctional facilities, by type, 2004

	Average daily		Reported i nonconser					inmate-on-i exual conta	
	population, 2004	Allega- tions	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Un- founded	Allega- tions	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- d stantiated	Un- founded
Total	9,705	10	5	3	1	0	0	0	0
U.S. Military									
Air Force	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Army	1,012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marine Corps	643	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Navy	699	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement									
Aguadilla, PR ^a	51	0	0	0	0				
Aurora, CO	227	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Batavia, NY	416	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Centro, CA ^b	436	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Elizabeth, NJ	254	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Paso, TX	553	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Florence, AZ	1,042	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Houston, TX	499	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Laredo, TX ^a	378	1	0	0	1				
Los Fresnos, TX	755	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miami, FL	554	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Diego, CA	822	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Pedro, CA ^{b,c}	483	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tacoma, WA	403	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jails in Indian country									
Chinle Youth Corrections, AZ	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gila River Dept. of Cor. and Rehab., AZa,b	159	4	2	2	0				
Gila River Juvenile Det. and Rehab. Ctr., AZ	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hopi Rehabilitation Center, AZ	61	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Navajo Department of CorCrownpoint, NM	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Navajo Department of CorWindow Rock, AZ	Refusal								
Omaha Tribal Police Department, NE ^a	18	0	0	0	0				
Southern Ute Detention Center, CO	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tohono O'odham Detention Center, AZ	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Walter Miner Law Enforcement Center-Adult, SE) 22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

^{- -} Not reported.

^aReports of nonconsensual sexual acts may include abusive sexual contacts.

^bAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to substantiated occurrences only.

^cAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to completed occurrences only.

Appendix table 4b. Allegations of staff sexual misconduct with inmates reported in other correctional facilities, by type, 2004

			gations of s				gations of s sment of inn	
	Sub Allegations star		Unsub-	Unfounded		ıb-	Unsub-	Unfounded
Total	3	2	Starillateu 0	Oniounded 0	3	1	Starillateu 0	2
	Ü	_	· ·	v	J	•	· ·	-
U.S. Military Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amy	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marine Corps	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0
Navy	1	1	0	0	ő	Ö	0	ő
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement								
Aguadilla, PR	0	0	0	0				
Aurora, CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Batavia, NY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Centro, CA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Elizabeth, NJ	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
El Paso, TX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Florence, AZ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Houston, TX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Laredo, TX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Fresnos, TX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miami, FL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Diego, CA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Pedro, CA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tacoma, WA	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Jails in Indian country	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Chinle Youth Corrections, AZ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gila River Dept. of Cor. and Rehabilitation, AZ*	0	0	0	0				
Gila River Juvenile Detention and Rehabilitation, AZ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hopi Rehabilitation Center, AZ	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0
Navajo Department of Corrections-Crownpoint, NM	U	0	0	U	0	0	0	0
Navajo Department of Corrections-Window Rock, AZ								
Omaha Tribal Police Department, NE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southern Ute Detention Center, CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tohono O'odham Detention Center, AZ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Walter Miner Law Enforcement Center-Adult, SD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

^{- -} Not reported

^{*}Reports of staff sexual misconduct may include staff sexual harassment.

Appendix table 5a. Allegations of youth-on-youth sexual violence reported by State juvenile administrators, by type, 2004

	Number of	nonc	onsensua	n-on-youth I sexual acts	;	abus	orted youth	contacts	
	youth held 12/31/2004	Allegations s	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded
Total	41,196	278	85	137	38	131	42	49	13
Alabama ^{a,b}	592	5	5	0	0				
Alaskab	251	1	Ö	1	Ō				
Arizona	617	9	6	3	Ō	2	1	1	0
Arkansas ^c	0								
California ^{b,d}	3,678	11	8	3	0				
Colorado	849	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
Connecticut ^a	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0
Delaware ^{a,b}	211	Ö	Ö	ő	Ö				
District of Columbia ^a	241	Ö	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0
Florida ^a	2,088	15	4	4	4	5	2	1	0
Georgia	6,363	9	1	8	0	7	0	7	0
Hawaii ^{a,b}	51	0	0	0	0				
daho ^b	412	0	0	0	0				
llinois	1,487	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
ndiana ^{a,b}	1,261	13	3	3	0				
owa ^e	243	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kansas ^e	475	9	9	0	0	3	0	2	0
Kentucky ^a	621	21	7	14	0	2	0	2	0
_ouisiana	451	12	0	3	9	8	0	4	4
Maine	195	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Maryland ^a	679	16	3	13	0	16	3	12	1
Massachusetts ^{b,e}	1,122	1	1	0	0				ı
Michigan ^{b,f,g}	435	0	0	0	0				
Minnesota	137	3	3	0	0	4	4	0	0
Mississippi ^a	315	1	1	0	0	13	13	0	0
Missouri ^a	753	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	0
Montana ^{a,b}	113	0	0	0	0				
Nebraska ^h	261	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Vevada ^e	396	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
New Hampshire ^{b,h}	119	4	2	1	1				
New Jersey ^a	946	4	0	0	4	6	5	0	1
New Mexico	270	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	i
New Yorka	1,568	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	Ö
North Carolina ^a	660	1	Ó	1	0	Ö	0	0	0
North Dakota ^a	94	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
Ohio ⁱ	1,662	22	1	11	5	2	0	0	0
Oklahoma ^e	372	7	1	4	1	2	0	1	1
Oregon ^a	855 604	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	624	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0
Rhode Island ^e	220	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Carolina ^e	818	20	7	4	8	11	0	6	2
South Dakota	170	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tennessee	525	5	1	2	2	1	1	0	0
「exas ^j	4,383	62	17	45	0	10	0	10	0
Jtah	674	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
/ermont ^g	22	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
/irginiaª	1,028	2	1	1	0	Ö	0	0	0
Washington	784	5	0	3	1	19			
West Virginia	764 269	5 				19 			
	650	11	2	8	1	10	7	0	3
Wisconsinª Wyomingª									
/vyoniing=	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: The total number of allegations includes ongoing investigations (not shown). - - Not reported.

⁹Allegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to completed occurrences only.

^hAll sexual acts involving youth under age 17 are considered nonconsensual.

All sexual acts involving youth under age 14 are considered nonconsensual.

^jAll sexual acts involving youth under age 21 are considered nonconsensual.

 $^{^{\}rm a}\text{All}$ sexual acts involving youth under age 18 are considered nonconsensual.

^bNon-consensual sexual acts may include abusive sexual contacts.

[°]Arkansas does not have any State-operated juvenile facilities.

^dReports of abusive sexual contacts are not recorded in a central database.

eAll sexual acts involving youth under age 16 are considered nonconsensual.
fAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to substantiated occurrences only.

Appendix table 5b. Allegations of staff sexual misconduct with youth reported by State juvenile administrators, by type, 2004

		ual miscond	ations of stat luct with you		Rep sext				
	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	
Total	467	69	204	175	55	16	27	9	
Alabama ^a	8	0	0	8					
Alaska	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Arizona	12	6	2	4	1	0	0	1	
Arkansas ^b									
California	12	2	3	1					
Colorado	5	0	2	3					
Connecticut	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	
Delaware	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	
District of Columbia	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Florida	30	1	10	16	10	3	1	6	
Georgia	7	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	
Hawaii ^{a,c}	1	1	0	0					
Idaho	3	2	0	Ö	4	1	3	0	
Illinois ^d	Ö	0	Ö	Ö					
Indiana	14	9	3	2	1	1	0	0	
Iowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kansas	7	1	4	2	5	1	4	0	
Kentucky	27	3	0	24	5	2	0	1	
Louisiana	25	0	5	20	5	0	5	0	
Maine	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Maryland	18	8	10	0	8	3	5	0	
Massachusetts	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	
Michigan ^{a,c}	4	0	2	1					
Minnesota	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Mississippi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Missouri	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Montana	0	Ö	0	0					
Nebraska	ő	Ö	Ö	Ö	2	0	1	1	
Nevada	3	Ō	1	2	2	0	2	0	
New Hampshire	2	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	
Name to see	40	•	•	40		•	•	0	
New Jersey	16	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	
New Mexico New York	0 18	0 7	0 5	0 6	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	
North Carolina	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	
North Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
North Bakota	Ü	· ·	· ·	Ü	Ü	Ū	· ·	Ü	
Ohio ^d	9	0	3	5					
Oklahoma	19	1	4	14	0	0	0	0	
Oregon ^{a,c}	3	2	0	0					
Pennsylvania	4	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	
Rhode Island ^c	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
South Carolina	6	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	
South Dakota	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	
Tennessee	23	1	Ő	19					
Texas ^d	138	13	125	0					
Utah	3	3	0	0	3	2	1	0	
Vermont ^a	^	0	^	0					
Vermont ^a Virginia	0 11	0 5	0 3	0 3	0	0	0	0	
Washington ^a	11	0	3	3 9					
West Virginia	2	1	ა 1	0	1	0	1	0	
		0	3	3		0	1	0	
Wisconsin	6	()		.3	1	()		()	

^{- -} Not reported.

^aReports of staff sexual misconduct may include reports of staff sexual harassment. ^bArkansas does not have any State-operated juvenile facilities.

 $^{^{\}circ}\text{Reports}$ of staff sexual misconduct based on substantiated allegations only.

^dReports of staff sexual harassment are not recorded in a central database.

Appendix table 6a. Allegations of youth-on-youth sexual violence reported in local and privately operated juvenile facilities, by type, 2004

	Number of						Reported youth-on-youth abusive sexual contacts				
	youth held 12/31/2004	Allegation	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	Allegation	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded		
Total	10,848	159	49	72	26	82	42	34	6		
Alabama											
Camp Mitnick ^a	221	3	1	2	0	1	0	1	0		
Laurel Oaks Behavioral Health Ctr	106	5	1	0	4	2	1	1	0		
Treatment Center, Lee County ^{a,b}	12	1	0	1	0						
Alaska Jesse Lee Campus ^a	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Arkansas											
Alexander Youth Services Center	141	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	0		
Northeast AR Regional Juv Prog ^{a,b}	38	0	0	0	0						
Pulaski County Juv Detention ^a	32	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		
Youth Emergency Shelter ^c	12	1	0	1	0						
California Barry J. Nidorf Juvenile Hall ^a	592	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0		
	582	1	0		0	1					
Kearny-Mesa Juv Detention Facility		6	0	6	0						
Lindsay Program ^b	48	2	0	1	1						
Los Padrinos Juvenile Halla	473	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	0		
Main Campus, Boys Republic ^{a,b}	134	1	0	0	0						
Santa Clara County Juvenile Halla	245	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0		
Trinity-Whitewater	118	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0		
Colorado Excelsior Youth Center ^{b,d}	164	3	0	0	1						
	-			2							
Greeley Youth Center ^b	18	1	0	1	0						
Threshhold, Cedar Springs, Inc. a,b	30	9	5	4	0						
Florida	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Avon Park Youth Academy	199	0						0	0		
Bowling Green Youth Academy	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Gulf Coast Youth Academy	92	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0		
Marion Youth Development Center	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility ^a	158	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
South Pines Academy	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Georgia The Bridge, Inc.	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Illinois											
Cook County Juv Temp Det Ctra,b,e	402	6	0	3	3	4	0	1	3		
Residential Treatment Ctr, La Villa	101	2	1	1	0	7	2	4	1		
Indiana											
Campagna Academy ^b	41	0	0	0	0						
Kokomo Academy ^{a,b}	116	1	0	1	0						
Whites Residential and Family Srvcs	s 148	0	0	0	0	8	3	5	0		
Iowa Woodward Academy	153	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Kansas											
Forbes Juvenile Attention Center	51	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		
Judge Riddel Boys Ranch ^{a,b}	49	2	2	0	0						
Marillac Center ^{a,b}	79	31	8	20	3						
Newton Campus ^b	57	3	0	3	0						
Raymond Cerf Home	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		
Kentucky											
Baptist Youth Rancha,b	12	3	0	3	0						
Dessie Scott Childrens Homeb	36	1	0	0	1						
Hack Estep Home for Boys ^b	166	0	0	0	0						
Louisiana											
Florida Parishes Juvenile Detention		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
La Methodist Children's Home ^a	85	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		

Appendix table 6a (continued). Allegations of youth-on-youth sexual violence reported in local and privately operated juvenile facilities, by type, 2004

	Number of						Reported youth-on-youth abusive sexual contacts			
	youth held 12/31/2004	Alllega- tions	Sub- stantiated	Unsub-	Unfounded	Allega- ations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub-	Unfounded	
Massachusetts										
Germaine Lawrence, Inc.ª	77	3	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	
Stetson School, Inc.	110	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Michigan										
Clinton Campus, Holy Cross Services ^d	e 109	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Curtis House, Holy Cross Services	12	6	1	5	0	0	Ö	0	Ö	
Eagle Village, Inc.ª	44	1	Ö	1	ő	0	ő	Ö	Ö	
Michigan Youth Correctional Facility ^a	480	i	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	
Ottawa Co Juvenile Detention Center	29	Ö	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	
Starr Commonwealth/Albion ^b	194	0	0	0	0					
	134	O	O	U	O					
Minnesota										
Austin Youth Ranch	14	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Missouri										
St. James Facility ^b	147	8	2	5	1					
•		-		-						
Montana	00	^								
Inter-Mt Children's Home	32	9								
Swan Valley Youth Academy ^a	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nebraska										
Home Campus Prog. (Boys Town)	447	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Nevada										
Canyon State Academy ^{a,b}	216	1	0	1	0					
Clark Co Dept of Juv Just Services ^a	216 176	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
	-	2	2	0	0	0				
Ridge View Youth Services Center ^{a,b}	481	2	2	U	U					
New Mexico										
Bernalillo Co Juv Detention Center ^a	71	1	0	1	0	5	1	4	0	
New York										
Cottage 10, Elmcrest Children's Ctr	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Holbrook Agency Operated Boarding ^a	75	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	
NYC Dept of Juv Justice ^a	75 71	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	
	256	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	
Residential Center, Canaana										
Residential Treatment Ctr, Syosett ^a St. Anne Institute ^{b,d,e}	118	0	0 5	0 0	0 0	2	2	0	0	
St. Affile institute	137	5	5	U	U					
North Carolina										
Boys and Girls Home of North Carolina	ı 67	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	
North Dakota										
The Dakota Boys and Girls Ranch	64	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
The Dakota Boys and Gills Harich	04	U	U	U	U		ı	U	U	
Ohio										
Catholic Charities Svcs./Parmadale ^{a,b}	80	0	0	0	0					
Oregon										
Parrott Creek Residential Program ^a	20	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	
Pennsylvania										
Abraxas I (Marienville), Cornella	267	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Abraxas of Ohio (Shelby), Cornella	109	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	0	Ö	Ö	Ö	
Berks Co Youth Ctr. (Juv. Detention) ^b	55	0	ő	0	ő					
Campbell Griffin Center ^a	244	1	1	0	ő	5	0	5	0	
Colorado Group Home/Shelter (CO) ^{a,b}	15	2	i	1	0					
Evergreen Housed	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harborcreek-Main Campus ^b	108	Ö	0	0	0					
Main Campus, Tunkhannock	106	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	
Pennsylvania Clinical School ^{a,b}	104	0	0	0	0					
Shuman Juvenile Detention Center ^{a,b}	78	1	0	0	1					
St. Gabriel's Hall ^a	76 205	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	200	۷	۷	U	U	U	U	U	U	
Rhode Island										
Harmony Hill School	57	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	

Appendix table 6a (continued). Allegations of youth-on-youth sexual violence reported in local and privately operated juvenile facilities, by type, 2004

		Reported youth-on-youth			Reported youth-on-youth				
	Number of	nonconsensual sexual acts			abusive sexual contacts				
	youth held		Sub-	Unsub-			Sub-	Unsub-	
	12/31/2004	Allegations	stantiated	stantiated	Unfounded	Allegations	stantiated	stantiated	Unfounded
South Dakota									
Sky Ranch for Boys ^a	34	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Springfield Academy ^b	73	3	0	0	3				
Western So Dakota Juv Serv	65	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
Tennessee									
Deer Valley	37	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0
Memphis Boys Town	80	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	0
Texas									
Coastal Bend Youth City ^a	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
GEO Grp, Coke Co Juv Just Ctr ^{a,b}	203	3	0	0	3				
Hays Co Juv. Det. and Boot Camp		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lifeworks Emergency Shelter	16	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0
Utah									
Heritage Center ^a	146	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Washington									
Ruth Dykeman Children's Center	38	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0
West Virginia									
Main Campus - Davis Stuart, Inc.a	36	2	2	0	0				
Northern Regional Juv. Det. Ctr.ª	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Princeton Facility ^{a,b}	21	0	0	0	0				
Wisconsin									
Eau Claire Academy ^a	80	1	1	0	0	6	6	0	0
Wyoming									
Residential Treatment, Laramie ^{a,b}	57	3	3	0	0				

Note: The total number of allegations includes ongoing investigations (not shown).

^eAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to substantiated occurrences only.

 $^{^{\}rm a}\text{All}$ sexual acts involving youth under age 18 are considered nonconsensual.

^bNonconsensual sexual acts may include abusive sexual contacts.

[°]Reports of abusive sexual contacts are not recorded in a central database.

^dAllegations of nonconsensual sexual acts are limited to completed occurrences only.

Appendix table 6b. Allegations of staff sexual misconduct with youth reported in local and privately operated juvenile facilities, by type, 2004

	Reported allegations of staff sexual misconduct with youth				Reported allegations of staff sexual harassment of youth				
		Sub-	Unsub-	Unfounded		Sub-	Unsub-	Unfounded	
Total	70	11	34	19	48	6	17	23	
Alabama					_				
Camp Mitnick	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Laurel Oaks Behavioral Health Ctr	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Treatment Center, Lee County ^a	0	0	0	0					
Alaska Jesse Lee Campus	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Arkansas		_				_			
Alexander Youth Services Center	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	
Northeast AR Regional Juvenile Program	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Pulaski County Juvenile Detention Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Youth Emergency Shelter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
California		0	0	0	•	0	0	0	
Barry J Nidorf Juvenile Hall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kearny-Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Lindsay Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Los Padrinos Juvenile Hall	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Main Campus, Boys Republic ^a	1	0	0	1					
Santa Clara County Juvenile Hall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Trinity-Whitewater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Colorado	•	_	_	•	•	_	_	•	
Excelsior Youth Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Greeley Youth Center ^a	0	0	0	0					
Threshhold, Cedar Springs, Inc.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Florida	_	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	
Avon Park Youth Academy	5	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	
Bowling Green Youth Academy ^a	4	0	2	2					
Gulf Coast Youth Academy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Marion Youth Development Center	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility	5	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	
South Pines Academy	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Georgia The Bridge, Inc.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Illinois									
Cook Co Juvenile Temporary Detention	7	0	7	0	2	1	0	1	
Residential Treatment Center	2	1	1	Ö	1	1	Ö	0	
ndiana									
Campagna Academy	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Kokomo Academy ^a	0	0	0	0					
Whites Residential and Family Services	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
owa			_	•	•	_	_	•	
Woodward Academy	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kansas Forbos Iuvonilo Attention Contor	0	^	^	0	^	^	^	0	
Forbes Juvenile Attention Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Judge Riddel Boys Rancha	0	0	0	0					
Marillac Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Newton Campus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Raymond Cerf Home	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	
Kentucky Bantist Youth Banch	4	0	^	0	0	0	^	0	
Baptist Youth Ranch	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dessie Scott Children's Home Hack Estep Home for Boys	0 1	0 0	0 1	0 0	0	0	0	0	
Louisiana									
Florida Parishes Juvenile Detention Center		0	0	1	1	0	0	1	
La Methodist Children's Home	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Appendix table 6b (continued). Allegations of staff sexual misconduct with youth reported in local and privately operated juvenile facilities, by type, 2004

	Reported allegations of staff sexual misconduct with youth				Reported allegations of staff sexual harassment of youth			
	Allegations	Sub-	Unsub-		Allegations	Sub-	Unsub-	
Massachusetts					J			
Germaine Lawrence, Inc.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stetson School, Inc.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Michigan								
Clinton Campus, Holy Cross Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curtis House, Holy Cross Services	0	0	Ö	Ō	0	0	Ō	Ō
Eagle Village, Inc.	0	0	Ö	Ō	Ö	0	0	0
Michigan Youth Correctional Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ottawa Co Juvenile Detention Center ^b	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Starr Commonwealth/Albion ^a	1	0	1	0				
Minnesota								
Austin Youth Ranch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	O	Ū	O	O	O	Ū	Ū	O
Missouri		_		-		_		•
St. James Facility	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Montana								
Inter-Mt Children's Home								
Swan Valley Youth Academy	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Nebraska								
Home Campus Prog. (Boys Town)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
			•	J	J	J	•	•
Nevada	_	•	•	•	_	•	•	•
Canyon State Academy ^a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clark Co Dept of Juvenile Justice Services	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Ridge View Youth Services Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Mexico								
Bernalillo County Juv Detention Center	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
New York								
Cottage 10, Elmcrest Children's Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Holbrook Agency Operated Boarding Home		0	0	0	2	0	0	2
NYC Dept of Juvenile Justice ^a	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0
Residential Center, Canaan	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Residential Treatment Center, Syosett	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
St. Anne Institute	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	I	U	U	'	'	U	U	J
North Carolina								_
Boys and Girls Home of North Carolina, Inc	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Dakota								
The Dakota Boys and Girls Ranch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
•	•	•	ŭ	· ·	•	•	•	-
Ohio	ı	^		^				
Catholic Charities Services/Parmadale ^a	1	0	1	0				
Oregon								
Parrott Creek Residential Program ^a	0	0	0	0				
Pennsylvania								
Abraxas I (Marienville), Cornell	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Abraxas of Ohio (Shelby), Cornell	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Berks Co Youth Center (Juv Detention)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Campbell Griffin Center	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Colorado Group Home/Shelter (Co) ^a	2	1	0	1				
Evergreen House	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harborcreek-Main Campus	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Main Campus, Tunkhannock	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pennsylvania Clinical School	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Shuman Juvenile Detention Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Gabriel's Hall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rhode Island	^	_	_	^	•	^	_	•
Harmony Hill School	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix table 6b (continued). Allegations of staff sexual misconduct with youth reported in local and privately operated juvenile facilities, by type, 2004

	Reported allegations of staff sexual misconduct with youth						ations of staf nent of youth	
	Allegations	Sub- stantiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded	S Allegations st	ub- antiated	Unsub- stantiated	Unfounded
South Dakota	J				J			
Sky Ranch for Boys	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Springfield Academy ^b	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Western So Dakota Juvenile Services Ctr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tennessee								
Deer Valley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Memphis Boys Town	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Texas								
Coastal Bend Youth City	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEO Group Coke County Juv Just Ctr	4	0	1	3	24	0	10	14
Hays Co Juvenile Detention And Boot	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lifeworks Emergency Shelter	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Utah Heritage Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	U	U	U	O	O	U	U	O
Washington Ruth Dykeman Children's Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Virginia								
Main Campus - Davis Stuart, Inc.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern Regional Juvenile Detention Ctr	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Princeton Facility ^a	1	0	1	0				
Wisconsin								
Eau Claire Academy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyoming Residential Treatment, Laramie ^a	0	0	0	0				

^{- -} Not reported

^aReports of staff sexual misconduct may include reports of staff sexual harassment.

^bReports of staff sexual misconduct are based on substantiated allegations only.

Facility	Number of youth held on 12/31/2004	Facility	Number of youth held on 12/31/2004
Alabama		Idaho	
Camp Sayla ^{a,b,c}	19	Emancipation Home, Idaho Youth Ranch	10
Jefferson Co. Youth Detention Center	84	Ranch Campus, Idaho Youth Ranch	33
Three Springs School of Madison-Main Campus	48	Southwest Idaho Juvenile Detention Center	48
Arizona		Illinois	
4321 South Evergreen Home	7	Chaddock	80
Arizona's Children's Association	102	Champaign Co Juvenile Detention Center	11
Maricopa County Juvenile Court Center	267	Woodridge Facility Program	110
Mohave County Juvenile Detention Center	34		
Mountain Facility	42	Indiana	
Tumbleweed-Open Hands ^{a,c}	12	Alternative House ^{d,e}	30
·		Christian Haven ^d	21
California		La Porte County Juvenile Services Center	23
Browning House ^d	6	Ladoga Academy	85
Camp Barrett	96	Marion County Juvenile Justice Complex	135
Camp Fred Miller	112	Southwest Indiana Regional Youth Village	127
Camp Joseph M. Paige	120	The state of the s	·=·
Fred Finch Youth Center ^{b,c}	29	lowa	
Girls Rehabilitation Facility	50	712 House	7
House #7	4	Juvenile Detention Center	16
Joplin Youth Center	62	Rosedale Shelter	10
Main Campus, Optimist Youth Homes	93	Scott Co Juvenile Detention Center	8
Mid Valley Youth Ctr (Residential Treatment) ^a	84	Stop Home #1, #2, #3, Four Oaks	34
Patrick House	6	Woodlands Treatment Center	24
San Jose Home	6	Woodiands Treatment Center	24
Silverlake Residence	15	Kansas	
	7		8
Trinity-Fair Oaks Trinity-Main Campus, Residential Treatment Ctr	7 70	Girls Home, Temporary Lodging for Children	0
	70 66	Vantualar	
Trinity-Yucaipa	00	Kentucky Louisville Metro Youth Detention Center	45
Colorado			
Colorado Boys Ranch, La Junta	Refusal	Louisiana	
Cottage 28, El Pueblo Boys and Girls Ranch, Inc		Juvenile Detention ^d	11
Jeffco	17		
Jefferson Co Juvenile Residential Work Crew	14	Maine	
		Goodwill Hinckley Home for Boys and Girls	120
Connecticut		Semi-Independent Living Program	4
Apt/Daytop-Alpha House	99		
Lake Grove at Durham	114	Maryland	
Touchstone	20	Bowling Brook Prep School	136
		Karma Academy for Boys	13
District of Columbia		Residential Program, Baltimore	100
Dupont III Group Home	7	- J	
	-	Massachusetts	
Florida		Key Program, Inc., Chestnut Street	7
Bay Point Schools (North) Better Outlook Ctr	28	Springfield Secure Treatment	45
Blackwater Career Development Center	25	Spectrum Boys Program ^{d,e}	24
Brevard Group Treatment Home	20	- ₁	
Camp-E-Ku-Sumee	53	Michigan	
Camp E-Ma-Chamee	47	Barat Child and Family Services ^f	15
Camp E-Toh-Anee	39	Monroe County Youth Center	20
Camp E-Tu-Makee	50	Moreau Center, Holy Cross Children's Service	66
Camp E-Yu-Makee Camp E-Wen-Akee	28	Oakland Co Childrens Village ^c	272
Gulf/Lake Academy	97	St. Jude's Home	5
Kennedy Campus	178	G. Bude 3 Home	5
Manatee Juvenile Boot Camp	24		
Polk Co Juvenile Boot Camp	67		
·			
Georgia Paulding Regional Youth Detention Center	92		
5 0			
Hawaii	_		
Emergency Shelter, Salvation Army	7		

	Number of		Number of
	youth held on	Fa silib.	youth held on
,	12/31/2004	Facility	12/31/2004
innesota		Oklahoma	
Bar-None Residential Treatment Services ^{d,e,f}	60	Comanche Co Regional Juv Detention Center	22
Bricelyn Group Home	5	Oaks Indian Mission (Level C)	42
lennepin County Home School	123	Oklahoma Co Juvenile Detention Center ^d	68
lille Lacs Academy	69	Yth and Fmly Services of No Central Oklahoma	7
rairie Lakes Youth Programs	28	•	
esidential Treatment Center, Duluth	95	Oregon	
		Donald E Long Home (Dept of Multnomah Co)	53
ssissippi		Girls Ranch, Bob Belloni Ranch, Inc.	7
arrison County Juvenile Detention Center	33	St. Mary's Home for Boys	57
enley Young Juvenile Justice Center	24	on mary or forme for Boyo	O1
eriley Toding Suverille Sustice Certier	24	Pennsylvania	
			117
ssouri	F4	Allenteurs Secure Treatment Unit	117
illtop, Jackson Co Court Services	51	Allentown Secure Treatment Unit	16
ain Campus, Evangelical Children's Homes	49	Bucks County Residential Service Unit	9
t Louis (City) Juvenile Detention Center	61	Glen Mills Schoolsf	837
		Henning Shelter	27
ontana		Main Campus, George Junior Republic	492
ascade Co Juvenile Detention Center	9	Main Campus, Children's Home of Easton	51
		New Directions Shelter (Pennsylvania)	91
ebraska		Northampton Co Juv Justice Center ^{a,b,c}	27
ouglas Co Youth Center	152	Pressley Ridge at Ohiopyle	63
pworth Village, Inc.	65	Summit Academy	269
ather Flanagan's Emgcy Shelter (Orlando Fl)	15	Sweeney Home ^{a,b,c}	12
ather Flanagan's Emgcy Shitr (Washington DC)		Treatment Unit for Boys (Unit #2), Fleetwood	18
	7		-
ather Flanagan's Emgcy Shitr (Grand Island)		York Special Needs Group Home	15
ancaster County Youth Services Center	56		
allahasse Residence: 2752 Hollyhock Hill	6	Rhode Island	
		Corkery House, Caritas, Inc.	16
vada			
lverstate Academy	140	South Carolina	
		Beaufort Marine Institute	28
w Jersey		Camp White Pines	74
ssex Co Juvenile Detention Center	159	Horizon Campus	28
omerset Hills School	75	Juvenile Detention, North Charleston	47
Arren Acres Juvenile Detention Center	11	New Hope Carolinas	78
/omen Rising ^c	10		, 0
omon i nomg	10	South Dakota	
Vaule			60
ew York	E 4	Chamberlain Academy	68
hildren's Home of Jefferson Co	54	T	
lurst Group Home	11	Tennessee	
incoln Hall	227	CCA, Shelby Co. Training Center ^{d,e}	185
hell Farm Children's Center	25		
ueens Outreach Haven	9	Texas	
esidential Treatment Center, Randolph	107	Brazoria Co Juvenile Detention Center	21
esidential Treatment Center, Yonkers	No response	Colorado Co. Juvenile Boot Camp	97
esidential Treatment Program-Dewey	90	Dallas County Detention Center	243
hiller Street Group Home	9	Denton Co Juvenile Detention	42
/oodfield Cottage Secure Detention Facility	21	Sandy Brook Residential Treatment Center	27
rocancia Collage Geodie Deletilion i aciilly	۷.	Shelter Harbor (North, South, East, West)	44
rth Carolina		Sholler Harber (North, South, Last, West)	77
	40	I la - la	
ertie-Martin Beaufort Shelter Home, IncGirls	12	Utah	
uilford Co Juvenile Detention Center	28	712 East 25th Street Home	12
ainbow House	6	Cinnamon Hills Youth Crisis Centerde	126
		County Residential Group Home, Springville	51
orth Dakota		Provo Canyon	220
orthwest Youth Assessment Center	3	Salt Lake Valley Detention Center	116
	-	,	• •
io		Virginia	
	80	Henrico Detention Home	19
o Detention Center, Cleveland			
o Juvenile Detention Center, Lima	28	New Dominion School	101
amilton Co Juvenile Court Youth Center	137	Newport News Juvenile Detention ^{a,c}	75
Iorizon I, The Twelve, Inc.	8	Tidewater Detention Home	68
akview Group Home	13		
Rosemont Center ^{e,f}	18		

Facility	Number of youth held on 12/31/2004	Facility	Number of youth held on 12/31/2004
Washington		Wyoming	
Johnny Robinson Boys Home	30	Crisis Center, Rock Springs	8
Pierce Co Juvenile Court	139	Normative Services, Inc.	134
Wisconsin			
Boys Shelter Care, Milwaukee	38		
Focus Unit, Milwaukee	17		
Home Youth Family Program, Wittenberg	No response		
Milwaukee County Juvenile Detention Home	64		
Note: Facilities included in this table held 10,89	1 youth	dReports of staff sexual misconduct are	limited to substantiated
on December 31, 2004.		occurrences only.	
Not reported.		eAllegations of nonconsensual sexual ac	cts are limited to substantiated
^a Reports of nonconsensual sexual acts are not	recorded in a central	occurrences only.	
database.		Allegations of nonconsensual sexual ac	ts are limited to completed
^b Reports of staff sexual misconduct are not reco	orded in a central	occurrences only.	
database.			
°Reports of abusive sexual contacts are not rec	orded in a central		
database.			