



Bureau of Justice Statistics Selected Findings

Civil Justice Survey of State Courts, 2001

January 2005, NCJ 207388

Contract Trials and Verdicts in Large Counties, 2001

By Thomas H. Cohen, J.D., Ph.D. BJS Statistician

Totals and trends

- During 2001 an estimated 3,698 contract cases were disposed of by trial in State courts of general jurisdiction in the Nation's 75 largest counties. Contract cases represented nearly a third of all civil trials in these counties.
- Judges adjudicated 56% of contract cases, while juries decided the remaining 44%.
- The 3,698 contract trials disposed of in 2001 represented a 24% decline from the 4,850 contract trials disposed of in these counties in 1996.
- About half (54%) of the contract cases disposed of by trial in the Nation's 75 largest counties in 2001 involved failed agreements between buyers and sellers. In most contract trials, the litigants were either individuals suing businesses (33%) or non-individuals such as businesses, hospitals, or governments suing businesses (26%).

Plaintiff winners

- Plaintiffs won in 65% of contract trials. Plaintiffs were more likely to prevail in contract trials decided by a judge (68%) than by a jury (62%).
- The overall plaintiff win rate in contract trials remained relatively unchanged from 1996. Plaintiff win rates in contract jury trials, however, increased from 56% in 1996 to 62% in 2001.

Damage awards

- In 2001 the overall median damage award, which includes both compensatory and punitive damages, for plaintiff winners in contract trials was \$45,000.
- Plaintiff winners garnered higher median damage awards in contract jury trials (\$81,000) compared to contract bench trials (\$30,000).
- Nearly 11% of plaintiff winners in contract jury trials received awards of \$1 million or more, while about 2% of plaintiff winners in contract bench trials won damages totaling \$1 million.
- No significant changes were found in the median damage awards in contract jury or bench trials disposed of in the Nation's 75 largest counties from 1996 to 2001.

Punitive damages

- In 2001 punitive damages were awarded to 6% of plaintiff winners in contract trials. In cases with plaintiff winners, judges imposed punitive damages in 3% of contract trials, while juries awarded punitive damages in 10% of contract trials.
- Punitive damages were awarded most frequently in contract trials involving fraud, employment discrimination, or partnership dispute claims.
- The median punitive damage award for plaintiff winners in contract trials was \$83,000 (not shown in a table).

• The median punitive damage award for plaintiff winners in contract trials was \$83,000 (not shown in a table).

Time to disposition

• Contract cases tried before a jury took slightly over 2 years (25 months) on average to proceed from filing to disposition. Contract bench trials, in comparison, were disposed within an average of 18 months.

Post verdict relief

- Plaintiffs filed motions for post verdict relief in 12% of contract trials that they won, while defendants requested post verdict relief in 26% of contract trials with a plaintiff winner.
- Motions for new trials or award modifications were among the most common types of post verdict relief sought by plaintiff winners. Defendants made requests for new trials in about 60% of contract trials in which they sought post verdict relief.
- Plaintiffs filed motions for post verdict relief in 18% of contract trials that they lost. Defendants engaged in post verdict activity in less than 10% of contract trials without a plaintiff winner.
- The courts granted post verdict relief to 57% of plaintiff winners seeking to have their verdict modified. The most common type of post verdict relief granted to these plaintiffs was an award modification. Among contract trials in which the plaintiff lost, post verdict relief was granted to 12% of plaintiffs who sought some form of post trial relief.
- Post verdict relief was granted to about a third of defendants who sought to modify a favorable plaintiff verdict or judgment. In almost half of these cases, the relief granted was in the form of an award modification.
- Plaintiffs filed appeals in 7% of contract trials in which they prevailed and 21% of contract trials in which they lost. Defendants gave notice of appeal in 20% of contract trials with a plaintiff winner and 4% of contract trials where the plaintiff did not receive a favorable verdict.

Types of litigants

- In 54% of contract trials, the primary plaintiff litigant was an individual. Businesses were plaintiffs in 44% of contract trials, while government agencies and hospitals represented fewer than 5% of plaintiffs in contract trials.
- Businesses were defendants in nearly two-thirds (62%) of contract trials disposed of in the Nation's 75 largest counties, while individuals were defendants in about a third (34%) of contract trials. Governments and hospitals represented less than 5% of defendants in contract trials.

Litigant pairings and case outcomes

- Individual plaintiffs prevailed in an estimated threefifths of contract trials where they sued an individual (59%) or business (60%) defendant. The total median damage awards individual plaintiff winners received in these contract trials was \$31,000 and \$50,000, respectively.
- Non-individual plaintiffs (businesses, governments, and hospitals) prevailed in nearly three-fourths of contract trials in which the defendant was an individual (71%) or business (74%). The total median damage award for non-individual plaintiff winners was \$60,000 in contract trials involving a business defendant and \$20,000 in trials with an individual as defendant.

Table 1. Contract cases disposed of by trial in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

	All con	tract trials		Type of tria	al
Case type	Number	Percent	Jury	Bench	Other*
All contract trials	3,698	100.0%	42.6%	55.8%	1.6%
Fraud	625	16.9%	45.6%	52.0%	2.4%
Seller plaintiff	1,208	32.7	22.7	76.3	1.0
Buyer plaintiff	793	21.4	58.1	41.0	0.9
Mortgage foreclosure	22	0.6		100.0	
Employment discrimination	166	4.5	86.7	10.2	3.0
Other employment dispute	287	7.8	57.5	38.7	3.8
Rental/lease	276	7.5	22.8	76.8	0.4
Tortious interference	138	3.7	55.8	42.0	2.2
Partnership dispute	40	1.1	55.0	45.0	
Subrogation	69	1.9	68.1	27.5	4.3
Other or unknown contract	73	2.0	48.6	48.6	2.7

Note: Data for case and disposition type were available for 100.0% of the 3,698 contract trials. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. -- No cases recorded.

Table 2. Types of plaintiffs or defendants, by types of contract trials, in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

			1	Percent of each	type of plaint	iff
Case type	Number	Total	Individual	Governmenta	Business ^b	Hospitalc
All contract trialsd	3,676	100%	53.9%	0.8%	44.3%	1.0%
Fraud	616	100%	65.1%	1.9%	32.5%	0.5%
Seller plaintiff	1,203	100	31.3	0.7	66.3	1.7
Buyer plaintiff	789	100	72.2	0.3	27.5	
Mortgage foreclosure	22	100			100.0	
Employment discrimination	165	100	99.4	0.6		
Other employment dispute	286	100	75.9	0.3	22.0	1.7
Rental/lease	275	100	44.7		53.8	1.5
Tortious interference	138	100	44.2	0.7	54.3	0.7
Partnership dispute	40	100	65.0		25.0	10.0
Subrogation	69	100	2.9	4.3	92.8	
Other or unknown contract	73	100	57.5		42.5	
			P	ercent of each ty	ne of defend	ant
	Number	Total	Individual	Government	Business ^b	Hospital
All contract trialsd	3,675	100%	33.5%	3.2%	62.3%	1.1%
Fraud	618	100%	35.0%	1.1%	63.4%	0.5%
Seller plaintiff	1,204	100	44.1	0.7	53.8	1.3
Buyer plaintiff	790	100	16.1	0.6	83.2	0.1
Mortgage foreclosure	22	100	54.5		45.5	
Employment discrimination	165	100	4.8	34.5	57.0	3.6
Other employment dispute	286	100	16.4	9.4	72.0	2.1
Rental/lease	275	100	53.5	1.5	44.7	0.4
Tortious interference	138	100	34.8	3.6	57.2	4.3
Partnership dispute	40	100	37.5		62.5	
Subrogation	65	100	66.2	1.5	32.3	
Other or unknown contract	72	100	50.0	4.2	45.8	

Note: Plaintiff or defendant type is whichever type appears first in this list: (1) hospital/medical company, (2) business, (3) government agency, and (4) individual. For example, any case involving a hospital defendant is categorized as a hospital even if there were also business, individual, or government defendants in the case. Data on plaintiff type were available for 99.5% of contract trials and data on defendant type were available for 99.4% of contract trials. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

^{*}Other trial cases include trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants. Although these cases are typically placed in a separate category, they are a form of jury trial.

⁻⁻ No cases recorded.

^aIncludes law enforcement and other governmental agencies.

^bIncludes insurance companies, banks, and other businesses and organizations.

clncludes medical companies.

Includes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

Table 3. Pairings of primary litigants in selected types of contract trials in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

	All cont	ract trials	F	raud	Seller	plaintiff	Buyer	plaintiff
Plaintiff versus	Number		Number		Number		Number	
primary defendant	of trials	Percent	of trials	Percent	of trials	Percent	of trials	Percent
All contract trials ^a	3,672	100.0%	614	100.0%	1,204	100.0%	790	100.0%
Individual versus:								
Individual	664	18.1%	161	26.3%	233	19.4%	100	12.7%
Government ^b	93	2.5	4	0.6	2	0.2	1	0.1
Business ^c	1,201	32.7	232	37.7	136	11.3	468	59.2
Hospital ^d	23	0.6	2	0.3	6	0.5	1	0.1
Non-individual versus:								
Individual	512	14.0%	38	6.2%	279	23.2%	26	3.2%
Government ^b	22	0.6	3	0.5	7	0.6	4	0.5
Business ^c	948	25.8	127	20.7	485	40.3	150	19.0
Hospital ^d	13	0.4			8	0.7		
Other litigant pairings ^e	195	5.3%	46	7.5%	48	3.9%	40	5.1%

Note: Plaintiff or defendant type is whichever type appears first in this list: (1) hospital/medical company, (2) business, (3) government agency, and (4) individual. For example, any case involving a hospital defendant is categorized as a hospital even if there were also business, individual, or government defendants in the case. Data on litigant pairings were available for 99.3% of all contract trials, 98.2% of fraud trials, 99.7% of seller plaintiff trials, and 99.6% of buyer plaintiff trials. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

Table 4. Contract trial plaintiff winners in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

	All co	All contract trials ^a		ry trials ^b	Bench trials		
		Plaintiff		Plaintiff		Plaintiff	
Case type	Number	winners ^c	Number	winners ^c	Number	winners ^c	
Contract cases	3,625	64.8%	1,528	61.6%	2,037	67.8%	
Fraud	602	58.3%	273	61.5%	314	56.7%	
Seller plaintiff	1,196	76.8	271	70.1	913	78.9	
Buyer plaintiff	779	61.5	452	62.6	320	60.9	
Mortgage foreclosure	22	72.7			22	72.7	
Employment discrimination	160	43.8	138	45.7	17	41.2	
Other employment dispute	282	55.7	163	63.2	108	47.2	
Rental/lease	276	64.9	63	63.5	212	65.6	
Tortious interference	133	57.9	71	57.7	58	56.9	
Partnership dispute	41	46.3	22	68.2	18	16.7	
Subrogation	61	67.2	40	50.0	19	100.0	
Other or unknown contract	73	56.2	35	54.3	36	55.6	

Note: Data on plaintiff winners were available for 99.9% of all contract trials.

Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

^aIncludes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

^bDoes not include jury trials that involved trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

Excludes bifurcated trials where the plaintiff litigated only the damage claim.

⁻⁻No cases recorded.

^aIncludes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

blncludes law enforcement and other governmental agencies.

^cIncludes insurance companies, banks, and other businesses and organizations.

dIncludes medical companies.

elncludes pairings where both an individual and non-individual plaintiff sued

an individual, government, hospital, or business defendant.

⁻⁻No cases recorded.

Table 5. Final damage awards for contract trials with plaintiff winners in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

	Contract trials with damages awarded	Final amount a to plaintiff winn		Percent of with final a	f plaintiff winners awards —	
Case type	to plaintiff winners ^a	Total	Median	Over \$250,000	\$1 million or more	
All contract trials ^b	2,369*	\$2,043,211,000	\$45,000	17.7%	5.4%	
Fraud	358	\$768,506,000	\$81,000	30.2%	12.0%	
Seller plaintiff	925	165,336,000	34,000	10.5	2.9	
Buyer plaintiff	477	130,585,000	45,000	17.7	4.8	
Mortgage foreclosure	13	2,731,000	70,000	13.6	13.6	
Employment discrimination	73	44,913,000	166,000	39.4	14.4	
Other employment dispute	162	265,939,000	78,000	23.8	4.8	
Rental/lease	176	24,112,000	20,000	11.9	2.6	
Tortious interference	83	580,211,000	94,000	30.7	6.9	
Partnership dispute	19	52,462,000	97,000	41.8	12.8	
Subrogation	44	2,047,000	8,000	4.1		
Other or unknown contract	41	6,369,000	22,000	13.9	7.1	

Note: Data for final awards were available for 98.9% of all sampled contract trials. Final amount awarded includes both compensatory (reduced for contributory negligence) and punitive damage awards. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. Award data were rounded to the nearest thousand.

Table 6. Final award amounts for civil jury and bench contract trials with plaintiff winners in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

		Final amount awarde	ed to plaintiff winners	Percent of to	rials with final awards	
Case type	Number	Total	Median	Over \$250,000	\$1 million or more	
Jury trials ^a	964	\$1,769,033,000	\$81,000	27.9%	10.7%	
Fraud	174	\$653,154,000	\$87,000	33.3%	20.1%	
Seller plaintiff	194	67,816,000	68,000	20.9	7.9	
Buyer plaintiff	278	98,538,000	62,000	25.1	7.3	
Mortgage foreclosure						
Employment discrimination	66	44,616,000	218,000	43.6	16.0	
Other employment dispute	105	257,999,000	83,000	28.8	7.4	
Rental/lease	40	13,375,000	81,000	21.5	9.0	
Tortious interference	47	575,307,000	117,000	48.1	12.3	
Partnership dispute	15	51,610,000	90,000	41.6	15.7	
Subrogation	26	1,248,000	8,000			
Other or unknown contract	19	5,370,000	77,000	22.5	14.9	
Bench trials	1,381	\$272,226,000	\$30,000	10.9%	1.9%	
Fraud	179	\$115,173,000	\$61,000	28.0%	4.6%	
Seller plaintiff	724	96,873,000	29,000	7.8	1.6	
Buyer plaintiff	198	31,772,000	16,000	6.9	1.4	
Mortgage foreclosure	13	2,731,000	70,000	13.6	13.6	
Employment discrimination	7	297,000	40,000			
Other employment dispute	54	7,754,000	58,000	15.4		
Rental/lease	136	10,737,000	14,000	9.0	0.7	
Tortious interference	33	4,274,000	44,000	8.7		
Partnership dispute	3	851,000	191,000	**		
Subrogation	15	786,000	28,000	12.3		
Other or unknown contract	20	977,000	5,000	6.6		

Note: Data for final awards in jury contract trials were available for 99.1% of all jury plaintiff winners.

Data for final awards in bench contract trials were available for 99.0% of all bench plaintiff winners.

Final amount awarded includes both compensatory (reduced for contributory negligence), fees and costs, and punitive damage awards. Award data were rounded to the nearest thousand.

-- No cases recorded.

^{*}The number of plaintiffs awarded damages may differ from the number calculated from the percentage of plaintiffs who successfully litigated the case (table 4). Missing award data, the fact that in some cases plaintiff winners receive nothing because of award reductions, and the inclusion of plaintiff winners in bifurcated damage trials (a group excluded from table 4) account for some of this difference.

⁻⁻ No cases recorded.

Excludes bifurcated trials where the plaintiff won on only the liability claim. Bifurcated trials involving only damage Claims, however, have been included.

blncludes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

^{**}Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data.

^aDoes not include jury trials that involved trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

Table 7. Punitive damages awarded to plaintiff winners in contract jury and bench trials in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

Punitive damages awarded to plaintiff winners in jury and bench contract trials Jury trials Bench trials awarded awarded Number of trials with punitive punitive punitive Median damages awarded damages of \$1 million or more -Bench Case type damages damages Jury Bench Jury \$111,000 Contract cases 98 39 \$46,000 18 27 32 \$100,000 \$52,000 5 Fraud Seller plaintiff 5 4,000 5,000 3 Buyer plaintiff 15 1 275,000 82,000* Mortgage foreclosure Employment discrimination 13 606,000 5 --Other employment dispute 16 151,000 1 Rental/lease 9 15,000 Tortious interference 1 92,000 10.000*

1,000* Note: Data for punitive damage awards in jury contract trials were available for 99.5% of all jury plaintiff winners. Data for punitive damage awards in bench contract trials were available for 100.0% of all bench plaintiff winners. Not shown are jury trials that involve trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants. These cases accounted for 1 punitive damage verdict with a plaintiff winner. In this study, cases are classified by the primary case type, though many cases involve multiple claims (that is, contract and tort). Under laws in almost all States, only tort claims qualify for punitive damages. If contract cases involved punitive damages, it involved a related tort claim.

186,000

500*

--No cases recorded.

Partnership dispute

Other or unknown contract

Subrogation

Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

4

1

1

Table 8. Pairings of primary types of litigants in contract trials in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

	Total Percent			nal amount awarde plaintiff winners	ed	Pu to	warded		
Litigant pairs	number of trials	plaintiff winners	Number of trials	Total	Median	Number of trials	Total	Median	
All contract trials ^a	3,601	64.7%	2,354*	\$2,041,869,000	\$45,000	133	\$854,536,000	\$100,000	
Individual versus:									
Individual	650	59.4%	391	\$51,744,000	\$31,000	28	\$3,506,000	\$46,000	
Government ^b	89	41.6	39	25,275,000	223,000	6	5,993,000	995,000	
Business ^c	1,175	59.5	706	498,793,000	50,000	49	44,745,000	150,000	
Hospital ^d	23	39.1	9	3,198,000	342,000	4	240,000	4,000	
Individual and									
non-individual versu	s:								
Individual	51	76.5%	40	\$39,658,000	\$57,000	5	\$2,400,000	\$83,000	
Government ^b	3	**							
Business ^c	131	58.8	81	107,283,000	54,000	5	1,341,000	263,000	
Hospital ^d	3	**	3	13,115,000	5,730,000				
Non-individual versu	s:								
Individual	503	71.0%	361	\$62,912,000	\$20,000	13	\$784,000	\$30,000	
Government ^b	22	81.8	18	22,283,000	364,000				
Business ^c	938	74.2	694	1,209,075,000	60,000	24	795,528,000	75,000	
Hospital ^d	13	76.9	10	8,533,000	212,000				

Note: Data on litigant pairings were available for 99.3% of plaintiff award winners. Plaintiff or defendant type is whichever type appears first in this list: (1) hospital/medical company, (2) business, (3) government agency, and (4) individual.

^{*}Not median but the actual amount awarded.

^{*}The number of plaintiffs awarded damages may differ from the number calculated from the percentage of plaintiffs who successfully litigated the case. Missing award data, the fact that in some cases plaintiff winners receive nothing because of award reductions, and the inclusion of plaintiff winners in bifurcated damage trials (a group excluded from the plaintiff winner calculation) account for some of this difference. Award data were rounded to the nearest thousand. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

⁻⁻ No cases recorded.

^{**}Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data.

elncludes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

blncludes law enforcement and other governmental agencies.

[°]Includes insurance companies, banks, and other businesses and organizations.

^dIncludes medical companies.

Table 9. Case processing time from filing of the complaint to final verdict or judgment in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

	From filing of	of the compla	aint to final	jury dispos	ition	From filing of	f the compla	aint to final	bench dispo	osition
				Percent of	jury				Percent of	bench
				trial cases					trial cases	
				disposed of	of in —				disposed of	of in —
	Number of	Median	Mean	Less than	4 years	Number of	Median	Mean	Less than	4 years
Case type	jury trialsª	(months)	(months)	2 years	or more	bench trials	(months)	(months)	2 years	or more
Contract cases	1,571	21.3	25.3	58.4%	8.3%	2,065	15.4	18.4	77.8%	3.4%
Fraud	285	23.0	26.9	54.6%	8.9%	325	17.8	21.0	69.7%	4.9%
Seller plaintiff	275	23.3	26.5	53.6	8.7	921	14.8	17.2	82.4	2.2
Buyer plaintiff	458	21.1	25.7	58.5	9.3	325	15.4	18.8	74.7	3.7
Mortgage foreclosure						22	23.8	21.8	60.4	5.3
Employment discrimination	144	19.5	25.0	59.6	10.3	17	18.7	20.0	75.8	
Other employment dispute	165	19.7	23.9	60.6	5.5	111	18.5	23.1	66.4	7.2
Rental/lease	63	17.8	21.5	73.3	5.1	212	12.4	15.4	84.0	5.2
Tortious interference	77	21.6	26.0	55.4	7.4	58	14.8	16.8	84.2	
Partnership dispute	22	20.1	18.8	64.3		18	23.3	24.6	55.6	7.7
Subrogation	47	12.5	15.5	82.9	2.5	19	18.5	20.7	69.1	
Other or unknown contract	36	23.8	28.7	55.1	11.4	36	15.2	21.2	74.2	3.3

Note: Data for filing time to final verdict or judgment were available for 99.8% of jury trials and 100.0% of bench trials.

Not actual court days because weekends are included in the time count. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

Table 10. Case processing time from filing of the complaint to final verdict or judgment for pairings of primary litigants in contract trials in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

	Total			
	number		Number of m	nonths
Litigant pairs	of trials	Median	Minimum	Maximum
All contract trials ^a	3,668	17.7	0.2	191.4
Individual versus:				
Individual	664	15.4	0.7	88.8
Governmentb	93	21.1	3.7	76.2
Business ^c	1,198	19.4	0.9	155.4
Hospital ^d	23	23.2	10.3	90.5
Individual and				
non-individual versus:				
Individual	53	19.2	1.3	191.4
Government ^b	3	35.4	35.4	35.4
Business ^c	136	21.4	3.2	103.2
Hospital ^d	3	28.1	19.8	28.1
Non-individual versus:				
Individual	512	15.0	0.6	85.3
Government ^b	22	21.1	10.3	96.7
Business ^c	947	17.2	0.2	120.0
Hospital ^d	13	31.2	7.2	62.8

Note: Data on litigant pairings were available for 99.3% of contract trials. Data on filing time to final verdict or judgment were available for 99.9% of contract trials for which litigant pairings were known. Plaintiff or defendant type is whichever type appears first in this list: (1) hospital/medical company, (2) business, (3) government agency, and (4) individual. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

⁻⁻No cases recorded.

^aDoes not include jury trials that involved trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict,

or jury trials for defaulted defendants.

alncludes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

^bIncludes law enforcement and other governmental agencies.

^eIncludes insurance companies, banks, and other businesses and organizations.

dIncludes medical companies.

Table 11. Type of post verdict relief sought by plaintiffs or defendants in contract trials in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

	Contract trials post verdict rel		Percent of trials in which litigants sought t post verdict reliefa					
Post verdict relief sought by —	Percent of all trials	Number	JNOV or amended judgment	New trial	Award modification	Other relief		
Plaintiff prevailed ^b								
Plaintiffs	11.5%	277	16.8%	24.5%	51.3%	21.4%		
Defendants	26.1	628	46.3	60.4	19.8	8.7		
Plaintiff did not preva	ail°							
Plaintiffs .	18.4%	232	35.5%	65.2%	7.5%	8.6%		
Defendants	8.0	101	26.8	25.6	19.1	35.5		

Note: Post verdict motions filed by plaintiffs or defendants include motions for judgments notwithstanding the verdict (JNOV), amended judgments, new trials, award modifications, and other relief.

Table 12. Type of post verdict relief granted to plaintiffs or defendants in contract trials in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

		erdict relief ract trials		Percent of contract trials in which litigants sought and were granted relief ^a					
Post verdict relief granted to	Number sought*	Percent in which relief was granted	JNOV or amended judgment	New trial	Award modification	Other relief			
Plaintiff prevailed ^b Plaintiffs Defendants	266 611	57.1% 30.5	11.8% 19.4	5.4% 18.3	67.7% 47.0	23.0% 21.7			
Plaintiff did not prevail ^o Plaintiffs Defendants	220 95	11.5% 47.8	32.6% 9.6	12.6% 7.4	28.4% 29.0	26.4% 53.9			

Note: Post verdict motions filed by plaintiffs or defendants include motions for judgments notwithstanding the verdict, amended judgments, new trials, award modifications, and other relief.

^aThe type of post verdict relief sought will not sum to 100% because the post verdict relief categories are not mutually exclusive.

^bFor contract trials in which plaintiffs prevailed, data on plaintiff and defendant post verdict activity were available for 99.6% of trials.

[°]For contract trials in which plaintiffs did not prevail, data on plaintiff post verdict activity were available

for 98.8% of trials and data on defendant post verdict activity were available for 98.9% of trials.

^{*}The number of litigants seeking post verdict relief in table 12 differs from the number posted in table 11 because of missing or incomplete post verdict relief granted data.

^aThe type of post verdict relief granted will not sum to 100% because the post verdict relief categories are not mutually exclusive.

^bAmong trials where plaintiffs prevailed, data on the granting of post verdict relief were available for 95.9% of the 277 trials

in which the plaintiff sought post verdict relief and 97.3% of the 628 trials in which the defendant sought post verdict relief.

^cAmong trials where plaintiffs did not prevail, data on the granting of post verdict relief were available for 95.1% of the 232 trials in which the plaintiff sought post verdict relief and 93.5% of the 101 trials in which the defendant sought post verdict relief.

Table 13. Contract trials in which plaintiff or defendant gave notice of appeal in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 2001

	Contract tr	rials with plair	tiff winners	Contract trials without plaintiff winners			
	Number of	Cases app	ealed by —	Number of	Case appealed by —		
Case type	contract trials	Plaintiff	Defendant	contract trials	Plaintiff	Defendant	
All contract trials ^a	2,411	6.8%	19.8%	1,274	20.5%	3.5%	
Fraud	367	8.6%	27.4%	252	18.3%	4.1%	
Seller plaintiff	931	5.8	14.3	276	20.6	4.6	
Buyer plaintiff	491	5.9	20.2	299	16.6	0.8	
Mortgage foreclosure	16		20.4	6	**		
Employment discrimination	76	14.7	46.6	89	32.0		
Other employment dispute	162	11.1	22.1	125	28.2	8.3	
Rental/lease	178	2.2	18.4	97	22.1	1.9	
Tortious interference	83	7.8	31.2	56	11.1	8.4	
Partnership dispute	19	29.0	26.2	22	30.7	8.3	
Subrogation	49		8.3	20	6.0		
Other or unknown contract	41	10.4	7.8	32	26.2		

Note: Contract appeals data were available for 99.8% of contract trials in which the plaintiff prevailed

and 99.7% of contract trials in which the plaintiff did not prevail. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

⁻⁻No cases recorded.

**Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data.

alnoludes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

Table 14. Comparing contract trials in State courts in the
Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996 to 2001

	1996	2001	
How many contract cases were			
disposed of by trial?	4,850	3,698*	
	,	-,	
What percentage of			
plaintiffs won? ^a			
All contract trials	62.4%	64.8%	
Contract jury trials	55.6	61.6*	
Contract bench trials	67.8	67.8	
Have marcale slid managelling			
How much did prevailing plaintiffs win?			
Overall median award amounts			
(adjusted for inflation) in			
All contract trials	\$42,000	\$45.000	
Contract jury trials	90,000	81,000	
Contract bench trials	28,000	30,000	
	•	•	
What percentage of plaintiffs			
won punitive damages?°			
All contract trials	6.3%	5.8%	
Contract jury trials	10.8	10.1	
Contract bench trials	3.6	2.8	
How long did disposition			
of contract trials take?d			
(Median case processing time)			
All contract trials	18.4 mo	17.7 mo	
Contract jury trials	22.5	21.3	
Contract bench trials	15.8	15.4	

Note: The number of contract trials includes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants adjudicated in 1996 and 2001.

*1996 - 2001 difference is significant at the 95%-confidence interval. ^aData on plaintiff winners were available for 99.9% of contract trials in 1996 and 99.9% of contract trials in 2001.

^bData on plaintiff final awards were available for 98.1%

of contract trials with plaintiff winners in 1996 and 98.9%

of contract trials with plaintiff winners in 2001. °Data on punitive damages awarded to plaintiff winners were available for 99.9% of contract trials with plaintiff winners in 1996 and 98.3% of contract trials with plaintiff winners in 2001. ^dData on time to disposition were available for 92.1% of contract trials in 1996 and 99.9% of contract trials in 2001.

Data sources: Civil Justice Survey of State Courts, 1996 (ICPSR 2883) and 2001 (ICPSR 3957). Data can be obtained from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data, University of Michigan Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) at http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/>.

Appendix A. Selected estimates, standard errors, and confidence intervals, civil trial 2001 survey

		One standard	95% - con interval	fidence		
	Estimate	error	Lower	Upper		
Number of contract trials	3,698	184	3,332	4,063		
Fraud	625	34	558	692		
Seller plaintiff	1,208	96	1,018	1,398		
Buyer plaintiff	793	59	675	911		
Mortgage foreclosure	22	6	11	34		
Employment discrimination	166	15	136	197		
Other employment dispute	287	31	226	348		
Rental/lease	276	33	210	343		
Tortious interference	138	26	86	190		
Partnership dispute	40	6	28	53		
Subrogation	69	8	52	85		
Other or unknown contract	73	10	54	92		
Percent decided by —						
Jury trial	42.6%	1.7%	39.2%	45.9%		
Bench trial	55.9	1.8	52.3	59.4		
Other	1.6	0.2	1.2	2.0		
Percent of contract trials						
with a plaintiff winner	64.8%	0.8%	63.1%	66.5%		
Median award to plaintiff winners						
Final	\$45,000	\$3,000	\$40,000	\$50,000		
Punitive	83,000	7,000	70,000	99,000		
Mean award to plaintiff winners						
Final	\$862,000	\$140,000	\$584,000	\$1,140,000		
Punitive	6,196,000	1,598,000	3,017,000	9,375,000		
Median months from filing						
to final verdict	17.7 mo			19.4 mo		
Note: Standard errors were calculated by using the Jackknife (JKN) method generated by WESVAR PC. Award data are rounded to the nearest thousand.						
-						

Appendix B. Contract trial winners in State courts, by sampled counties, 2001

	All cor		
		Percent	
County	Number	plaintiff winners	
Dade, FL Suffolk, MA Santa Clara, CA Essex, NJ El Paso, TX Allegheny, PA Du Page, IL Fulton, GA Philadelphia, PA Fairfax, VA	62 10 41 27 46 124 51 35 97 94	83.9% 80.0 78.0 77.8 76.1 75.8 74.5 74.3 74.2 73.4	
Orange, FL Honolulu, HI Pima, AZ Fresno, CA Fairfield, CT Ventura, CA Franklin, OH Oakland, MI Los Angeles, CA ^b Bexar, TX	15 15 20 20 10 33 57 71 148 45	73.3% 73.3 70.0 70.0 70.0 69.7 68.4 67.6 66.2 64.4	
Cook, IL Bergen, NJ Hartford, CT San Francisco, CA Maricopa, AZ St. Louis, MO Cuyahoga, OH Palm Beach, FL Marion, IN Middlesex, NJ	58 58 11 65 73 67 74 63 39 26	63.8% 63.8 63.6 63.1 63.0 62.7 62.2 61.9 61.5	
Dallas, TX Hennepin, MN New York, NY Jefferson, KY Contra Costa, CA Harris, TX King, WA Orange, CA Alameda, CA Milwaukee, WI	82 76 53 45 17 177 76 173 43	61.0% 60.5 60.4 60.0 58.8 58.2 56.6 56.1 55.8 55.6	
Mecklenburg, NC Wayne, MI Essex, MA Middlesex, MA Worcester, MA San Bernardino, CA	22 53 4 24 8 30	54.5% 52.8 ** 50.0 ** 43.3	

^{**}Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data. ^aIncludes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.
bLos Angeles County suburban courts were not included.

Appendix C. Final and punitive damage awards for plaintiff winners in contract trials, by sampled counties, 2001

Punitive damages awarded Final amount awarded to plaintiff winners to plaintiff winners Total Number Number of plaintiff number of of plaintiff Total of all Median Total of all contract trials^a winners County award winners awards award awards Maricopa, AZ \$39,032,000 \$97,000 5 \$31,765,000 Pima, AZ 20 14 3,047,000 39,000 1 13,000* Alameda, CA 43 21 133,134,000 105,000 3,500,000* Contra Costa, CA 17 10 1.946.000 140.000 10.000* 1 Fresno, CA 20 14 16,535,000 150,000 3 133,000 Los Angeles, CAb 148 98 21,926,000 77,000 7 304,000 78,000 Orange, CA 173 96 123,270,000 13 25,915,000 San Bernardino, CA 30 13 1,635,000 30.000 65 40 27,475,000 108,000 4 263,000 San Francisco, CA 41 32 3 Santa Clara, CA 16,036,000 92,000 530,000 Ventura, CA 33 23 \$3,567,000 \$32,000 Fairfield, CT 10 7 1,436,000 60,000 --7 Hartford, CT 11 298,000 27,000 3 Dade, FL 62 51 407,842,000 65,000 279,600,000 15 2 Orange, FL 10 1,634,000 113,000 300,000 Palm Beach, FL 63 39 4,519,000 43,000 26 275,000* Fulton, GA 35 3,596,000 50,000 1 Honolulu, HI 15 11 5,993,000 84,000 2 2,001,000 Cook, IL 58 37 6,053,000 61,000 1 178,000* 38 Du Page, IL 51 4,111,000 6,000 Marion, IN 39 23 \$419,000 \$5,000 Jefferson, KY 45 24 1,391,000 14,000 2 Essex. MA 4 53.000 27.000 Middlesex, MA 25 12 3,294,000 102,000 2 25,000 Suffolk, MA 10 8 657.000 43.000 Worcester, MA 8 4 906,000 202,000 1 18,000* 47 Oakland MI 71 7.478.000 51,000 53 26 4,731,000 34,000 Wayne, MI 76 44 Hennepin, MN 46,000 3.279.000 St. Louis, MO 68 42 6,836,000 54,000 5 198,000 Bergen, NJ 58 35 \$18,516,000 \$23,000 27 20 2,000* Essex, NJ 2,269,000 33,000 1 Middlesex, NJ 26 16 1,956,000 42,000 1 500,000* New York, NY 53 31 44,228,000 305,000 22 Mecklenburg, NC 11 1,680,000 18,000 Cuyahoga, OH 74 44 20,404,000 41,000 1 1,200,000* Franklin, OH 57 39 11,203,000 63,000 5 341,000 Allegheny, PA 125 93 3,385,000 9,000 1 41,000* Philadelphia, PA 96 70 12,361,000 23,000 73,000* 1 27 2 Bexar, TX 45 3,922,000 32,000 125,000 Dallas, TX 82 50 \$504,806,000 \$140,000 8 \$370,019,000 El Paso, TX 35 1,060,000 46 18,856,000 34,000 4 Harris, TX 179 102 34,535,000 63,000 4 824,000 69 3 Fairfax, VA 94 6,846,000 18.000 410,000 King, WA 76 42 8,645,000 17,000 10,000* 15 619,000 8.000 1,000* Milwaukee, WI

Note: Excludes cases with missing award amounts. Final amount awarded includes both compensatory (reduced for contributory negligence), costs and fees, and punitive damage awards. Award data were rounded to the nearest thousand. -- No cases recorded.

^{*}Not total but the actual amount awarded.

^aIncludes bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

^bLos Angeles suburban courts are not included.