

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics

## School Crime

A National Crime Victimization Survey Report

るこの中の

#### **Bureau of Justice Statistics** reports

(Revised October 1991)

Call toll-free 800-732-3277 (local 301-251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists. or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850.

#### BJS maintains the following mailing lists:

- Law enforcement reports (new)
- Drugs and crime data (new)
- Justice spending & employment White-collar crime
- National Crime Survey (annual)
- Corrections (annual)
- Courts (annual)
- Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy
- Federal statistics (annual)
- BJS bulletins and special reports
- (approximately twice a month)
  Sourcebook of Criminal Justice

Statistics (annual) Single copies of reports are free; use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public-use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (formerly CJAIN), P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (toll-free 1-800-999-0960).

#### **National Crime Victimization Survey**

The Nation's two crime neasures: Uniform Crime Reports and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-122705, 4/90 Criminal victimization in the U.S.:

1973-88 trends, NCJ-129392, 7/91 1989 (final), NCJ-129391, 6/91 1988 (final), NCJ-122024, 10/90

BJS special reports

Handgun crime victims, NCJ-123559, 7/90 Black victims, NCJ-122562, 4/90 Hispanic victims, NCJ-120507, 1/90 The redesigned National Crime Survey:

Selected new data, NCJ-114746, 1/89 selected new data, NCJ-114/45, 1/89 Motor vehicle theft, NCJ-10978, 3/88 Elderly victims, NCJ-107676, 11/87 Violent crime trends, NCJ-107217, 11/87 Robbery victims, NCJ-104638, 4/87 Violent crime by strangers and non-strangers, NCJ-103702, 1/87 Preventing demastic vehicles against

Preventing domestic violence against women, NCJ-102037, 8/86

Crime prevention measures, NCJ-100438, 3/86

The use of weapons in committing crimes, NCJ-99643, 1/86

Reporting crimes to the police, NCJ-99432, 12/85

The economic cost of crime to victims, NCJ-93450, 4/84

#### BJS bulletins

Criminal victimization 1990, NCJ-130234, 10/91 Crime and the Nation's households, 1990,

NCJ-130302, 8/91 The crime of rape, NCJ-96777, 3/85

Household burglary, NCJ-96021, 1/85 Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81

#### BJS technical reports

New directions for the NCS, NCJ-115571. 3/89

Series crimes: Report of a field test, NCJ-104615, 4/87

School crime, NCJ-131645, 9/91 Teenage victims of crime, NCJ-128129, 5/91 Female victims of violent crime, NCJ-127187, 1/91

Redesign of the National Crime Survey, NCJ-111457, 3/89

The seasonality of crime victimization, NCJ-111033, 6/88

Crime and older Americans information package, NCJ-104569, 5/87, \$10 Victimization and fear of crime: World perspectives, NCJ-93872, 1/85, \$9.15

The National Crime Survey: Working papers, Current and historical perspectives, vol. I, NCJ-75374, 8/82 Methodology studies, vol. II, NCJ-90307, 12/84

#### Corrections

BJS bulletins and special reports Capital punishment 1990, NCJ-131648, 9/91 Prisoners in 1990, NCJ-129198, 5/91 Women in prison, NCJ-127991, 4/91

Violent State prison inmates and their victims, NCJ-124133, 7/90
Prison rule violators, NCJ-120344, 12/89
Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983, NCJ-116261, 4/89

Drug use and crime: State prison inmate survey, 1986, NCJ-111940, 7/88

Time served in prison and on parole, 1984, NCJ-108544, 12/87 Profile of State prison inmates, 1986,

NCJ-109926, 1/88 Imprisonment in four countries,

NCJ-103967, 2/87

Population density in State prisons, NCJ-103204, 12/86

State and Federal prisoners, 1925-85, NCJ-102494, 11/86 Prison admissions and releases, 1983,

NCJ-100582, 3/86

The prevalence of imprisonment. NCJ-93657, 7/85

Prisoners at midyear 1991 (press release), 10/91

10/91 Correctional populations in the United States: 1989, NCJ-1304\*5, 10/91 1988, NCJ-124280, 3/91 Race of prisoners admitted to State and

Federal institutions, 1926-86, NCJ-125618, 6/91 National corrections reporting program, 1985, NCJ-123522, 12/90

Historical statistics on prisoners in State and Federal institutions, yearend 1925-86, NCJ-111098, 6/88

1984 census of State adult correctional facilities, NCJ-105585, 7/87

Census of jails and survey of jail inmates BJS bulletins and special reports Drugs and jail inmates, NCJ-130836, 8/91 Jail inmates, 1990, NCJ-129756, 6/91 Profile of jail inmates, 1989, NCJ-129097,

Jail inmates, 1989, NCJ-123264, 6/90 Population density in local Jalls, 1988, NCJ-122299, 3/90

Census of local jails, 1988 (BJS bulletin), NCJ-121101, 2/90 Jail inmates, 1987, NCJ-114319, 12/88

Drunk driving, NCJ-109945, 2/88 Jail Inmates, 1986, NCJ-107123, 10/87

Census of local lails 1988: Summary and methodology, vol. I, NCJ-127992, 3/91

Data for individual jails in the Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. II-V, NCJ-130759-130762, 9/91

Census of local jalls, 1983: Data for individual jalls, Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. I-IV, NCJ-112796-9, 1/88 Selected findings, methodology, summary tables, vol. V, NCJ-112796, 11/88

#### Parole and probation

BJS bulletins

Probation and parole: 1989, NCJ-125833, 11/90 1988, NCJ-119970, 11/89

BJS special reports Recidivism of young parolees, NCJ-104916,

#### Children in custody

Census of public and private juvenile detention, correctional, and shelter facilities, 1975-85, NCJ-114065, 6/89 Surve of youth in custody, 1987 (special report), NCJ-113365, 9/88

#### Law enforcement management

BJS bulletins and special reports Police departments in large cities, 1987, NCJ-119220, 8/89

Profile of state and local law enforcement agencies, NCJ-113949, 3/89

#### Expenditure and employment

BJS bulletins

Justice expenditure and employment: 1988, NCJ-123132, 7/90

Anti-drug abuse formula grants: Justice variable pass through data, 1988 (BJS technical report), NCJ-120070, 3/90

Justice expenditure and employment: 1988 (full report), NCJ-125619, 8/91 1985 (full report), NCJ-106356, 8/89 Extracts, 1984, 1985, 1986, NCJ-124139, 8/91

#### Courts

BJS bulletins

Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1988, NCJ-127202, 2/91

Felony sentences in State courts, 1988, NCJ-126923, 12/90

Criminal defense for the poor, 1986, NCJ-112919, 9/88

State felony courts and felony laws, NCJ-106273, 8/87 The growth of appeals: 1973-83 trends

NCJ-96381, 2/85 Case filings in State courts 1983, NCJ-95111, 10/84

BJS special reports

Felony case processing in State courts, 1986, NCJ-121753, 2/90

Felony case-processing time, NCJ-101985,

Felony sentencing in 18 local jurisdictions, NCJ-97681, 6/85

Felons sentenced to probation in State courts, 1986, NCJ-124944, 11/90 Felony defendants in large urban counties, 1988, NCJ-122385, 4/90

Profile of felons convicted in State courts, 1986, NCJ-120021, 1/90 Sentencing outcomes in 28 felony courts,

NCJ-105743, 8/87 The prosecution of felony arrests:

1987, NCJ-124140, 9/90

Felony laws of the 50 States and the District of Columbia, 1986, NCJ-105066, 2/88, \$14.60 State court model statistical dictionary: Supplement, NCJ-98326, 9/85 1st edition, NCJ-62320, 9/80

#### Privacy and security

Compendium of State privacy and security legislation:

1989 overview, NCJ-121157, 5/90 1987 overview, NCJ-111097, 9/88 1989 full report (1, 500 pages, microfiche \$2, hard copy \$145), NCJ-121158, 9/90

Criminal Justice information policy: Forensic DNA analysis: Issues, NCJ-128567,

Statutes requiring use of criminal history record information, NCJ-129896, 6/91

Survey of criminal history information systems, NCJ-125620, 3/91 Original records of entry, NCJ-125626,

BJS/SEARCH conference proceedings: Criminal justice in the 1990's: The future of information management,

NCJ-121697, 5/90 Juvenile and adult records: One system, one record?, NCJ-114947, 1/90
Open vs. confidential records,

NCJ-113560, 1/88 Strategies for improving data quality,

NCJ-115339, 5/89 Public access to criminal history record information, NCJ-111458, 11/88

Juvenile records and recordkeeping systems, NCJ-112815, 11/88 Automated fingerprint identification systems: Technology and policy issues,

NCJ-104342, 4/87 Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ-101850.

#### Drugs & crime data

Drugs and crime facts, 1990, NCJ-128662, 8/91 State drug resources: A national directory, NCJ-122582, 5/90

Federal drug data for national policy, NCJ-122715, 4/90

Drugs and crime facts, 1989, NCJ-121022,

#### Computer crime

BJS special reports Electronic fund transfer: fraud, NCJ-96666, 3/85 and crime, NCJ-92650, 2/84

Electronic fund transfer systems fraud, NCJ-100461, 4/86

Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81, \$11.50

#### Federal justice statistics

Compendium of Federal justice statistics: 1988, NCJ-130474, 10/91 1986, NCJ-125617, 1/91 1985, NCJ-123560, 8/90

Federal criminal case processing, 1980-89, with preliminary data for 1990, NCJ-130526, 10/91

The Federal civil justice system (BJS bulletin), NCJ-104769, 8/87

Federal offenses and offenders

BJS special reports Immigration offenses, NCJ-124546, 8/90 Federal criminal cases, 1980-87, NCJ-118311, 7/89

Drug law viciators, 1980-86, NCJ-111763,

Pretrial release and detention: The Bail Reform Act of 1984, NCJ-109929, 2/88 White-collar crime, NCJ-106876, 9/87

#### General

BJS bulletins and special reports BJS telephone contacts, '91, NCJ-130133, 7/91

Tracking offenders, 1988, NCJ-129861, 6/91 Tracking offenders, 1987, NCJ-125315, 10/90 Criminal cases in five States, 1983-86, NCJ-118798, 9/89

International crime rates, NCJ-110776, 5/88

BJS national update: Oct. '91, NCJ-131778, 10/91 July '91, NCJ-129863, 7/91

Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1990, NCJ-130580, 9/91

BJS program application kit, fiscal 1991, NCJ-128413, 3/91 Violent crime in the United States,

NCJ-127855, 3/91 Attorney General's program for improving the Nation's criminal history records and

Nation's criminal history records and identifying felons who attempt to purchase firearms, NCJ-128131, 3/91
BJS data report, 1989, NCJ-121514, 1/91
Publications of BJS, 1985-89:
Microfiche library, PRO30014, 5/90, 510 Bibliography, TBO030013, 5/90, \$17.50

Publications of BJS, 1971-84: Microfiche library, PRO30012, 10/86, \$203 Bibliography, TBO30012, 10/86, \$17.50

1990 directory of automated criminal justice information systems, Vol. 1, Corrections; 2, Courts; 3, Law enforcement; 4, Probation and parole; 5, Prosecution; NCJ-122226-30, 5/90

BJS annual report, fiscal 1988, NCJ-115749,

Report to the Nation on crime and justice: Second edition, NCJ-105506, 6/88 Technical appendix, NCJ-112011, 8/88

Criminal justice microcomputer guide and software catalog, NCJ-112178, 8/88 National survey of crime severity, NCJ-96017,

#### See order form on last page

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Statistics



## **School Crime**

## A National Crime Victimization Survey Report

By Lisa D. Bastian and Bruce M. Taylor, Ph.D. BJS Statisticians

September 1991, NCJ-131645

131645

#### U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this material has been granted by

Public Domain/QJP/BJS

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the control of the contr

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics

Steven D. Dillingham, Ph.D. Director

Acknowledgments. This report was written by Lisa D. Bastian and Bruce M. Taylor of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, Thomas Hester edited the report. Lawrence A. Greenfeld provided initial editorial and statistical guidance. Marilyn Marbrook administered its publication, assisted by Jayne Pugh, Tina Dorsey, and Yvonne Boston. BJS reports are produced under the direction of Michael W. Agopian, Deputy Director for Data Analysis.

The Assistant Attorney General is responsible for matters of administration and management with respect to the Office of Justice Program agencies: Bureau of Justice Statistics, Bureau of Justice Assistance, National Institute of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and Office for Victims of Crime. The Assistant Attorney General further establishes policies and priorities consistent with the statutory purposes of the OJP agencies and the priorities of the Department of Justice.

Data utilized in this report are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data at the University of Michigan, 1-800-999-0950. The data set is archived as the NCVS School Crime Supplement (ICPSR 9394).

#### Foreword

The prevalence of crime in our Nation's schools concerns us all. In addition to the costs to the victims and their families, crimes at school disrupt education and may have longer lasting effects on society than crime committed elsewhere. Any consideration of a response to crime at school must begin with an accurate, national accounting of the extent of such crime and a description of its likely victims.

This report summarizes the responses collected by the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) in a special supplement during the first half of 1989. The data represent an estimated 21.6 million students, ages 12 to 19. As do other NCVS reports, this analysis accounts for crime experienced by different groups — males and females; blacks and whites; Hispanics and non-Hispanics; central city, suburban, and rural residents. It also accounts for selected characteristics of schools and students: public and private, grade levels, and security measures.

This study asked students for their perceptions regarding such crime issues as the following: How difficult were drugs or alcohol to obtain at school? How prevalent were street gangs in school? How fearful were students of being attacked at school?

The questionnaire used for the supplement, reprinted on pages 15 to 18, also gathered information on the school environment, victimization, and the efforts to avoid becoming a victim.

This report provides an excellent overview of crime concerns that the students reported. We encourage other researchers to explore the data further. The computerized files are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data at the University of Michigan.

Steven D. Dillingham, Ph.D. Director

#### Table of contents

Introduction	1	Tables		17. Students fearing an attack or avoiding areas inside or outside of school, by
Victimization	1	Students reporting at least one victimization at school, by personal		gang presence at school 8
Profile of student characteristics	2		1	18. Students fearing attack, by location and whether victimized by violent crime
Profile of school characteristics	3	Students reporting at least one victimization at school, by selected		during previous 6 months 9
Drugs and alcohol in school	3	school characteristics	2	19. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, by victimization during
Drugs	3	3. Availability of drugs or alcohol at school, by type of drug	3	previous 6 months 9
Drug prevention	5	Availability of drugs, by selected student characteristics	4	20. Students avoiding places inside or outside school out of fear of crime 9
Drug education classes	6	5. Availability of drugs, by selected		21. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by
Alcohol	6		4	selected student characteristics 10
Gangs	8	Victimization of students, by avail- ability of alcohol or drugs at school	5	22. Students avoiding places out of fear or ever fearing an attack, by location, race, and Hispanic origin 11
Fear of crime at school	9	7. Students ever fearing an attack, by availability of drugs at school	5	23. Students avoiding places at school
Objects for self-protection	12	Drug prevention measures, by		out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by school characteristics 11
School security measures	12	availability of drugs at school	5	24. Students ever fearing crime or avoic
Methodology	14	<ol><li>Types of drug prevention measures taken at schools</li></ol>	5 5	ing areas outside school, by mode of transportation to and from school 12
Questionnaire	15	10. Attendance at drug education class during the previous 6 months, by select student and school characteristics		25. Students reporting that they had taken something to school to protect themselves 12
		11. Availability of alcohol at school, by selected student characteristics	7	26. Security measures taken at school, by selected student characteristics 12
		12. Availability of alcohol, by selected school characteristics	7	<ul><li>27. Victimization of students at school,</li><li>by school security measures 13</li></ul>
	,	13. Students ever fearing an attack, by availability of alcohol at school	7	28. Students ever fearing attack at school, by school security measures 13
		14. Students reporting gangs at school and attacks on teachers	ol 8	29. Disciplinary actions that students reported, by infractions 13
		15. Victimization of students, by gang presence at school	8	Toportou, by initiabilities 10
		16. Students reporting gang presence at school, by selected student characteristics	8	

#### Introduction

An estimated 9% of students, ages 12 to 19, were crime victims in or around their school over a 6-month period: 2% reported experiencing one or more violent crimes and 7% reported at least one property crime. Violent crime is largely composed of simple assaults. These crimes involve attacks without weapons

and may result in minor injury, such as cuts or bruises. Violent crimes can also include aggravated assaults, robberies, and rapes.

Fifteen percent of the students said their school had gangs, and 16% claimed that a student had attacked or threatened a teacher at their school.

Among public school students 9% reported drugs as impossible to obtain at school; among private school students, 36% reported drugs to be impossible to obtain at school.

These findings are based on a nationally representative sample survey of more than 10,000 youth who were interviewed from January through June of 1989 and who attended school at any time during the 6 months before the interview.1 The School Crime Supplement (SCS) was conducted as an enhancement of the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), an ongoing household survey that gathers information on the victimization of household members age 12 or older. The survey asks only about crimes that have occurred during the 6 months before the interview.

Other findings from the SCS include the following:

#### Victimization

- Nine percent of both male and female students had experienced a victimization at school (table 1).
- Students of different races experienced about the same amount of violent or property victimization in and around their schools. However, Hispanic students were less likely than non-Hispanics to have sustained a property crime.
- For crimes at school, the students older than age 17 were generally less likely to be victims than were younger students.

Table 1. Students reporting at least one victimization at school, by personal and family characteristics

	Total		of students				
Student	number			on at school			
charecteristic	ofstudents	Total	Violent	Property	 	 	
Sex							
Male	11,166,316	9%	2%	7%			
Female		9%	2%	8			
remaie	10,387,776	9	. 2	8			
Race							
White	17,306,626	9%	2%	7%			
Black	3,449,488	. 8	2	7			
Other	797,978	10	2*	8			
Hispanic origin	0.000.000	70/	00/	roj			
Hispanic	2,026,968	7%	3%	5%			
Non-Hispanic	19,452,697	9	. 2	8			
Not ascertained	74,428	3*		3*			
Age							
12	3,220,891	9%	2%	7%			
13	3,318,714	10	2	8			
14	3,264,574	11	2	9			
15	3,214,109	9	3	7			
		. 9	2	7			
16	3,275,002						
17	3,273,628	8	1	7			
18	1,755,825	5	1*	. 4			
19	231,348	2*		2*			
Number of times family							
moved in last 5 years							
None	18,905,538	8%	2%	7%			
Once	845,345	9	2*	7			
Twice	610,312	13	3.	11			
3 or more	1,141,555	15	6	9			
Not ascertained	51,343	5*	5*	3			
Not ascentined	51,343	5	5"				
Family Income							
Less than \$7,500	2,041,418	8%	2%	6%			
\$7,500 - \$9,999	791,086	4	1*	3			
\$10,000-\$14,999	1,823,150	9	3	7			
\$15,000 - \$24,999	3,772,445	8	1	8			
\$25,000-\$29,999	1,845,313	8	2	7			
	, ,	10	2	8			
\$30,000 - \$49,999	5,798,448						
\$50,000 and over	3,498,382	11	2	9			
Not ascertained	1,983,849	, 7	3	5			
Place of residence							
Central city	5,816,321	10%	2%	8%			
Suburbs	10,089,207	9	2	7			
Nonmetropolitan area		8	1	7.			
Monthenoponian area	5,648,564	0		1.			

<sup>\*</sup>Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology.

-Less than 0.5%.

<sup>1</sup>SCS responses were collected only from those respondents attending a primary or secondary school which advanced them toward a high school diploma.

 Students living in families that had moved three or more times in the preceding 5 years were nearly twice as likely to have experienced a criminal victimization as students who had moved no more than once. The frequent movers were 3 times more likely to suffer a violent victimization than students who had moved less often.

Table 2. Students reporting at least one victimization at school, by selected school characteristics

School	Total number		of students victimizatio	n at school		
characteristic	ofstudents	Total	Violent	Property	 	-
Type of achool						
Public	19,264,643	9%	2%	8%		
Private	1,873,077	7	. 1*	6		
Notascertained	416,372	6	3*	4*		
Grade in school						
6th	1,817,511	10%	3%	8%		
7th	3,170,126	9	2	8		
8th	3,258,506	9	2	8		
9th	3,390,701	11	3 .	9		
10th	3,082,441	9	2	7		
11th	3,223,624	8	2	7		
12th	3,171,810	6	1	5		
Other	439,364	5	3 *	3*		

- Victimization by violent crime at school had no consistent relation to income levels of the victims' families. For property crime, however, students in families with annual incomes of \$50,000 or more were more likely to be victimized than were students whose families earned less than \$10,000 a year.
- Public school students (9%) were more likely to be crime victims than private school students (7%) (table 2).
- High school seniors were the least likely students to be crime victims. Ninth grade students were more likely to be crime victims than were students in all higher grades.

Profile of student characteristics

\*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer cases; see Methodology.

Student	Students r	epresented vev	Student	Students represented in SCS survey		
characteristic	Number	Percent	characteristic	Number	Percent	
Sex			Number of times			
Male	11,166,316	52%	family moved			
Female	10,387,776	48	in last 5 years			
			None	18,905,538	88%	
Race			Once	845,345	4	
White	17,306,626	80%	Twice	610,312	3	
Black	3,449,488	16	Three or more	1,141,555	5	
Other	797,978	4	Not ascertained	51,343	••	
Hispanic origin			Family Income			
Hispanic	2,026,968	9%	Less than \$7,500	2,041,418	9%	
Non-Hispanic	19,452,697	90	\$7,500-\$9,999	791.086	4	
Not ascertained	74,428		\$10,000-\$14,999	1,823,150	8	
			\$15,000-\$24,999	3,772,445	18	
Age			\$25,000-\$29,999	1,845,313	9	
12	3,220,891	15%	\$30,000-\$49,999	5,798,448	27	
13	3.318,714	15	\$50,000 and over	3,498,382	16	
14	3,264,574	15	Not ascertained	1,983,849	9	
15	3,214,109	15			-	
16	3,275,002	15	Place of residence			
17	3,273,628	15	Central city	5,816,321	27%	
18	1,755,825	8	Suburbs	10,029,207	47	
19	231,348	1	Nonmetropolitan area		26	
			Number of students	21,554,092		

- An estimated 21.6 million youth ages 12 to 19 were enrolled in the Nation's public and private schools between January and June, 1989. About 52% of these students were male, and 48% were female. Approximately 80% were white; 16%, black; and 4%, from other racial groups. ("Other race" includes Asians, Pacific Islanders, and Native Americans.) About 9% of students indicated they were Hispanic.
- Households of 88% of students had not moved for at least 5 years before the interview.
- Approximately 13% of students were in families that earned less than \$10,000 annually, and 16% were in families earning at least \$50,000.
- About 27% of students lived in central cities, 47% in suburbs, and 26% in nonmetropolitan areas. These residential areas are based on Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) classifications. Suburbs are inside an MSA, but not in a central area, and nonmetropolitan areas are all outside MSA's.

#### Table 3. Availability of drugs or alcohol at school, by type of drug

or alcoho! at school	Total	Easv	Hard	Impossible	Not known	Drug not known	
	1000			TATIPO COLIDIO	110111111111111111111111111111111111111	1100111101111	
Alcohol	100%	31%	31%	16%	22%	1%	
Marijuana	100	30	27	16	25	1	
Cocaine	100	11	33	25	31	1 .	
Crack	100	9	29	28	32	2	
Uppers/downers	100	20	26	17	31	5	
Other drugs	100	14	27	19	37	3	

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. The total number of students represented was 21,554,092.

#### Profile of school characteristics

School	Students re in SCS surv	presented vev
characteristic	Number	Percent
<b>T</b>		
Type of school Public	19,264,643	89%
Private	1,873,077	9
Not accertained	416,372	. 2
Notaccontained	410,372	2
Grade in school		
6th	1,817,511	8%
7th	3,170,126	15
8th	3,258,506	15
9th	3,390,701	16
10th	3,082,441	14
11th	3,223,624	15
12th	3,171,819	15
Other	439,364	2
Students' reports of s	chool	
security measures		
Students cannot leav	VΩ	
groundsatlunch	16,159,308	75%
Student spends day	1011001000	, , , ,
in same classroom	1,745,291	8
Teachers monitor ha		•
at class changes*	14,034,906	71
Hall patrols present	, ,,00 ,,000	
during day	13,937,237	65
Visitors required to	,0,00,,007	
report to office	19,707,748	91
Safe to store		3,

- Eighty-nine percent of students attended public schools, while 9% were in private schools. The remaining 2% did not provide information on the nature of support for their schools.
- The number of students was evenly distributed among grades from 7th to 12th, approximately 15% for each grade. Only 8% of the students were sixth graders because many students in that grade were younger than age 12, the minimum for NCVS respondents.
- Of the school security practices measured by the SCS, the most common was requiring visitors to report to the school office (91%), followed by restricting students to school grounds during lunch (75%), placing teachers in halls during class changes (71%), and patrolling the halis at other times (65%).
- Slightly more than 4 of 10 students believed that valuables were safe in their lockers.

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. \*Students who did not change classes were excluded.

9,329,368

43

valuables in locker

Number of students 21,554,092

#### Drugs and alcohol in school

#### Drugs

- In the first half of 1989 about 30% of the students interviewed believed that marijuana was easy to obtain at school (table 3). In comparison, 9% said crack was easy to obtain, and 11% claimed cocaine to be readily available.
- Overall, students most frequently reported that drugs of any type were hard or impossible to obtain at school. Approximately 58% of students said cocaine and crack were hard or impossible to obtain at school.

	Total	Per	cent of stude	nts reporting			
Student characteristic	number of students	Total	Available	Not available	Notknown ifavailable	 	
Sex							
Male	10,593,314	100%	69%	12%	19%		
Female	9,776,470	100	66	11	22		
Race							
White	16,417,105	100%	69%	11%	20%		
Black	3,223,708	100	67	11 .	22		
Other	728,971	100	58	18	24		
Hispanic origin							
Hispanic	1,884,679	100%	64%	12%	24%		
Non-Hispanic	18,410,678	100	68	11	20		
Notascertained	74,428	100	52	31	16		
Age							
12	2,888,982	100%	53%	24%	23%		
13	3,078,909	100	60	19	21		
14	3,055,401	100	64	13	24		
15	3,086,095	100	70	7	23		
16	3,168,628	100	76	6	18		
17	3,150,323	100	77	6	17		
18	1,721,111	100	78	6	16		
19	220,336	100	78	5	17		
Family Income							
Less than \$15,000	4,328,990	100%	67%	13%	20%		
\$15,000-\$29,999	5,291,904	100	68	11.	21		
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,551,030	100	68	11	21		
\$50,000 or more	3,321,663	100	70	11	18		
Notascertained	1,876,197	100	66	13	21		
Location of residence							
Central city	5,418,166	100%	66%	13%	21%		
Suburbs	9,640,427	100	67	11	22		
Nonmetropolitan area	5,311,191	100	71	11	18		

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs were excluded. "Available" includes students who said drugs were easy or hard to get at school; "not available" includes those saying drugs were impossible to get at school.

								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Table	=	Availability	۸ŧ	dringe	hv	colocted	echaol	characteristics
ICUIG	J.	Availability	O!	ui uga,	Uy	Selecteu	3011001	Cital acteriolics

	Total	Pe	rcent of stud	ents reportin	ng drugs		
School characteristic	number of students	Total	Available	Not available	Notknown if available	 	
Type of school							
Public	18,215,207	100%	70%	9%	21%		
Private	1,747,408	100	52	36	13		
Not known	407,170	100	66	8	26		
Grade level							
6th	1,627,384	100%	50%	26%	24%		
7th	2,918,290	100	61 <sup>-</sup>	17	22		
8th	3,034,895	100	60	20	21		
9th	3,236,182	100	69	7.	24		
10th	2,966,953	100	73	7	20		
11th	3,104,712	100	79	5	16		
12th	3,105,428	100	78	6	- 16		
Other	375,940	100	44	26	30,		
Gangs							
Present	3,155,169	100%	78%	6%	16%		
Not present	16,065,729	100	66	13	20		
Not known	1,148,887	100	62	3	34		

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

- A larger proportion of males than of females know whether drugs were available in school (table 4). Among those students who knew whether someone could obtain drugs at their school, the same proportions of males and females reported that drugs were available.
- Although similar proportions of black (67%) and white (69%) students claimed that drugs were available at school, these students were significantly more likely than persons belonging to other racial groups (58%) to say drugs could be obtained.
- Generally, the older the student (from ages 12 to 15), the more likely he or she was to say that drugs were available at school. However, among those who knew whether a person could obtain drugs at their school, students for each age between 15 and 19 were about equally likely (58%) to have said drugs were available.
- Students' reporting of the availability of drugs in school did not vary significantly by ethnicity or levels of family income.
- Among students who knew whether a person could obtain drugs at their school, no measurable differences distinguished reports of drug availability by central city (84%), suburban (86%), and nonmetropolitan area (86%) students. Central city and suburban students were more likely than nonmetropolitan area students to say that they did not know about the availability of drugs.
- Public school students were more likely than private school students to say drugs were available (70% versus 52%) (table 5).
- About half of the sixth graders reported that drugs were available, compared to three-fourths or more of the students in grades 10 to 12.

 Students who said a person would find drugs easy to obtain were generally more likely to have been victims than students who said someone would find drugs either hard or impossible to obtain (table 6).

Table 6. Vi availability	ctimization of alcoho	n of stu l or dru	idents, igs at	by school
	Total	Percer		
	number		ents vic	
availability	of students	IOTAL V	lolent	Property
Alcohol				
Easy	6,637,706	11%	2%	9%
Hard	6,712,646	9	2	7
Impossible	3,407,854	8	2	7
Notknown	4,673,642	8	2	6
Marijuana				
Easy	6,568,766	11%	3%	9%
Hard	5,918,567	8	1	7
Impossible		8	2	7
Notknown		8	2	6
Cocaine				
Easy	2,297,249	11%	4%	9%
Hard	7,034,616	10	2	8
Impossible	5,354,381	9	2	7
Notknown		8	2	6
Crack				
Easy	1,862,226	12%	4%	9%
Hard	6,338,322	9	2	7
Impossible	6.018.289	10	2	8
Notknown		8	2	. 6
Uppere/dows	ners			
Easy	4,399,177	12%	3%	10%
Hard	5,555,802	8	1	7
Impossible		8	. 2	6
Notknown		8	2	6
Otherdrugs				
Easy	2,992,401	13%	4%	10%
Hard	5,895,744	8	1	7
Impossible	4.019.868	8	i	7
Notknown		8	2	ż

Table 7. Students ever fearing an attack, by availability of drugs at school

has been excluded.

-y	, 0	u. 00110	<b>-</b> 1			
		Percent of students ever fearing an attack				
Drugs atschool	Total number of students	At school	Going to and from school			
Available Notavailable	13,846,874 2,363,931	25% 13	16% 10			
Notknown ifavailable	4,158,980	17	12			

Note: Cases in which the types of drugs were not known to the respondent were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not available.

· Comparing students who said someone could obtain drugs at their school with those in schools where drugs were impossible to obtain, the students in schools with drugs available were twice as likely to fear an attack at school (25% versus 13%) and 1.5 times as likely to fear an attack going to or from school (16% versus 10%) (table 7).

#### Drug prevention

• Students attending schools in which drugs were available were more likely than students in schools without drugs to indicate that their schools were taking some action to prevent drug use (91% versus 74%) (table 8).

- Nearly a fourth of students in schools where they said drugs were not available reported that their schools had taken no preventative measures.
- Students most frequently said hall patrols were used as a drug prevention measure at school (71%). Other common strategies to prevent drug use included locker searches (46%) and restroom checks (43%) (table 9).

Table 8. Drug prevention measures, by availability of drugs at school

		Percent of students reporting school drug prevention measures							
Drugs	Total number of students	Total	No action	Some action taken	Not known				
Available Notavailable	13,846,874 2,363,931	100% 100	9% 24	91% 74	1% 1				
Not known if available	4,158,980	100	11	86	3				

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

	Table 9. T	ypes of drug prevention	n
ı	measures	taken at schools	

Drug prevention measures	Percent of students reporting	
Locker searches	46%	
Security guards	25	
Hali patrols	71	
Restroom checks	43	
Other	10	
No action taken	11	

Note: Detail does not total 100% because respondents may have reported more than one

#### Drug education classes

- White students (40%) were more likely than black students (36%) to have attended drug education classes (table 10).
- Forty-four percent of students in nonmetropolitan areas said that in the previous 6 months they had attended drug education classes at school. These students were more likely than students from suburban areas (40%) to have attended such classes, and central city students (35%) were the least likely to have attended drug education classes.
- About the same proportions of public and private school students had attended drug education classes, although a larger proportion of those in public schools said that a person could obtain drugs at their school.
- Sixth graders, while the least likely to feel that someone could obtain drugs at their schools, were the most likely to have attended drug education classes (56%). Ninth through twelfth graders were the least likely to have attended drug education classes but claimed, in the largest proportions, that drugs were obtainable at school (see tables 5 and 10).
- Students saying drugs were not available in their school were more likely to have attended drug education classes than students in schools where a person could obtain drugs (44% versus 40%).

#### Alcohol

- Roughly equal proportions of students said that alcohol was easy or hard to obtain at school (31%) (table 3).
- Students who reported that alcohol was easy to obtain were more likely to have been victims of property crimes than students who claimed that alcohol was hard or impossible to obtain (table 6).

Table 10. Attendance at drug education classes during the previous 6 months, by selected student and school characteristics

Student and school	Total number	attended		s who had cation class s 6 months	ses
characteristic	ofstudents	7otal	Yes	No	-
Sex					
Male	11,067,277	100%	39%	61%	
Female	10,288,418	100 /8	4C	60	
	10,200,170	,100			
Race White	47 440 400	100%	40%	60%	
	17,148,439		40% 36	64	
Black Other	3,416,622	100 100	39	61	
Omer	790,634	100	39	61	
Hispanic origin					
Hispanic	2,014,518	100%	38%	62%	
Non-Hispanic	19,268,603	100	40	60	
Not ascertained	72,575	100	45	55	
Location of residence					
Central city	5,775,761	100%	35%	65%	
Suburbs	9,979,126	100%	40	60	
Nonmetropolitan area	5,600,808	100	44	56	
Nomineuropontan area	3,000,000	100	44	30	
Type of school					
Public	19,104,156	100%	39%	61%	
Private	1,852,175	100	41	59	
Not known	399,364	100	42	58	
Grado lavel					
6th	1,797,134	100%	56%	44%	
7th	3,144,651	100	48	52	
8th	3,213,531	100	47	53	
9th	3,374,698	100	36	64	
10th	3,061,084	100	35	65	
11th	3,188,797	100	33	67	
12th	3,154,843	100	27	73	
Other	420,956	100	43	73 57	
Ontel	420,830	100	40	97	
Drug availability					
Available	13,751,166	100%	40%	60%	
Notavallable	2,343,943	100	44	56	
Not known	4,095,275	100	35	65	

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs or whether he or she had attended drug education classes were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

Table 11. Availability of alcohol at school, by selected student characteristics Total Percent of students reporting alcohol Student number Notknown Available characteristic of students Total avallable if available Male 11,101,022 100% 63% 16% 20% 61 Female 10,330,826 100 15 23 White 100% 17,212,097 63% 16% 21% Black 3,421,773 100 60 15 26 Other 797,978 100 54 21 25 Hispanic origin 56% Hispanic 2,007,971 100% 18% 26% Non-Hispanic 19,349,450 100 63 16 21 100 46 23 Not ascertained 74,428 31 100% 45% 12 3,191,908 28% 27% 3,292,209 100 54 25 25 13 21 59 14 15 100 3.232.719 15 3,203,049 100 65 24 11 70 74 74 100 19 3,270,114 16 17 11 100 15 3,262,485 11 1,749,721 100 14 18 12 100 66 24 229,644 Family income Less than \$15,000 4,615,648 100% 58% 18% 24% \$15,000-\$29,999 5,594,006 100 63 22 15 \$30,000-\$49,999 5,774,766 100 64 15 22 \$50,000 or more 100 65 18 3,486,562 17 100 62 23 Not ascertained 1.960.866 16 Location of residence Central city 5.770.121 100% 58% 18% 24% Suburbs 10,046,860 100 62 15 23 Nonmetropolitan area 5,614,868 100 67 15 18

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which alcohol was not known to the respondent were excluded. "Available" includes students who said alcohol was easy or hard to get at school; "not available" includes those saying alcohol was impossible to get at school.

	Total	Percent of students reporting alcohol					
School characteristic	number of students	Total	Available	Not available	Notknown ifavailable		 
Type of school							
Public	19,151,251	100%	63%	14%	23%		
Private	1,866,688	100	52	36	12		
Notknown	413,910	100	61	10	29		
Grade level							
6th	1,803,734	100%	42%	30%	28%		
7th	3,143,322	100	54	20	26		
8th	3,242,654	100	54	22	24		
9th	3,369,959	100	65	10	25		
10th	3,066,172	100	68	12	20		
11th	3,208,228	100	73	. 10	17		
12th	3,171,819	100	75	12	13		
Other	425,960	100	36	32	32		

definitions of "available" and "not available."

rounding. Cases in which alcohol was not known

Findings on the availability of alcohol in school resemble the findings on drug availability with a few exceptions:

- Non-Hispanics were more likely than Hispanics to report that a person could obtain alcohol at school (63% versus 56%) (table 11).
- Students whose families earned under \$15,000 a year were less likely than students in families with higher incomes to say that a person could obtain alcohol at school.
- Among students who knew whether or not a person could obtain alcohol in their school, suburban (80%) and nonmetropolitan area (82%) students were more likely than urban (76%) students to have claimed that alcohol was available in their schools.
- The higher their grade level, the more likely the students were to report that alcohol was available at their school (table 12).
- Students who reported alcohol to be available at school were more likely to fear attack than students who reported alcohol as being unavailable (table 13).

Table 13. Students ever fearing an attack, by availability of alcohol at school

Alcohol atschool	Total number of students		f students ng an attack Going to and from school
Available Notavailable Notknown if available	13,350,352 3,407,854 4,673,642	24% 17	16% 12 14

Note: Cases in which alcohol was not known to the respondent were excluded. See table 11 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

#### Gangs

 Seventy-nine percent of students said no gangs existed at their schools; 15% reported gangs, while another 5% were not sure whether gangs existed at their schools (table 14).

	Total number of students	Percent of students reporting
Street gange at school		
Present	3,300,826	15%
Notpresent	17,041,519	79
Notknown or not		
ascertained	1,211,747	5
Frequency of fights between gang member	<b>5</b> *	
Never	1,678,041	37%
Once or twice a year	843,607	19
One or twice a month	743,649	16
Once or twice a week	337,868	7
Almost every day	219,516	5
Notascertained	689,894	15
Attacks or threats on teachers		
Yes	3,468,631	16%
No	15,639,976	73
Notknown or not	0 / 45 405	
ascertained	2,445,485	11

Table 15. Victimization of students, by gang presence at school							
Ganas	Total number of students	repor	ent of stud	ization			
Gangs	orstagents	TOLEI	Aldieur	Frocerty			
	3,300,826 17,041,519	12% 8	3%	9% 7			
Notknown	1,211,747	8	2	7			

 Of those students who said there were or could be gangs at their school, 37% reported that the gang members never fought at school. An additional 19% claimed that gang members fought once or twice a year, while 12% said that members fought once or twice a week or even every day.

Table 16. Students presence at school student characterist	, by selected	gang d
Student characteristic	Total number of students	Percent of students re- porting gangs
Sex Male Female	11,166,316 10,387,776	16% 15
Race White Black Other	17,306,626 3,449,488 797,978	14% 20 25
Hispanic origin Hispanic Non-Hispanic Notascertained	2,026,968 19,452,697 74,428	32% 14 12 *
Age 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	3,220,891 3,318,714 3,264,574 3,214,109 3,275,002 3,273,628 1,755,825 231,348	12% 15 18 16 16 15 14
Family Income Less than \$7,500 \$7,500-\$9,999 \$10,000-\$14,999 \$15,000-\$24,999 \$25,000-\$29,999 \$30,000-\$49,999 \$50,000 and over Not ascertained	2,041,418 791,086 1,823,150 3,772,445 1,845,313 5,798,448 3,498,382 1,983,849	17% 21 21 18 16 13 11
Place of realdence Central city Suburbs Nonmetropolitan area		25% 14 8
*Estimate is based on '	10 or fewer c	ases;

- Sixteen percent of respondents claimed that a student had attacked or threatened a teacher at their school in the 6 months before the interview. Nearly three-fourths said no attacks or threats against teachers had occurred at their schools, and an additional 11% did not know.
- Among all students, the 15% who reported the presence of gangs were more likely than students from schools without gangs to be victims of some type of crime (12% versus 8%) (table 15).
- A higher percentage of black students (20%) than white students (14%) said their school had gangs (table 16). A relatively high proportion of Hispanics (32%), compared to non-Hispanics (14%), attended schools with gangs.
- Overall, about the same percentage of students of different ages reported gangs at school, except that the schools of students age 12 were somewhat less likely to have gangs than the schools of students ages 14 to 17.
- Students in households with an income below \$30,000 a year were more likely to attend a school that had gangs than were students in families with higher annual incomes.
- Students in central cities were the most likely to report gangs at their schools (25%); nonmetropolitan area students the least (8%).
- Students at schools with gangs were about twice as likely as students from schools without gangs to be afraid of attack, both at school and on the way to or from school (table 17).
- Students at schools with gangs were more likely to avoid areas inside the school, such as restrooms or hallways, than areas outside the school building.
- Seventy-eight percent of students at schools with gangs reported that a person could obtain drugs at school, compared to 66% of students at schools without gangs (table 5).

Table 17.	Students	fearing an	attack o	r avoiding	areas
inside or	outside o	f school,	by gang	presence a	t school

	Total	Ever fear	ing an attack	ofstudents			
	number		Going to and	Avoidin	g areas		
Gangs	of students	Atschool	from school	Inside school	Outside school	 	
Present	3,300,826	35%	24%	13%	8%		
Not present	17,041,519	18	12	3	2		
Notknown	1,211,747	34	31	8	4		

see Methodology.

#### Fear of crime at school

 Victims of violent crimes were about 3 times as likely as nonvictims to report they were afraid of being attacked at school (53% versus 19%) (table 18).

The overwhelming majority of students who had not been victimized reported no fear of attack, either at school (81%) or on the way to and from school (87%).

· Seventy-four percent of violent crime victims said that they never feared attack on the way to and from school; 47% never feared attack at school.

- Students who had been robbed or assaulted during the previous 6 months were more likely to avoid certain places at school out of fear of attack or harm (25%) than those who had experienced a theft or attempted theft (10%). About 5% of those who had not been victimized reported staying away from places at school to avoid attacks (table 19).
- Six percent of students indicated they avoided some place in or around their school because they thought someone might attack or harm them there (table 20). School restrooms (3%) were most often mentioned as a place students avoided, followed by school hallways (2%).

Table 18.	Students	fearing	attack,	by location	and whether
victimized	by viole	nt crime	during	previous 6	months

Location of feared	Total	Pe	rcent of stu	dents feari	ng an attac	ck .		
attack and whether victim of violent crime	number of students	Total	Never	Almost never	Some- times	Most times	·	
Atschool								
Violent crime								
victims	430,819	100%	47%	28%	18%	7%		
Nonvictims	16,672,027	100	81	15	4			
in travel								
to and from school								
Violent crime								
victims	430,819	100%	74%	15%	8%	3%*		
Nonvictims	16.643.909	100	87	10	3			

Note: Percentages may not total 100% because of rounding. The category "not ascertained" has been excluded on each fear variable.

\*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology. -Less than 0.5%.

#### Table 19. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, by victimization during previous 6 months

Type of victimization at school	Total number of students	Percent of students ever avoiding places at school out of fear
Any victimizati	on	
Yes	1,927,162	12%
No	19,626,931	5
Any violent victimization		
Yes	430,819	25%
No	21,123,273	5
Any property victimization		
Yes	1,574,354	10%
No	19,979,738	6

#### Table 20. Students avoiding places inside or outside school out of fear of crime

Place avoided	Percent of students avoiding places out of fear of crime	
Shortcut	1.5%	
Inside school		
Entrance	1.3%	
Hallways	2.1	T I
Cafeteria	1.6	
Restroom	2.7	
Other places	1.1	
Outelde school		
Parking lot	1.3%	
Other places	1.7	

- About the same percentage of male and female students feared an attack at school and avoided certain places because of that fear (table 21). However, female students expressed more fear of attack going to and from school than did male students.
- About the same percentage of black students, white students, and students of other races such as Asians and American Indians reported being afraid of attack at school and avoiding a place out of fear. However, more black students (21%) and students of other races (18%) feared
- attack going to and from school than did white students (13%).
- Hispanic students were more likely than non-Hispanics to indicate fear of attack both at school and going to and from school. Compared to non-Hispanic students, Hispanics were more likely to have avoided at least one place at school out of fear of being hurt.
- Younger students were more likely than older students to fear attack at school or going to and from school. Also, younger students were more likely to avoid certain places than were older students — 12year-olds being twice as likely to report such action as 18-year-olds.
- Students whose families had moved twice or more during the previous 5 years were more likely to report being afraid of attack at school than were students who had moved less frequently.
- Generally, students from families with low incomes were the most likely to be afraid of attacks at school and to avoid places because of that fear.
- Students in central cities were more likely than suburban students to indicate that they at least occasionally feared attack at school and that they avoided certain places out of fear. Central city students were also more likely to fear attack going to and from school than were either suburban or nonmetropolitan area students.

Table 21. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by selected student characteristics

			ercent of stude		
	Total	Avoiding	Ever fearin	g an attack	
Student	number	places at		Going to and	
characteristic	ofstudents	school	At school	from school	······································
C					
Sex Male	11,166,316	6%	22%	14%	
Female	10,387,776	. 6	21	16	
1 onicio	10,007,770	. 0	4.1	10	
Race					
White	17,306,626	6%	22%	13%	
Black	3,449,488	7	22	21	
Other	797,978	6	22	18	
Hispanio origin					
Hispanic	2,026,968	8%	26%	22%	
Non-Hispanic	19,452,697	6	21	14	
Notascertained	74,428	14*	23*	19*	
	, ,,,,,,	1-7			
Age					
12	3,220,891	8%	27%	18%	
13	3,318,714	7	27	17	
14	3,264,574	7	24	15	
15	3,214,109	6	21	13	
16	3,275,002	5	20	14	
17	3,273,628	4	17	12	
18	1,755,825	4	13	10	
19	231,348	8*	20	15	
Number of times					
family moved					
in last 5 years					
None	18,905,538	6%	21%	15%	
Once	845,345	5	18	11	
Twice	610,312	8	27	16	
3 or more	1,141,555	6	26	16	
Not ascertained		7	24*	14*	
MOT RECEITMING	51,343	,	44"	145	
Family Income					
Less than \$7,500	2,041,418	8%	24%	18%	
\$7,500-\$9,999	791,086	9	25	18	
\$10,000-\$14,999	1,823,150	8	25	19	
\$15,000-\$24,999	3,772,445	6	23	15	
\$25,000-\$29,999	1,845,313	6	21	15	
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,798,448	5	21	13	
\$50,000 or more	3,498,382	. 4	19	11	
Not ascertained	1,983,849	5	18	16	
Place of residence				4004	
Cantant alks	E 04E 994	8%	0.40/	19%	
Central city	5,816,321		24%		
Suburbs Nonmetroplitan area	10,089,207 5,648,564	5 6	2476 20 22	12 13	

\*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology.

Table 22. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by location, race, and Hispanic origin

			ercent of stud				
Location,	Total	Avoiding	Ever fearin	g an attack			
race, and	number	places at		Going to and			
Hispanic origin	ofstudents	school	At school	from school	 	-	
Central city							
Race							
White	3,769,413	7%	25%	18%			
Black	1,766,798	8	22	24			
Other	280,111	11	20	18			
Hispanic origin							
Hispanic	964,145	10%	28%	26%			
Non-Hispanic	4.826,185	7	23	18			
Not ascertained	25,992	11*	7*	7*			
Suburbs							
Race							
White	8,776,228	5%	20%	12%			
Black	919,265	4	21	15			
Other	393,714	4	20	19			
Hispanic origin							
Hispanic	783,655	6%	23%	21%			
Non-Hispanic	9,278,865	5	20	12			
Not ascertained	26,687	21	38*	33*			
Nonmetropolitan are	48						
Race							
White	4,760,985	6%	21%	12%			
Black	763,425	9	24	20			
Other	124,154	4	32	13*			
Hispanic origin							
Hispanic	279,168	6%	24%	13%			
Non-Hispanic	5,347,647	6	22	14			
Not ascertained	21,749	9 •	23 *	16*			

<sup>\*</sup>Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology.

- Black students in the central city were more likely to harbor fear of attack going to and from school (24%) than were suburban blacks (15%) (table 22). Similarly, white students in the central city were more likely to fear such an attack (18%) than were suburban whites (12%).
- · Among students in the central city, blacks (24%) were more likely than whites (18%) to fear an attack going to and from school. Suburban students of different races reported about the same level of fear.
- Public school students (22%) were substantially more likely than students in private schools (13%) to indicate some level of fear of attack at school (table 23). Students in public schools were also twice as likely as private school students to avoid certain places at school out of fear.
- Students going to and from school by car were the least likely of all students and those using public transit the most likely — to claim they had feared attack going to and from school (table 24). Students who walked were more likely to fear attack going to and from school, to avoid the shortest route to school, and to stay away from places outside the school building out of fear than were students using other modes of transportation except public transit.

Table 23. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by school characteristics

			Percent of stu	idents			
	Total	Avoiding	Everfeal	ring an attack			
School number characteristic of students	places at school	Atschool	Going to and from school	·			
Type of school							
Public	19,264,643	6%	22%	15%			
Private	1,873,077	3	13	14			
Not ascertained	416,372	7	30	16			
Grade in school							
6th	1,817,511	8%	25%	18%			
7th	3,170,126	9	29	18			
8th	3,258,506	6	25	17			
9th	3,390,701	7	22	13			
10th	3,082,441	5	22	14			
11th	3,223,624	4	16	13			
12th	3,171,819	4	15	11			
Other	439,364	7	21	15			

#### Objects for self-protection

 Two percent of students had taken something to school to protect themselves from attack or harm at least once during a 6-month period (table 25).
Objects for protection could have included weapons like a gun, knife, or brass knuckles, or things that could be used as weapons — razor blades, spiked

jewelry, and other objects capable of hurting an assailant.

• Students in central cities (3%) were more likely than those in the suburbs (2%) to report taking to school something that could be used as a weapon; students in nonmetropolitan areas (1%) were the least likely to arm themselves with objects for protection. Males (3%) were slightly more likely than females (1%) to take such objects to school.

### Table 24. Students ever fearing crime or avoiding areas outside school, by mode of transportation to and from school

		Per	cent of stude	nts		
	Total	Ever fearing an	Avoidi	ng out of fear		
Transportation to and from school	number of students	attack going to and from school	Shortest route	Places outside school building		
Walking	2,725,213	25%	4%	6%		
School bus	7,965,766	13	1	3		
Public transportation	808,325	31	4	6		
Car	7,257,804	8	1	2		
Other, including						
combined modes	2,757,608	20	2	3		
Not ascertained	39,376			<b>-</b> ;		
-Less than 0.5%.		<del> </del>			 :	

#### School security measures

 The SCS asked students whether teachers stood in doorways and monitored hallways during class changes, whether anyone patrolled hallways, and whether visitors were required to report to the school office. Black students were

Table 25. Students reporting that they had taken something to school to protect themselves

Student characteristic	Total number of students	Percent of students who had taken a weapon or object to school for protection
Sex		
Male	11,166,316	3%
Female	10,387,776	1
Race		
White	17,306,626	2%
Black	3,449,488	2
Other	797,978	. 2
Hispanic origin		
Hispanic	2,026,968	2%
Non-Hispanic	19,452,697	2
Notascertained	74,428	<u> -</u>
Place of residence		
Central city	5,816,321	3%
Suburbs	10,089,207	2
Nonmetroplitan are	a 5,648,564	1

	Total number	Percent of stu-		Percentof	students
	ofstudents	dents reporting	Total	reporting	
Student	who changed	teachers monitor	number	Hali patrols	Visitor
characteristic	classrooms*	classchanges	ofstudents	during day	sign-in
Sex					
Male	10,179,574	70%	11,166,316	65%	91%
Female	9,629,228	72	10,387,776	65	92
Race					
White	15,926,642	70%	17,306,626	63%	91%
Black	3,161,172	79	3,449,488	74	95
Other	720,988	51	797,978	66	90
Outel	120,800		191,910	90	<b>5</b> 0
Hispanic origin	4.007.004	0004		7001	
Hispanic	1,827,924	68%	2,026,968	72%	92%
Non-Hispanic	17,916,970	71	19,452,697	64	91
Notascertained	63,907	64	74,428	66	100
Age					
12	2,372,119	74%	3,220,891	51%	88%
13	3,007,975	79	3,318,714	60	91
14	3,101,059	74	3,264,574	64	92
15	3,113,560	69	3,214,109	70	93
16	3,166,217	67	3,275,002	71	93
17	3,198,295	67	3,273,628	70	92
18	1,647,956	66	1,755,825	69	92
19	201,619	68	231,348	71	90
	201,018	<b>5</b> 0	201,040	′ '	
Family income	1 004 165	700/	0.041.410	670/	93%
Less than \$7,500	1,824,165	76%	2,041,418	67%	
\$7,500-\$9,999	702,516	77	791,086	69	95
\$10,000-\$14,999	1,615,366	75	1,823,150	63	92
\$15,000-\$24,999	3,463,960	72	3,772,445	66	91
\$25,000-\$29,999	1,714,809	73	1,845,313	64	91
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,352,099	72	5,798,448	64	91
\$50,000 and over	3,306,509	60	3,498,382	62	90
Notascertained	1,829,377	70	1,983,849	66,	93
Place of residence					
Central city	5,217,390	71%	5,816,321	69%	91%
Suburbs	9,380,062	68	10,089,207	63	91
Nonmetropolitan area		77	5.648.564	62	92

\*Excludes students who remained in the same classroom all day.

more likely than students of other racial groups to report that their schools took such security measures (table 26).

- Hispanic students were more likely than non-Hispanics to attend schools where halls were patrolled.
- Teacher monitors were less common in schools attended by students age 15 or older. However, these older students

Table 27. Victimization of students						
at school,	, by school s	ecurity measures				

	.,	,		
School	Total	Percent		
security	number	reporting	victimiza	ation
measure	of students	Total V	iolent P	roperty
Teacher				
hall monitors				
Yes	14,034,906	9%	2%	8%
No	5,676,190	10	2	8
	-,,		_	
Otherhali				
monitors				
Yes	13,937,237	10%	2%	8%
No	7,456,759		2	6
	1,400,100	•	~	٠.
Visitor				
sign-in				
Yes	19,707,768	9%	2%	7%
No	765,387	9	2*	7
140	100,007	ð	2 "	. •
Open school				
Yes	4,416,242	10%	2%	8%
No	16,159,308		2 70	7
	10, 109,308	9	- 4	,
Only certain	044.007	40		
grades	811,087	10	3*	8

Note: The category "not ascertained" on each security measure has been excluded.
\*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology.

were more likely than other students to indicate that nonteachers patrolled their halls.

- Students whose annual family income was less than \$15,000 were the most likely to attend schools where teachers monitored class changes and visitors had to sign in. Students whose families earned less than \$30,000 annually reported school hall patrols more frequently than other students.
- Students from nonmetropolitan areas were the most likely to attend schools using teacher monitors, and suburban students the least likely. Students from

Table 28. Students ever fearing attack at school, by school security measures

School security measure	Total number of students	Percent of students ever fearing an attack at school
Teacher monitors Yes No	14,034,906 5,676,190	23% 20
Other hall monitors Yes No	13,937,237 7,456,759	24% 18
Vieltorsign-in Yes No	19,707,768 765,387	22% 20

Note: The category "not ascertained" on each security measure has been excluded.

- urban centers were more likely to have their halls patrolled than students from other areas.
- Violent crime occurred about as frequently in schools using security measures like hall monitors as in schools without such measures, according to student reports (table 27).
- Students in schools using hall monitors or patrols as a security measure were more likely to fear an attack than those attending schools without monitors or patrols (table 28).
- Two-thirds of all students reported that those caught fighting or drunk at school could be suspended (table 29). Approximately 38% indicated that students who cut class could also be suspended and/or given detention. By comparison, a fourth of the survey participants said students who were disrespectful to teachers could be suspended. Students were most likely to be sent to the principal's office and/or given detention for being disrespectful.

Table 29. Disciplinary actions that students reported, by infractions

		t of student appens to a	s reporung student caug	ht		
Disciplinary action	Not respect- ing a teacher	Fighting	Drunk at school	Cutting class	 	
Nothing	2%	. <u>-</u> '		1%		
Disciplined by a teacher	19	5	2	5		
Sent to the principal's office	52	44	28	30		
Parents are notified	21	26	27	25		
Detention	38	20	5	39		
Suspension	25	-66	67	38		
Other	10	. 9	17	11		
Not known	3	2	15	8		

Note: Detail will not total to 100% because respondents may have reported more than one action for each infraction. The number of students represented was 21,554,092. —Less than 0.5%.

The National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) collects data on crime from a nationally representative sample of households (47,000 in 1990). When a household is selected for inclusion in the sample, household members age 12 or older are interviewed every 6 months for 3 years. During each interview information is obtained about the personal victimizations, if any, experienced by the interviewee in the 6 months preceding the interview. One member, generally over age 18, is also designated the household respondent, from whom information is obtained about all crimes committed against the household during the preceding 6 months.

The NCVS measures both attempted and completed incidents of the violent crimes of rape, robbery, and aggravated and simple assault; personal thefts with and without contact; and the household crimes of burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft.

This report presents data collected in interviews conducted from January through June of 1989 as a supplement to the regular NCVS data collection program. It focuses on personal crimes of violence and theft that were committed inside a school building or on school property only.

The eligible respondents for this School Crime Supplement (SCS) were those household members who were between the ages of 12 and 19, had attended school at any time during the 6 months preceding the interview, and were enrolled in a school which would advance them towards the eventual receipt of a high school diploma. The number of valid respondents for the supplement was 10,449.

The tables that deal with crime measure victimization in terms of prevalence rather than incidence. Thus, victimization is measured in terms of how widespread it is among survey respondents rather than in terms of how frequently all victims had been victimized during the measurement period. Each individual who has been the victim of a violent crime, for example, is counted once in the violent crime index even if he or she has been victimized multiple times, each in separate violent incidents.

Unweighted counts of crimes occurring at school, from the NCVS survey instrument, reveal a slight underreporting of these crimes in the SCS. The supplement is administered to eligible respondents only after completion of their entire NCS interview. Thus, some students may forget to report to the supplement all victimizations occurring at school that were previously noted in the NCVS interview. In order to obtain the most complete count of crimes occurring at school and their characteristics, the authors chose to tally crimes committed against eligible SCS respondents by using NCVS victimizations of these respondents which were reported to have occurred at school.

In this report, nonvictims are those valid respondents who did not report any victimizations on either the NCVS questionnaire or the SCS.

#### Estimation procedures

An incident is a specific criminal act involving one or more victims, while a victimization refers to the criminal act as it affects a single victim. Therefore, because personal crimes may involve more than one victim, the number of victimizations is determined by the number of victims of the crime. This number is calculated by the application of a victim-weight, using standard NCVS weighting procedures, which results in a national estimate of victimization.

The data in this report have been weighted by a special "school crime" weight. This weight differs from the victim-weight in that incoming (first interview) as well as continuing household rotation groups are used in its computation. For a detailed description of NCVS estimation procedures, see appendix iii of Criminal Victimization in the United States, 1989 (NCJ-129391).

#### Reliability of comparisons

All comparisons made in this report were tested to determine whether the differences between groups were statistically significant. The comparisons presented are significant at the 95% confidence level or above, except where qualified by phrases such as, "somewhat" or "some evidence", which indicate significance at the 90% confidence level

only. Statistical significance at the 95% confidence level requires that the estimated difference between the values being compared is greater than twice the standard error of this difference.

Tables also note when estimates are based on 10 or fewer sample cases; standard errors cannot be computed accurately for such estimates. It is particularly inadvisable to compare these with other estimates based on a small sample size.

#### Definition of terms

Hall monitors: Hall monitors other than teachers such as security guards, principals, or other students.

Inside areas: Inside areas include hallways, stairs, cafeterias, restrooms, and any other areas inside of the school building.

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA): A county or group of counties containing at least one city or combined cities of 50,000 or more inhabitants and adjacent counties which are metropolitan in character and are economically and socially integrated with the central city. The MSA is named after the central city (or cities) contained in it. Boundaries are drawn by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

Open school: A school in which students are permitted to leave the school grounds during lunch.

Other drugs: Other illegal drugs such as heroine, LSD, PCP, and unspecified drugs which may be available at school.

Other race: Includes Asians, Pacific Islanders, and Native Americans.

Outside areas: Outside areas include entrances into the school, parking lots, and any other areas on school grounds.

Property crime: In this report, this crime category comprises personal larceny, with or without contact, and motor vehicle theft.

Violent crime: Includes the crimes of rape, robbery, and simple and aggravated assault.

		OMB No. 1121-0139: Approval Expires 12/31/89			
	We estimate that it will take from 5 to 15 minutes to complete this interview, with 10 minutes being the average time. If you have any comments regarding these estimates or any other aspect of this survey, send them to the Associate Director for Management Services, Room 2027, Bureau of the Census, Washington, DC 2023; or to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget,	NOTICE — Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law (U.S. Code 42, Sections 3789g and 3735). All identifiable information will be used only by persons engaged in and for the purposes of the survey, and may not be disclosed or released to others for any purposes.  FORM SCS-1  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
	Washington, DC 20503.	(11-22-88)  ACTING AS COLLECTING AGENT FOR THE BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS  BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS			
P G M	Sample Control number	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			
М	PSU Segment CK Serial	NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY			
2	<u>.                                    </u>	SCHOOL CRIME SUPPLEMENT			
	A. Field representative code B. Respondent 1000 Line No. 1002 Age	Name			
		D. D.			
	C. Type of interview	D. Reason for noninterview			
	1003 1 Personal — Self	SCS noninterview			
١	2 Telephone — Self SKIP TO ITEM 1, 3 Personal — Proxy SECTION E	2 ☐ Refused			
	4 Telephone — Proxy	3 ☐ Not available			
	5 Noninterview — FILL ITEM D	4  Physically or mentally unable and no proxy available			
		110 proxy available			
-	FIELD REPRESENTATIVE — Read introduction —	tions about your school activities.			
		ns For Supplement			
	Were you attending school at any time PGM 3     during the last six months?	1005 1 Yes			
		1 3 - NO - END INTERVIEW			
	In what month did your current school year begin?	1006 1 \(\tilde{\to}\) August			
	school year begint	2 September			
١		3 ☐ C/ther — Specify			
	3. Did you attend school for all of the last	CVIDA:			
Į	six months?	1007 1 Yes — SKIP to question 5			
	A blow many manths were you in actual	1008 1 One month			
	4. How many months were you in school during the last six months?	1 1008 1 1 One month 2 Two months			
١		Three months			
ļ		4 ☐ Four months			
		5 🗆 Five months			
	5. What grade are you in school?	1009 1 Sixth			
		2 ☐ Seventh			
		3 ☐ Eighth			
- [		4 ☐ Ninth			
		I 5 ☐ Tenth			
		6 ☐ Eleventh 7 ☐ Twelfth			
- [		8 Other - Specify			
ı		9 ☐ College level — END INTERVIEW			
	F Environme	ntal Questions			
1		ital Questions			
ı	6a. What is the name of your school?				
		1			
1					
-		1010			
	b. In what city, county, and state is your school				
	located?	City			
		1011			
1		County			
		1012			
		State			
	7. Is your school public or private?	1013 1 Public			
		2 Private			
	8. What grades are taught in your school?	1014			
		Grades: to			
		1 1015			
		<u> </u>			
ĺ	9. How far away from your home is the school you	1016 1 Less than 1 mile			
ı	attend?	2 □ 1 – 5 miles			
	READ THE CATEGORIES.	₃ ☐ 6−10 miles			
		4 ☐ 11—25 miles			
		5 More than 25 miles			
ı		6 ☐ Don't know how far			

	F. Environmental Qu	estions	— Continued
10.	How do you get to school most of the time?	1017	1 ☐ Walk 2 ☐ School bus 3 ☐ Public bus, subway, train 4 ☐ Car 5 ☐ Bicycle, motorbike, or motorcycle 6 ☐ Some other way — Specify ¬  Z
		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11.	How do you get home from school most of the time?	1018	1 □ Walk 2 □ School bus 3 □ Public bus, subway, train 4 □ Car 5 □ Bicycle, motorbike, or motorcycle 6 □ Some other way — Specify ¬
L	:	! <u>!</u>	
	Are most students at your school allowed to leave the school grounds to eat lunch?	1019	1 □ Yes 2 □ No 3 □ Only certain grades 4 □ Don't know
13.	In the past six months, have you participated in or attended any extra-curricular school activities?	1020	1 □ Yes 2 □ No
14.	Do you spend most of the schoolday in the same classroom?	1021	1 ☐ Yes — <i>SKIP to question 16</i> 2 ☐ No
15.	During class changes, do teachers stand in the doorways and monitor the halls?	1022	1 □ Yes 2 □ No
16.	Does anyone (else) patrol the hallways during school hours?	1023	1 □ Yes 2 □ No
17.	Are visitors to your school required to report to the school office?	1024	1 □ Yes 2 □ No 3 □ Don't know
18.	ls it safe to store money or valuables in your locker at school?	1025	1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No 3 ☐ Don't have lockers 4 ☐ Don't know
:	What happens to a student who gets caught doing the following things in your school? READ THE ANSWER CATEGORIES IF NECESSARY. Mark (X) all that apply. Being disrespectful to teachers?	1026	1 ☐ Nothing 2 ☐ Student disciplined by teacher 3 ☐ Student sent to the principal's office 4 ☐ Student's parents are notified 5 ☐ Detention 6 ☐ Suspension 7 ☐ Other — Specify —
		l l	8 ☐ Don't know
b	Fighting with other students?  Mark (X) all that apply.	1027 *	1 ☐ Nothing 2 ☐ Student disciplined by teacher 3 ☐ Student sent to the principal's office 4 ☐ Student's parents are notified 5 ☐ Detention 6 ☐ Suspension 7 ☐ Other — Specify →
		[	s □ Don't know
C.	Drinking or being drunk at school?	1028	1 🗌 Nothing
	Mark (X) all that apply.	*	2 Student disciplined by teacher 3 Student sent to the principal's office 4 Student's parents are notified 5 Detention 6 Suspension 7 Other — Specify
		l   	s □ Don't know
d.	Cutting classes?  Mark (X) all that apply.	1029	1 ☐ Nothing 2 ☐ Student disciplined by teacher 3 ☐ Student sent to the principal's office 4 ☐ Student's parents are notified 5 ☐ Detention 6 ☐ Suspension 7 ☐ Other — Specify →
			s □ Don't know

Page 2

F. Environmental Questions — Continued							
20. Have you attended any drug education cla your school during the last six months?	asses in i	1030	1   1 2   1 3   1				
Does your school try to prevent students f having drugs or alcohol in school in any of following ways?  READ THE CATEGORIES.		1031 *	2 🔲 : 3 🔲 :				
Mark (X) all that apply.				•	stroom che	cks	*
	!		_	Other — <i>Sp</i> School tak	ecity es no prever	itative ac	tion
22. The following question refers to the availa	ability						
of drugs and alcohol in school. Tell me if you don't know what any of these items are.							
How easy or hard is it for someone to get following things at your school?  READ THE CATEGORIES.	the		Easy	Hard	Impossible	DK	Don't know drug
a, Alcoholic beverages		1032	1 🗆	2	з□	4	5 🗆
b. Marijuana		1033	1 🗆	2	3□	4	5
C. Cocaine		1034	1	2	3□	4	5 🗆
d. Crack		1035	1 🗆	2	3□	4	5□
e. Uppers/downers		1036	1 🗆	2	3 🗆	4	5□
f. Other illegal drugs	і 	1037	1 🗆	2	3□	4	5 🗆
23. Are there any street gangs at your school	<b>?</b> [	1038			o question 2	5	
24. How often do street gang members fight each other at school?	with	1039	2     ( 3     ( 4     (	Never or alm Once or twice Once or twice Once or twice Almost ever	ce a year ce a month ce a week		
25. In the last six months, did a student attack threaten to attack a teacher in your school		1040	1 🔲 ' 2 🔲   3 🔲				:
	G. Victin	nizatio	n				
READ THE FOLLOWING —  The following questions are about crimes have happened to you at school. By "at so we mean in the school building, on the sci grounds, or on a school bus. Be sure to incrimes you have told me about earlier in the interview.	chool" hool clude his	PGM 4					
26a. During the past six months, did anyone ta money or things DIRECTLY FROM YOU b weapons, or threats at school?		1041	2   1		o question 2	7a	
b. How many times did this happen during the six months?	ne last	1042		Num	ber of times		
C. How many of these times was your total lo worth more than \$10?	088	1043		Nun	ber of times		
27a. During the last six months, did anyone ste something from your desk, locker, or som place at school, (other than any incidents mentioned)?	e other	1044	1 🔲 ` 2 🗆 I		o question 2	8a	:
b. How many times did this happen during the six months?	  -  - 	1045	:	Nun	nber of times		
C. How many of these times was your total le worth more than \$10?	oss	1046		Nun	nber of times		

FORM SCS-1 (11-22-88)

G. Victimization — Continued						
28a. (Other than the incidents just mentioned), did anyone physically attack you at school during the last six months?	1 □ Yes 2 □ No — SKIP to question 29					
b. How many times did this happen?	Number of times					
C. Did you go to a doctor as a result of (this/any of these) attacks?	1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No — SKIP to question 28e					
CHECK ITEM A  If attacked only once, SKIP to question 28e.						
d. How many times did you receive injuries in any of these attacks at school that led to a visit to the doctor?	Number of times					
e.(1) If 28b is one time, ASK — Was that incident an attack or just a fight?						
(2) If 28b is more than one, ASK — How many of these (fill in number from 28b) attacks were just fights?	Number of fights  o □ Incident was an attack					
H. Avo	oidance					
29a. Did you stay at home any time during the last six months because you thought someone might attack or harm you at school?	1052 1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No — <i>SKIP to question 30</i>					
<ul> <li>b. How many times did you stay at home because you thought someone might attack or harm you at school?</li> </ul>	1053 Number of times					
30. Did you STAY AWAY from any of the following places because you thought someone might attack or harm you there? READ THE CATEGORIES.						
a. The shortest route to school?	1054 1 Yes 2 No					
b. The entrances into the school?	1055 1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No					
C. Any hallways or stairs in school?	1056 1 Yes 2 No					
d. Parts of the school cafeteria?	1057 1 Yes 2 No					
e. Any school restrooms?	1058 1 Yes 2 No					
f. Other places inside the school building?	1059 1 Yes 2 No					
g. School parking lot?	1060 1  Yes 2  No					
h. Other places on school grounds?	1061 1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No					
11 Extra-culticular scribbs activities:	1002   Lifes 2   NO					
31. How often are you afraid that someone will attack or harm you at school?	1063 1 Never 2 Almost never 3 Sometimes					
	4 ☐ Most of the time					
32. How often are you afraid that someone will attack or harm you on the way to and from school?	1064 1 Never 2 Almost never 3 Sometimes 4 Most of the time					
33. During the last six months how often did you bring something to school to protect yourself from being attacked or harmed?	1065 1 Never — SKIP to Check Item B 2 Almost never 3 Sometimes 4 Most of the time  1065 ASK question 34					
34. What did you bring to school to protect yourself	1066 1 Gun					
from being attacked or harmed?  Mark (X) all that apply.	* 2 ☐ Knife 3 ☐ Brass knuckles					
мак (х) ан тасарру.	4 ☐ Razor blade					
	s ☐ Spiked jewelry s ☐ Mace					
	7 ☐ Nunchucks					
	8 ☐ Something else — Specify →					
35. Did bringing the weapon to school make you feel any safer?	1067 1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No					
CHECK ITEM B  Is this the last household member to be interviewed?	☐ Yes — END SUPPLEMENT ☐ No — Interview next household member					

#### **Bureau of Justice Statistics** reports

(Revised October 1991)

Call toll-free 800-732-3277 (local 301-251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists, or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850.

#### BJS maintains the following mailing lists:

- Law enforcement reports (new)
- Drugs and crime data (new)
- Justice spending & employment
- White-collar crime
- National Crime Survey (annual)
- Corrections (annual)
- Courts (annual)
- Privacy and security of criminal history information and
- information policy Federal statistics (annual)
- BJS bulletins and special reports (approximately twice a month) Sourcebook of Criminal Justice
- Statistics (annual)

Single copies of reports are free; use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public-use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (formerly CJAIN), P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, Mi 48106 (toll-free 1-800-999-0960).

#### **National Crime Victimization Survey**

The Nation's two crime measures: Uniform Crime Reports and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-122705, 4/90

Criminal victimization in the U.S.: 1973-88 trends, NCJ-129392, 7/91 1989 (final), NCJ-129391, 6/91 1988 (final), NCJ-122024, 10/90

BJS special reports Handgun crime victims, NCJ-123559, 7/90 Black victims, NCJ-122562, 4/90 Hispanic victims, NCJ-120507, 1/90 The redesigned National Crime Survey: Selected new data, NCJ-114746, 1/89 Motor vehicle theft, NCJ-109978, 3/88 Elderly victims, NCJ-107676, 11/87 Violent crime trends, NCJ-107217, 11/87 Robbery victims, NCJ-104638, 4/87

Violent crime by strangers and non-strangers, NCJ-103702, 1/87 Preventing domestic violence against women, NCJ-102037, 8/86

Crime prevention measures, NCJ-100438,

The use of weapons in committing crimes, NCJ-99643, 1/86

Reporting crimes to the police, NCJ-99432, 12/85

The economic cost of crime to victims, NCJ-93450, 4/84

BJS bulletins

Criminal victimization 1990, NCJ-130234, 10/91

Crime and the Nation's households, 1990. NCJ-130302, 8/91 The crime of rape, NCJ-96777, 3/85

Household burglary, NCJ-96021, 1/85 Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81

BJS technical reports New directions for the NCS, NCJ-115571,

Series crimes: Report of a field test, NCJ-104615, 4/87

School crime, NCJ-131645, 9/91 Teenage victims of crime, NCJ-128129, 5/91 Female victims of violent crime, NCJ-127187, 1/91

Redesign of the National Crime Survey, NCJ-111457, 3/89

The seasonality of crime victimization, NCJ-111033, 6/88

Crime and older Americans information package, NCJ-104569, 5/87, \$10 Victimization and lear of crime: World perspectives, NCJ-93872, 1/85, \$9.15

The National Crime Survey: Working papers, Current and historical perspectives, vol. I, NCJ-75374, 8/82 Methodology studies, vol. II, NCJ-90307, 12/84

Corrections BJS bulletins and special reports
Capital punishment 1990, NCJ-131648, 9/91 Prisoners in 1990, NCJ-129198, 5/91 Women In prison, NCJ-127991, 4/91 Violent State prison inmates and their victims, NCJ-124133, 7/90

Prison rule violators, NCJ-120344, 12/89 Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983, NCJ-116261, 4/89

Drug use and crime: State prison inmate survey, 1986, NCJ-111940, 7/88 Time served in prison and on parole, 1984, NCJ-108544, 12/87 Profile of State prison inmates, 1986,

NCJ-109926, 1/88 Imprisonment in four countries,

NCJ-103967, 2/87

Population density in State prisons, NCJ-103204, 12/86

State and Federal prisoners, 1925-85, NCJ-102494, 11/86 Prison admissions and releases, 1983.

NCJ-100582, 3/86 The prevalence of imprisonment, NCJ-93657, 7/85

Prisoners at midyear 1991 (press release),

10/91 Correctional populations in the United States: 1989, NCJ-130445, 10/91 1988, NCJ-124280, 3/91

Race of prisoners admitted to State and Federal Institutions, 1926-86, NCJ-125618, 6/91 National corrections reporting program,

1985, NCJ-123522, 12/90 Historical statistics on prisoners in State and Federal Institutions, yearend 1925-86, NCJ-111098, 6/88

1984 census of State adult correctional facilities, NCJ-105585, 7/87

Census of jalls and survey of jall inmates BJS bulletins and special reports Drugs and jail Inmates, NCJ-130836, 8/91 Jail Inmates, 1990, NCJ-139756, 6/91 Profile of jail Inmates, 1989, NCJ-129097,

Jail inmates, 1989, NCJ-123264, 6/90 Population density in local jails, 1988, NCJ-122299, 3/90

Census of local jalis, 1988 (BJS bulletin),

NCJ-121101, 2/90
Jall Inmates, 1987, NCJ-114319, 12/88
Drunk driving, NCJ-109945, 2/88
Jall Inmates, 1986, NCJ-107123, 10/87

Census of local Jalis 1988: Summary and methodology, vol. I, NCJ-127992, 3/91

Data for individual jails in the Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. II-V, NCJ-130759-130762, 9/91

Census of local jails, 1983: Data for individual jails, Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. I-IV, NCJ-112796-9, 11/88 Selected findings, methodology, summary tables, vol. V, NCJ-112796, 11/88

#### Parole and probation

BJS bulletins

Probation and parole: 1989, NCJ-125833, 11/90 1988, NCJ-119970, 11/89

BJS special reports Recidivism of young parolees, NCJ-104916,

#### Children in custody

Census of public and private juvanile detention, correctional, and shelter facilities, 1975-85, NCJ-114065, 6/89 Survey of youth in custody, 1987 (special report), NCJ-113365, 9/88

#### Law enforcement management

BJS bulletins and special reports Police departments in large cities, 1987, NCJ-119220, 8/89

Profile of state and local law enforcement agencies, NCJ-113949, 3/89

#### Expenditure and employment

BJS bulletins

Justice expenditure and employment: 1988, NCJ-123132, 7/90

Anti-drug abuse formula grants: Justice variable pass-through data, 1988 (BJS technical report), NCJ-120070, 3/90

Justice expenditure and employment: 1988 (full report), NCJ-125619, 8/91 1985 (full report), NCJ-106356, 8/89 Extracts, 1984, 1985, 1986, NCJ-124139, 8/91

#### Courts

BJS bulletins

Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1988, NCJ-127202, 2/91

Felony sentences in State courts, 1988, NCJ-126923, 12/90

Criminal defense for the poor, 1986, NCJ-112919, 9/88

State felony courts and felony laws, NCJ-106273, 8/87

The growth of appeals: 1973-83 trends, NCJ-96381, 2/85 Case filings in State courts 1983,

NCJ-95111, 10/84

BJS special reports

Felony case processing in State courts, 1986, NCJ-121753, 2/90 Felony case-processing time, NCJ-101985,

Felony sentencing in 18 local jurisdictions, NCJ-97681, 6/85

Felons sentenced to probation in State courts, 1986, NCJ-124944, 11/90 Felony defendants in large urban countles, 1988, NCJ-122385, 4/90

Profile of felons convicted in State courts, 1936, NCJ-120021, 1/90

Sentencing outcomes in 28 felony courts, NCJ-105743, 8/87 The prosecution of felony arrests:

1987, NCJ-124140, 9/90 Felony laws of the 50 States and the District of Columbia, 1986, NCJ-105066, 2/88, \$14.60 State court model statistical dictionary:

Supplement, NCJ-98326, 9/85 1st edition, NCJ-62320, 9/80

#### Privacy and security

Compendium of State privacy and security legislation:

1989 overview, NCJ-121157, 5/90 1987 overview, NCJ-111097, 9/88 1989 full report (1, 500 pages, microfiche \$2, hard copy \$145), NCJ-121158, 9/90

Criminal justice information policy: Forensic DNA analysis: Issues, NCJ-128567,

Statutes requiring use of criminal history record information, NCJ-129896, 6/91 Survey of criminal history information

systems, NCJ-125620, 3/91 Original records of entry, NCJ-125626,

BJS/SEARCH conference proceedings: Criminal justice in the 1990's: The future of Information management, NCJ-121697, 5/90 Juvenile and adult records: One system,

one record?, NCJ-114947, 1/90 Open vs. confidential records, NCJ-113560, 1/88

Strategies for improving data quality, NCJ-115339, 5/89

Public access to criminal history record information, NCJ-111458, 11/88 Juvenile records and recordkeeping systems, NCJ-112815, 11/88

Automated fingerprint identification systems: Technology and policy issues, NCJ-104342, 4/87 Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ-101850.

Drugs and crime facts, 1990, NCJ-128662. 8/91 State drug resources: A national directory, NCJ-122582, 5/90

Federal drug data for national policy, NCJ-122715, 4/90

Drugs and crime facts, 1989, NCJ-121022,

#### Computer crime

Drugs & crime data

BJS special reports Electronic fund transfer: fraud, NCJ-96666, 3/85 and crime, NCJ-92650, 2/84

Electronic fund transfer systems fraud, NCJ-100461, 4/86

Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81, \$11.50

#### Federal justice statistics

Compendium of Federal justice statistics: 1988, NCJ-130474, 10/91 1986, NCJ-125617, 1/91

1985, NCJ-123560, 8/90

Federal criminal case processing, 1980-89 with preliminary data for 1990, NCJ-130526,

The Federal civil justice system (BJS bulletin), NCJ-104769, 8/87

Federal offenses and offenders BJS special reports

Immigration offenses, NCJ-124546, 8/90 Federal criminal cases, 1980-87, NCJ-118311, 7/89 Drug law violators, 1980-86, NCJ-111763,

Pretrial release and detention: The Bail Reform Act of 1984, NC2-109929, 2/88 White-collar crime, NCJ-106876, 9/87

#### General

BJS bulletins and special reports
BJS telephone contacts, '91, NCJ-130133,

Tracking offenders, 1988, NCJ-129861, 6/91 Tracking offenders, 1987, NCJ-125315, 10/90 Criminal cases in five States, 1983-86, NCJ-118798, 9/89

International crime rates, NCJ-110776, 5/88

BJS national update:

Oct. '91, NCJ-131778, 10/91 July '91, NCJ-129863, 7/91 Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1990, NCJ-130580, 9/91

BJS program application kit, fiscal 1991, NCJ-128413, 3/91

Violent crime in the United States, NCJ-127855, 3/91

Attorney General's program for improving the Nation's criminal history records and

Nation's criminal history records and identifying felons who attempt to purchase firearms, NCJ-128131, 3/91
BJS data report, 1989, NCJ-121514, 1/91
Publications of BJS, 1985-89:
Microfiche library, PRO30014, 5/90, \$190
Bibliography, TBO030013, 5/90, \$17.50

Publications of BJS, 1971-84: Microfiche library, PRO30012, 10/86, \$203 Bibliography, TBO30012, 10/86, \$17.50

1990 directory of automated criminal justice Information systems, Vol. 1, Corrections; 2, Courts; 3, Law enforcement; 4, Probation and parole; 5, Prosecution; NCJ-122226-30, 5/90

BJS annual report, fiscal 1988, NCJ-115749,

Report to the Nation on crime and justice: Second edition, NCJ-105506, 6/88 Technical appendix, NCJ-112011, 8/88 Criminal justice microcomputer guide and software catalog, NCJ-112178, 8/88 National survey of crime severity, NCJ-96017,

#### See order form on last page

☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1991 312-318/50023

Please put me on the mailing list for—  □ Law enforcement reports—national data on State and local police and sheriffs' departments: operations, equipment, personnel, salaries, spending, policies, programs  □ Federal statistics—data describing Federal case processing, from investigation through prosecution, adjudication, and corrections  □ Drugs and crime data—sentencing and time served by drug offenders, drug use at time of crime by jail inmates and State prisoners, and other quality data on drugs, crime, and law enforcement  □ Justice expenditure and employment reports—annual spending and staffing by Federal/State/local governments and by function (police, courts, etc.)	<ul> <li>□ White-collar crime—data on the processing of Federal white-collar crime cases</li> <li>□ Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy—new legislation; maintaining and releasing intelligence and investigative records; data quality issues</li> <li>□ Juvenile corrections reports—juveniles in custody in public and private detention and correctional facilities</li> <li>□ BJS bulletins and special reports—timely reports of the most current justice data</li> <li>□ Prosecution and adjudication in State courts—case processing from prosecution through court disposition, State felony laws, felony sentencing, criminal defense</li> </ul>	only regular national survey of crime victims  Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics (annual)—broad-based data from 150+ sources (400+ tables, 100+ figures, subject index, annotated bibliography, addresses of sources)
		$(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{y}_{i}, y$
To be added to any BJS mailing list, please copy	Name:	
or cut out this page, fill	Title:	
in, fold, stamp, and mail	Organization:	
to the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/NCJRS.	Street or how	
	Street or box:	
You will receive an annual renewal card. If you do not	City, State, Zip:	
return it, we must drop you	Daytime phone number: ( )	
trom the mailing list.	Criminal justice interest:	
To order copies of recent  BJS reports, check here	Put your organization	
and circle items you want	and title here if you	
to receive on other side	ed home address above:	
of this sheet.		
	— FOLD. SEAL WITH TAPE. AND STAMP	-
U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics Washington, D.C. 20531		Place 1 st-clas stamp here

Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/NCJRS U.S. Department of Justice Box 6000 Rockville, MD 20850



# **National Victims Resource Center**

## provides vital information

The National Victims Resource Center (NVRC) is a national clearinghouse for victims information funded by the Office for Victims of Crime, U.S. Department of Justice. Like the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, sponsored by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), the NVRC is one of several information resources maintained by the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS). When you contact the NVRC, information specialists will provide you with access to the following resources:

- More than 7,000 victim-related books and articles covering child physical and sexual abuse, victims services, domestic violence, victim-witness programs, and violent crime included in the NCJRS data base.
- National victimization.
- Federally sponsored victim-related research studies.
- Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of people to contact for information and assistance.
- Information on State victims compensation programs funded by the Office for Victims of Crime.

## Learn About Victims Issues and Programs

From the clearinghouse you can get free publications, borrow hard-to-find publications, and buy selected videotapes. The NVRC information specialists can also conduct data base searches designed especially for your needs.

The NVRC is the single most comprehensive source of victim information: it can refer victims to programs that help soften the blow, ease their recovery from trauma, and educate them about the aftermath of crime.

OVC, NVRC information is now available on the NCJRS Electronic Bulletin Board. Call for additional details.

For your convenience, we now offer a toll-free telephone number.

If we can be of assistance, call us at

(800) 627-NVRC (6872) or (301) 251-5525 or 251-5519,

or write

National Victims Resource Center Box 6000-AJE Rockville, MD 20850