Pata Base Copy 103/38

U.S. Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Statistics

Teenage Victims

A National Crime Survey Report

NCJ-103138, November 1986



Bureau of Justice Statistics reports

(revised December 1986)

Call toll-free 800-732-3277 (local 251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists, or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Grimnal Justice Hererence Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850. Single copies of reports are free; use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public-use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the Criminal Justice Archive and Information Network, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (313-763-5010).

National Crime Survey

Criminal victimization in the U.S.: riminal victimization in the U.S.: 1984 (final report), NCJ-100435, 5/86 1983 (final report), NCJ-98459, 10/85 1982 (final report), NCJ-92820, 11/84 1973-82 trends, NCJ-90541, 9/83 1980 (final report), NCJ-84015, 4/83 1979 (final report), NCJ-76710, 12/81

BJS special reports:
Preventing domestic violence against women.

NCJ-102037, 8/86
Crime prevention measures, NCJ-100438, 3/86
The use of weapons in committing crimes, NCJ-99643, 1/86
Reporting crimes to the police, NCJ-99432,

Locating city, suburban, and rural crime, NCJ-

The risk of violent crime, NCJ-97*19, 5/85
The economic cost of crime to victims, NCJ-93450, 4/84

Family violence, NCJ-93449, 4/34

BJS bulletins:

Households touched by crime, 1985, NCJ-101685, 6/86 NCJ-101685, 6/86 Criminal victimization, 1984, NCJ-98904, 10/85 The crime of rapp, NCJ-96777, 3/85 Household burglary, NCJ-98021, 1/85 Criminal victimization, 1983, NCJ-93869, 6/84 Violent crime by strangers, NCJ-80829, 4/82 Crime and the elderly, NCJ-79614, 1/82 Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81

Teenage victims, NCJ-103138, 12/86 Response to screening questions in the National Crime Survey (BJS technical report), NCJ-

97624, 7/85
Victimization and fear of crime: World perspectives, NCJ-93872, 1/85
The National Crime Survey: Working papers, vol. I: Current and distorical perspectives, NCJ-75374, B/82

vol. II: Methological studies, NGJ-90307, 12/84 Issues in the measurement of victimization, NCJ-74682, 10/81

The cost of negligence: Losses from preventable household burglaries, NCJ-53527, 12/79 Rape victimization in 26 American cities, NCJ-55878, 8/79

Criminal victimization in urban schools, NCJ-56396, 8/79

An Introduction to the National Crime Survey, NCJ-43732, 4/78 Local victim surveys: A review of the issues,

NCJ-39973, 8/77

Parole and probation

BJS bulletins:

Probation and parole 1984, NCJ-100181.

Setting prison terms, NCJ-76218, 8/83 Parole in the U.S., 1980 and 1981, NCJ-87387.

Characteristics of persons entering parole during 1978 and 1979, NCJ-87243, 5/83 Characteristics of the parole population, 1978,

NCJ-66479, 4/81 Parole in the U.S., 1979, NCJ-69562, 3/81

Corrections

BJS bulletins and special reports: Capital punishment, 1985, NCJ-102742, 11/86 State and Federal prisoners, 1925-85, NCJ-102494, 11/86 Prisoners in 1985, NCJ-101384, 6/86

Prison admission and releases, 1983, NCJ-100582, 3/86

Capital punishment 1984, NCJ-98399, 8/85 Examining recidivism, NCJ-96501, 2/85 Returning to prison, NCJ-95700, 11/84 Time served in prison, NCJ-93924, 8/84

Historical corrections statistics in the U.S., 1850-

Historical corrections statistics in the U.S., 1655-1984, NCJ-102529, 12/86
Prisoners in State and Federal institutions on Dec. 31, 1983, NCJ-99861, 6/86
Capital punishment 1984 (final), NCJ-99562, 5/86
Capital punishment 1983 (final), NCJ-99561, 4/86

1979 survey of immates of State correctional facilities and 1979 census of State correctional facilities: BJS special reports:

The prevalence of imprisonment, NCJ-93657,

Career patterns in crime, NCJ-88672, 6/83

BJS bulletins:

Prisoners and drugs, NCJ-87575, 3/83 Prisoners and alcohol, NCJ-86223, 1/83 Prisons and prisoners, NCJ-80697, 2/82 Veterans in prison, NCJ-79232, 11/81

Census of jalls and survey of jall inmates: Jall inmates, 1984, NCJ-101094, 5/86 Jall Inmates, 1983 (BJS bulletin), NCJ-99175,

The 1983 jail census (BJS bulletin), NCJ-95536, 11/84

Census of Jalls, 1978: Data for individual jails, vois I-IV, Northeast, North Central, South, West, NCJ-72279-72282, 12/81

Profile of jail inmates, 1978, NCJ-65412, 2/81

Children in custody:

Public juvenile facilities, 1985 (bulletin), NCJ-102457, 10/86 1982-83 census of juvenile detention and correctional facilities, NCJ-101686, 9/86

Expenditure and employment

BJS Bulletins:

Justice expenditure and employment: 1983, NCJ-101776, 7/86 1982, NCJ-98327, B/85

Justice expenditure and employment in the U.S.: 1980 and 1981 extracts, NCJ-96007, 6/85 1971-79, NCJ-92596, 11/84

Courts

BJS bulletins:

The growth of appeals: 1973-83 trends, NCJ-96381, 2/85

Case filings in State courts 1983, NCJ-95111. 10/84

BJS special reports:

Felony case processing time, NCJ-101985,8/86 Felony sentencing in 18 local jurisdictions, NCJ-97681, 6/85 The prevalence of guilty pleas, NCJ-96018, 12/84

Sentencing practices in 13 States, NCJ-95399.

Criminal defense systems: A national survey, NCJ-94630, 8/84 Habeas corpus, NCJ-92948, 3/84

State court caseload statistics, 1977 and 1981, NCJ-87587, 2/83

National Criminal Defense Systems Study, NCJ-94702, 10/86
The prosecution of felony arrests: 1981, NCJ-101380, 9/86 1980, NCJ-97684, 10/85 1979, NCJ-86482, 5/84

State court model statistical dictionary, Supplement, NCJ-98326, 9/85 1 st edition, NCJ-62320, 9/80

State court organization 1980, NCJ-76711, 7/82 A cross-city comparison of felony case processing, NCJ-55171, 7/79

Federal offenses and offenders

BJS special reports:

Pretrial release and misconduct, NCJ-96132, 1/85

BJS bulletins:

Bank robbery, NCJ-94463, 8/84 Federal drug law violators, NCJ-92692, 2/84 Federal justice statistics, NCJ-80814, 3/82

Privacy and security

Computer crime:

BJS special reports:

Electronic fund transfer fraud, NCJ-96666, 3/85 Electronic fund transfer and crime, NCJ-92650, 2/84

Electronic fund transfer fraud, NCJ-100461,

4/86 Computer security techniques, NCJ-84049, 9/82

Electronic fund transfer systems and crime, NCJ-83736, 9/82

Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81 Criminal Justice resource manual, NCJ-61550,

Privacy and security of criminal history information:

Compendium of State legislation, 1984 overview, NCJ-98077, 9/85

Criminal justice information policy:
Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ-101850, 12/86
Data quality policies and procedures:
Proceedings of a BJS/SEARCH conference,
NCJ-101849, 12/86
Crime control and criminal records (BJS special report), NCJ-99176, 10/85

State criminal records repositories (BJS technical report), NCJ-99017, 10/85
Data quality of criminal history records, NCJ-98079, 10/85

Intelligence and investigative records,

NCJ-95787, 4/85 Victim/witness legislation: An overview,

NCJ-94365, 12/84

Information policy and crime control strategies (SEARCH/BJS conference), NCJ-93926, 10/84

Research access to criminal justice data, NCJ-84154, 2/83

Privacy and juvenile justice records, NCJ-84152, 1/83

NCJ-84152, 1783 Survey of State laws (BJS bulletin), NCJ-80836, 6/82 Privacy and the private employer, NCJ-79651, 11/81

BJS bulletins and special reports: BJS telephone contacts 'B7, NCJ-102909, 12/86

Tracking offenders: White-collar crime, NCJ-102867, 11/86
Police employment and expenditure, NCJ-100117, 2/86
Tracking offenders: The child victim, NCJ-95785, 12/84

The severity of crime, NCJ-92326, 1/84
The American response to crime: An overview of criminal justice systems, NCJ-91936, 12/83 Tracking offenders, NCJ-91572, 11/83 Victim and witness assistance: New State

laws and the system's response, NCJ-87934,

1986 directory of automated criminal justice information systems, NCJ-102260, 12/86, \$20 Crime and justice facts, 1985, NCJ-100757, 5/86 National survey of crime severity, NCJ-96017,

Criminal victimization of District of Columbia residents and Capitol Hill employees, 1982-83, NCJ-97982; Summary, NCJ-98567; 9/85
The DC crime victimization study implementation, NCJ-98595, 9/85, \$7.60 domestic/\$9.20 Canadian/\$12.80 forcing.

an/\$12.80 foreign

The DC household victimization survey data base: Documentation, NCJ-98596, \$6.40/\$8.40/\$11 User manual, NCJ-98597, \$8.20/\$9.80/\$12.80 How to gain access to BJS data (brochure). BC-000022, 9/84

Proceedings of the 2nd workshop on law and justice statistics, 1984, NCJ-93310, 8/84
Report to the nation on crime and justice: The data, NCJ-87068, 10/83
Dictionary of criminal justice data terminology: 2nd ed., NCJ-76939, 2/82

Technical standards for machine-readable data supplied to BJS, NCJ-75318, 6/81

See order form on last page



Teenage Victims

A National Crime Survey Report

NCJ-103138, November 1986

103138

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by Public Domain/Bureau of Justice

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

U.S. Department of Justice **Bureau of Justice Statistics**

Steven R. Schlesinger Director

Joseph M. Bessette **Deputy Director**

Benjamin H. Renshaw III **Deputy Director**

Charles R. Kindermann Associate Director

Patsy A. Klaus NCS Unit Director

Acknowledgments. This report was written by Catherine J. Whitaker of the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Marshall M. DeBerry of BJS provided statistical review. Frank D. Balog provided editorial assistance. Statistical assistance was provided by Gertrude Thomas and production assistance was furnished by Tina Dorsey. Marilyn Marbrook administered its publication and was assisted by Millie J. Baldea and Jeanne Harris.

National Crime Survey data collection and processing activities are conducted by the Bureau of the Census. At present, the program is under the supervision of Evan Davey, Acting Chief, Crime Surveys Branch, Demographic Surveys Division. He is assisted by Helen Montagliani, Patricia Bowles, Donna Brooks, Jennifer Jones, Marilyn Monahan, Sherrie Schrama, Janice Sebold, and Bruce Wilder.

Guidance on technical matters related to this program was provided by Inez Chen and David Hubble, Statistical Methods Division, Bureau of the Census, under the supervision of Charles Alexander.

In the Bureau of Justice Statistics the data collection program is monitored by Michael R. Rand.

Contents

Introduction 1 Victimization rates 1 Trends 2 Crime characteristics 2 Place and time of occurrence 2 The use of weapons in crimes 2 Self-protective measures 2 Injuries and medical care 3 Number of victims and offenders 3 Offender characteristics 3 Relationship to victim 3 Sex of offenders 3 Race of offenders 4 Age of offenders 4 Reporting crimes to the police 4 Methodology 5

Tables

1. Average annual victimization rates and numbers of victimizations, by age of victim and type of crime, 1982-84 6 2. Victimization rates by type of crime and age of victim, 1984 6 3. Victimization rates per 1,000 persons, by type of crime, age of victim, and demographic characteristics, 1982-84 6 4. Victimization rates by type of crime and age of victim, 1973 and 1984 6 5. Place of occurrence of personal crimes, by age of victim and type of crime, 1982-84 7 6. Time of occurrence of personal crimes, by age of victim and type of crime, 1982-84 8 7. Presence of weapon, by age of victim and type of violent crime. 1982-84 8 8. Percent distribution of selfprotective measures used in violent crimes, by age of victim, 1982-84 9 9. Percent distribution of attacks. injuries in violent crimes, and medical care obtained, by age of victim, 1982-84 9 10. Percent distribution of injured victims, by seriousness of injury and age of victim, 1982-84 9 11. Number of victims in violent crimes, by number of offenders and age of victim, 1982-84 10 12. Relationship of offender(s) to victim in crimes of violence, by age of victim and type of crime, 1982-84 10 13. Perceived sex of offender(s), by sex and age of victim and type of violent crime, 1982-84 11 14. Perceived race of offender(s), by race and age of victim, and type of violent crime, 1982-84 15. Perceived age of offender(s), by age of victim and type of violent crime, 1982-84 12 16. Police reporting rates, by age of victim and type of crime, 1982-84 12 17. Most important reason for reporting crimes to police for victims ages 12-19, 1982-84 13 18. Most important reason for not reporting crimes to police, by age of victim and type of crime,

1982-84 13

Introduction

From 1982 through 1984, teenagers (ages 12-19) experienced an average of 1.8 million violent crimes and 3.7 million crimes of theft annually. Teenage victimization rates for these crimes were about twice as high as those of the adult population, ages 20 and older. The average annual violent crime victimization rate was 60.1 per 1,000 teenagers compared to 26.9 for the adult population. For crimes of theft, the teenage rate was 123.5; the adult rate, 65.6.

Within the teenage population itself, older teens (ages 16-19) had higher violent crime victimization rates than did younger teens (ages 12-15). The two groups had similar victimization rates for crimes of theft.

Trends in crime rates against teenagers since 1973 have been similar to those for adults. Teenagers have experienced a decline in theft victimization rates, but violent crime victimization rates have remained essentially unchanged.

Both younger and older teens were more likely than adults to be attacked during a violent crime and were less likely than adults to be injured. In other ways, however, the characteristics of incidents against older teens more closely resembled those of adult victimizations. Similar proportions of older teens and adults faced armed offenders and, if injured, sustained serious injuries. By contrast, younger teens were least likely of the three age groups to face armed offenders; if injured, they were less likely to sustain serious injuries.

Crimes against teenagers were less likely to be reported to the police than crimes against adults. Among teenagers, crimes against younger teens were less likely to be reported than crimes against older teens.

Violent crimes against teenagers were more likely to be committed by other teenagers than by adults. Most of these crimes against younger teenagers were committed by offenders under 18 years old. Close to half of the violent crimes against older teenagers were committed by offenders under 21. By contrast, 70% of the violent crimes against adults were committed by offenders age 21 or older.

Teenagers of all ages also reported knowing their assailants more often than adults. Younger teens were most likely to report that the offender was a casual acquaintance or someone known by sight, but least likely to identify their assailant as a complete stranger.

The information in this report is based on data obtained from the National Crime Survey (NCS) for the years 1982 through 1984. The NCS obtains information about personal and household crimes, including crimes not reported to the police. from individuals ages 12 and over in a nationally representative sample of households. Although NCS interviewers obtain information directly from most household members, nearly all the interviews for 12- and 13-yearolds are completed by a knowledgeable adult household member (see methodology).

Victimization rates

- Teenagers had higher annual violent crime victimization rates than did adults from 1982 through 1984. Young teenagers had a rate of 52.0 per 1,000 teens; the rate for older teens was 67.8 per 1,000 (table Adults had a violent crime victimization rate of 26.9 per 1,000. For each category of violent crime (rape, robbery, and assault) teenagers in both age groups had higher victimization rates than adults.
- Overall, teenagers had higher victimization rates for crimes of theft than adults. The rates for personal larceny with contact (purse snatching or pocket picking) were not measurably different for teens and adults. The rate for personal


larceny without contact, however, was higher for teenagers than for

- Within the adolescent population, older teenagers had higher victimization rates than younger teens for crimes of violence in general and for the specific violent crimes of robbery and aggravated assault. Younger and older teens experienced similar rates of personal thefts.
- Within the U.S. population, personal victimization rates generally decrease as the age of the victim increases (table 2). Because older age groups have lower personal victimization rates than do young adults, the rates for the entire adult population are lower than the rates for teenagers. However, the victimization rates for young adults ages 20-24 and teenagers are more similar than the aggregated adult rates suggest.
- Similar to the adult population, male teenagers had higher violent and theft crime rates than did female teens. Black teenagers had higher violent crime rates than teenagers of other racial groups. Within categories of teenagers based on race and sex, however, older teens consistently had higher victimization rates for violent crimes than younger teens in the same group (table 3). On the other hand, victimization rates for crimes of theft generally did not vary by age within these same categories; young black teens, however, had higher rates than older black teens.

¹The difference between victimization rates for 12-15-year-old blacks and 16-19-year-old blacks was significant at the 90% confidence

Trends

- The trends in crime rates against teenagers are similar to those for the population as a whole. Annual rates of crimes of theft experienced by teenagers have generally declined since the inception of NCS in 1973 (figure 1). The rate in 1984 (120.4 per 1,000) was measurably lower than the rates for all previous years except 1980 and 1983.
- Overall, the violent crime victimization rate for teenagers has remained essentially stable since 1973. However, the rates for individual categories of violent crime have changed. Between 1973 and 1984 the rate of aggravated assault declined by 17% from 19.2 to 16.0 per 1,000 teenagers. The robbery rate for teenagers was somewhat lower in 1984 than in 1974.
- In contrast to the decreases in robbery and aggravated assault rates, the annual rate of simple assault rose by 21% from 27.3 per 1,000 teenagers in 1973 to 32.9 in 1984.
- Younger and older teens had similar trends in victimization rates over time (table 4). For both groups, theft victimization rates were lower in 1984 than in 1973; violent crime victimization rates did not change during this same period for either group.
- Younger teens had a somewhat higher theft victimization rate than older teens in 1973, but in 1984 there was no measurable difference in the rates for the two groups. On the other hand, older teens had consistently higher violent crime victimization rates than younger teens during these years.



Crime characteristics

Place and time of occurrence

The likelihood of experiencing a crime at a particular place or time is related to the daily activities of the potential victim. Because young teens spend a significant amount of time at school, they are more likely than adults to be victimized there (table 5). Since the daily activities of adults may include employment, housekeeping, and leisure activities, they are more likely than teenagers to experience violent crime while at or near home or in a restaurant or commercial building.

Other findings include:

• Young teenagers were most likely to experience robberies and aggravated assaults on a street or in a park but were most likely to be victims of simple assault while in a school building or on school property.

- Older teenagers were most likely to be victims of all types of violent crime while on a street or in a park.
- Teenagers in both age groups were most likely to experience crimes of theft in a school building or on school property. Younger teenagers, however, were about twice as likely as older teens to experience thefts at school (83% vs. 42%).
- Younger teenagers were more likely than either older teenagers or adults to experience crimes of violence or theft during the daytime, that is, between 6 a.m. and 6 p.m. (table 6). This finding is related in part to the proportion of young teens who were victimized at school.
- Similar proportions of older teens and adults were victimized by violent crimes during the day. Older teens were more likely than adults to experience larcenies without contact in the daytime. They were, however, less likely than adults to experience larcenies with contact during the

The use of weapons in crimes

- Young teenagers were the least likely of the three age groups to face armed offenders during crimes of violence. There were no measurable differences in the proportions of older teenagers and adults who faced armed offenders (table 7).
- Younger teenagers were the least likely of the three age groups to face offenders armed with guns, and were somewhat less likely than older teens to be victimized by offenders armed with knives.

Self-protective measures

NCS collects information on selfprotective measures taken by victims during violent crimes. These measures, ranked from most to least serious, are:

- 1) using or brandishing a weapon:
- 2) using or attempting to use physical force;
- 3) trying to get help or attract

²The difference between the 1984 rate and the 1982 rate was significant at the 90% confidence level.

³The difference between violent crime rates for younger and older teens in 1973 was significant at the 90% confidence level,

attention; arguing or reasoning with or threatening the offender; 4) resisting without force, running away, hiding.

Major findings on self-protection include:

- Younger teens were less likely than older teens (74% vs. 79%) and somewhat less likely than adults (74% vs. 77%) to take self-protective measures.
- Of those who took self-protective measures, teenagers were less likely than adults to try to get help or to argue or reason with the offender (table 8).
- Younger teenagers were the most likely of the three age groups to resist without force.
- Older teens (37%) were more likely than adults (30%) and somewhat more likely than younger teens (32%) to use or try to use physical force when they took self-protective measures.
- Among the self-protective measures taken by young teenagers, similar proportions resisted without force (38%) and used physical force or attempted force as selfprotective measures (32%). A larger proportion resisted without force than tried to get help or argue or reason with the offenders (25%).
- Among the self-protective measures taken by older teens, the proportion who used or tried to use physical force (37%) was higher than those who tried to get help (27%) and somewhat higher than those who resisted without force (31%). They were less likely to use or attempt to use weapons.

Injuries and medical care

Violent crimes, as measured in the NCS, include different components of attacks and threats. In a violent crime, an offender may attack the victim using a weapon or physical force. The victim may or may not sustain injuries as a result of

attacks. Violent crimes can also consist of threats against the victim rather than actual attacks. Examples of threats include verbal threats, displaying a weapon, following a victim, or attempting to use a weapon, such as shooting at but missing the victim.

Findings on attacks, injuries, and medical care for teenage and adult victims include:

- Teenagers were more likely than adults to be attacked during a violent crime (table 9). The proportions of older and younger teens attacked were not measurably different.
- Younger teens were more likely and older teens were somewhat more likely than adults to be attacked but not injured.
- A higher proportion of teenagers than adults were injured but did not obtain subsequent medical care.
- The proportions of violent crime victims who were injured and who obtained medical care did not vary by age.
- Younger teenage victims who were injured were less likely than adult or older teenage injured victims to sustain serious injuries (table 10). The proportions of older teenage and adult injured victims who sustained serious injuries were not measurably different.

Number of victims and offenders

- Higher proportions of teens than adults faced multiple offenders when alone or when others were present but not victimized, such as when the crime took place in school or in another public place (table 11).
- Teenagers were also more likely than adults to face multiple offenders when there was more than one victim.

Offender characteristics

Relationship to victim

Because respondents may be reluctant to mention crimes committed against them by relatives and acquaintances, and because adults answer NCS questions for nearly all 12- and 13-year-olds, it is likely that the NCS undercounts crimes committed against teenagers by relatives or family friends. Even given this likelihood, teenage violent crime victims were more likely than adult victims to report that they knew the offender (table 12).

Other findings concerning the victim-offender relationship are:

- Young teenage violent crime victims were the most likely of the three age groups to have known their offenders casually or by sight. In many of these situations, the teenager may have recognized the offender as a fellow student in school or as someone living in the neighborhood.
- The proportions of older teenagers and adults victimized by persons known by sight were not measurably different. Older teenage violent crime victims, however, were more likely than adults to report that the offenders were casual acquaintances.
- The proportion of violent crime victims who reported that their offenders were completely unknown was highest for adults (51%) followed by older teenagers (43%) and younger teenagers (32%).
- For all three age groups, the proportions of violent crimes committed by persons completely unknown to the victim varied by type of crime. The proportions of all victims robbed by persons they did not know at all was higher than the comparable percentages for aggravated assaults and for simple assaults.

Sex of offenders

• In the vast majority of violent

crimes, males, regardless of age, were victimized by other males (table 13). Male teenagers, however, were even more likely than male adults to be victimized by male offenders (94% vs. 89%).

- In general, females were also more likely to be victimized by males. Female teenagers, however, were more likely than female adults to be victimized by other females (37% vs. 15%).
- Female teenagers were about as likely to suffer simple assaults committed by other females (47%) as by males (48%).

Race of offenders

- Teenagers, like adults, were victimized more often by persons of the same race (table 14). White teenagers, however, were victimized by other whites more often than were white adults. Black teenagers were victimized by other blacks somewhat more often than were black adults.
- Most black robbery victims—both teenage and adult—reported that the offenders were black. Black teenagers, however, were somewhat more likely than black adults to be robbed by blacks (86% vs. 80%).
- Robbery was less intraracial for white victims. About 49% of white teenagers and 41% of white adults were robbed by white offenders.
- White teenagers were more likely than white adults to experience simple assaults by white offenders (83% vs. 79%). About the same proportions experienced aggravated assaults by white offenders (76% vs. 75%).
- Black teenagers were about as likely as black adults to experience simple assaults by black offenders, but more likely to experience aggravated assaults by black offenders (86% vs. 76%).

Age of offenders

- Teenagers and adults were generally victimized by persons within their own age groups (table 15).
- Young teenagers were most likely to be victimized by offenders under 15 or 15-17 (61%). Adults were most likely to be victimized by offenders age 21 or older (70%).
- Almost half of the offenders against older teens were under 21.
 Almost a third, however, were 21 or older.

Reporting crimes to the police

- Both violent and theft crimes against teenagers were less likely than those against adults to be reported to the police (table 16).
- Robberies, simple assaults, and personal thefts were more likely to be reported if an older teenager was victimized than if a young teenager was victimized. The proportions of aggravated assaults reported to the police, however, were not measurably different for these two age groups.
- Unlike the patterns for other crimes, rapes of young teenagers were more likely to be reported to police than rapes of adults and somewhat more likely to be reported than rapes of older teens. These findings, however, are based on a relatively small number of sample cases.
- The proportion of teenage victimizations reported to police rose as the seriousness of the crime increased. More than three times as many violent crimes as theft crimes against younger teens were reported to the police. About twice as many violent as theft crimes against older teens were reported.
- About 31% of those who notified police of violent crimes against teenagers stated that the most important reason was to prevent the incident from happening again to the victim or to others (table 17). The

- desire to recover property was mentioned most often as the primary reason crimes of theft were reported.
- Survey respondents were also asked the most important reason the police were <u>not</u> notified. A higher proportion of violent crimes against teenagers than against adults were not reported to police because the incident was not viewed as important enough (table 18).
- For younger teens, the most often mentioned reasons for not reporting a violent crime were that it was not considered important enough (28%) or it was reported to someone else (26%), possibly a parent, teacher, or school official. The most often mentioned reason for not reporting a theft crime was that it was reported to someone else (43%).
- For older teens, the most often mentioned reasons for not reporting a violent crime were that it was a private, personal matter (28%) or it was unimportant (25%). The most often mentioned reason for not reporting a theft crime was that it was not considered important (31%).

Methodology

The National Crime Survey measures personal crimes of violence (rape. robbery, and aggravated and simple assault), personal crimes of theft (larceny with or without contact), and household crimes (burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft). Data about crimes, including crimes not reported to the police, are obtained from a continuous survey of a nationally representative sample of households. This report presents findings about personal crimes that occurred during a 3-year period, 1982 through 1984.

For each housing unit included in the sample, NCS interviewers obtain information about criminal incidents experienced by all household members age 12 and over. Although most household members complete the interview themselves, the NCS interviewer obtains information about nearly all 12- and 13-year-olds, persons absent from the household, and incapacitated persons from a knowledgeable proxy respondent. From 1982 through 1984, adult proxy respondents gave interviews for 97% of the 12-13-year-old victims and 1% of the 14-19-year-old victims.

The data presented in this report have been weighted to represent victimizations. The number of victimizations is higher than the number of incidents because some criminal incidents are perpetrated against more than one victim. Series victimizations, which are three or more separate criminal events that the victim cannot describe in detail, and attempted assaults that occurred during commercial thefts have been excluded.

The victimization rates presented in this report are annual averages for the period 1982 through 1984. The numerator of a given rate is the sum of the number of crimes occurring in 1982, 1983, and 1984. The denominator is the sum of the annual population totals for the three years. The annual average rate per 1,000 is computed as follows:

$$\frac{(A + B + C)}{(D + E + F)}$$
 x 1000

where A, B, and C are the annual number of crimes in 1982, 1983, and 1984 and where D, E, and F are the population totals for each year. The number of crimes and population totals provided in the tables are annual averages.

In tables 12-15, multiple- and singleoffender victimizations have been combined. In table 12, the closest relationship to any offender was used to classify a multiple-offender victimization. For example, if a relative and a casual acquaintance robbed a victim, the crime would be tallied once under "relative."

In NCS annual reports, offender/ victim relationships are classified as "stranger" or "nonstranger." Strangers include those known only by sight and those not known at all. Nonstrangers include relatives, those well known but not related, casual acquaintances, and those who are known but the exact relationship is not ascertained.

Definition of terms

At school: Those crimes that occurred inside a school building or on school property such as a school parking lot, school play area, or school bus.

Serious injuries: Broken bones, loss of teeth, internal injuries, loss of consciousness, gunshot or knife wounds, injuries resulting from rape or attempted rape, or undetermined injuries requiring 2 or more days of hospitalization.

Minor injuries: Bruises, black eyes, cuts, scratches, swelling, or undetermined injuries requiring less than 2 days of hospitalization.

Reliability of comparisons

All comparisons presented in the text are significant at the 95% confidence level or above, except where the comparison is qualified by

"somewhat" or is footnoted to indicate confidence at the 90% level. Differences in percentages that are below the 90% confidence level are referred to as "not measurably different." More information on estimation procedures can be found in Appendix III of Criminal Victimization in the United States, 1984.

Table 1. Average annual victimization rates and numbers of victimizations, by age of victim and type of crime, 1982-84

		Age of victi	m	
Type of crime	12-15 years old	16-19 years old	20 years old and older	
Victimization rate				
Crimes of violence	52.0	67.8	26.9	
Rape	1.5	2.1	0.7	
Robbery	9.1	11.5	5.6	
Aggravated assault	10.5	20.0	7.4	
Simple assault	30.9	34.2	13.2	
Crimes of theft	124.6	122.4	€ 5.5	
Personal larceny with contact	2.9	3.0	3.0	
Personal larceny without contact	121.7	119.4	65.6	
Number of victimizations				
Crimes of violence	753,960	1,028,510	4,321,110	
Rape	21,230	31,470	108,800	
Robbery	132,100	174,680	893,270	
Aggravated assault	152,610	303,830	1,191,430	
Simple assault	448,020	518,530	2,127,620	
Crimes of theft	1,806,990	1,855,960	11,005,210	
Personal larceny with contact Personal larceny without	42,190	45,340	473,720	
contact	1,764,890	1,810,620	10,531,490	
Population of age group*	14,501,990	15,163,220	160,630,960	

20-24 64.2114.4 25-34 37.4 83.9 35-49 21.1 63.0 50-64 10.0 40.4

Table 2. Victimization rates, by type

Victimization rate for Crimes of Crimes

of theft

120.3

120.4

20.0

violence

53.2

67.6

4.9

of crime and age of victim, 1984

Age of victim

12-15 years old

65 and older

16-19

Note: The victimization rate is the number of victimizations per 1,000 persons in each age group.

Note: The victimization rate is the annual average of the number of victimizations for 1982-84 per 1,000 persons in the age group.

Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

*Annual average for 1982-84.

Table 3. Victimization rates per 1,000 persons, by type of crime, age of victim, and demographic characteristics, 1982-84

	Victimization rate for						
				Cr	imes of the	ft	
Demographic characteristics		mes of viol			Larceny with	Larceny without	
of victim	Total*	Robbery	Assault	Total	contact	contact	
Sex					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Male							
12-15 years old	63.9	13.9	49.7	130.1	4.4	125.7	
16~19	86.9	16.8	69.9	129.6	3.5	126.1	
Female							
12-15 years old	39.6	4.1	32,8	118.9	1.3	117.5	
16-19	48.6	6.2	38.5	115.2	2.5	112.7	
Race							
White							
12-15 years old	51.2	7.9	42.1	128.5	2.3	126.2	
16-19	66.7	9.2	55.4	129.1	2.7	126.4	
Black							
12-15 years old	61.3	17.4	41.8	112.5	6.2	106.4	
16-19	76.0	23.7	50.2	90.1	4.6	85.6	
Other							
12-15 years old	24.7		19.5	71.7		67.1	
16-19	56.5	17.6	38.9	88.7	-	85,7	

Note: The victimization rate is the annual average of the number of victimizations for 1982-84 per 1,000 persons in each demographic category. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

- Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data.

*Includes data on rape, not presented as a separate category.

Table 4. Victimization rates by type of crime and age of victim, 1973 and 1984

Type of crime and age		ation rate
of victim	1973	1984
Crimes of violence		
12-15 years old	55.6	53.2
16-19	61.4	67.6
Crimes of theft		
12-15 years old	171.2	120.3
16-19	161.1	120,4
		

Note: The victimization rate is the number of victimizations each year per 1,000 persons in each age group,

		Percent of victimizations that occurred:							
Type of crime and age of victim	Total	At or near home	At or near friend's, relative's, or neigh- bor's home	In a restaurant, commercial building	On public transpor- tation, in a parking lot	In a street or park	In or at school	At another location	
Crimes of violence ^a									
12-15 years old	100%	14%	6%	4%	5%	34%	34%	3%	
16-19	100	15	11	8	11	35	14	6	
20 and older	100	27	8	15	11	30	2	8	
Robbery									
12-15 years old	100	13		4	7	48	24	_	
16-19	100	16	6	5	12	50	7	4	
20 and older	100	23	4	9	15	44	1	5	
Aggravated assault									
12-15 years old	100	13	9	2	5	41	26		
16-19	100	14	12	7	13	38	9	7	
20 and older	100	29	9	11	11	30	2	9	
Simple assault									
12-15 years old	100	14	5	4	4	28	41	3	
16-19	100	15	12	10	10	28	19	6	
20 and older	100	27	8	20	9	24	3	8	
Crimes of theft ^b									
12-15 years old	100%		2%	4%	3%	5%	83%	4%	
16-19	10075		9	12	20	10	42	7	
20 and older	100		8	19	37	17	7	13	
Note: Percentages may not to because of rounding. —Too few cases to obtain sta reliable data.	tistically B	eparate catego Since by defin	on rape, not pre bry. ition, personal l	arcenies	home, perso	eft, cannot o nal larcenies or near home	with contact		

		Percent of victimizations that occurred during:				
Type of crime and age of victim	Total	Daytime 6 a.m6 p.m.	Nighttime 6 p.m6 a.m.	Time not known/not ascertained		
Crimes of violence						
12-15 years old	100%	76%	24%			
16-19	100	42	58			
20 and older	100	43	57	*		
Rape						
12-15 years old	100	51	49	****		
16-19	100	30	70			
20 and older	100	34	66			
Robbery						
12-15 years old	100	73	27	-		
16-19	100	42	58			
20 and older	100	41	59			
Aggravated assault						
12-15 years old	100	73	27			
16-19	100	37	63			
20 and older	100	39	61			
Simple assault						
12-15 years old	100	78	21	_		
16-19	100	45	54			
20 and older	100	46	53	1		
Crimes of theft						
12-15 years old	100%	83%	8%	8%		
16-19	100	57	32	11		
20 and older	100	42	40	18		
Personal larceny						
with contact						
12-15 years old	100	81	17	-		
16-19	100	48	52			
20 and older	100	64	35	1		
Personal larceny						
without contact						
12-15 years old	100	83	8	9		
16-19	100	57	32	11		
20 and older	100	41	40	19		

Type of crime and age of victim		Percent of victimizations involving: Armed offenders who used:							
		Unarmed offenders	Total	Gun only	Knife only	Other weapon only	Combi- nation of weapons	Type not ascertained	Don't know/not ascertained if armed
Crimes of violence*									
12-15 years old	100%	69%	26%	4%	8%	12%	1%	1%	5%
16-19	100	57	37	10	11	13	2	1	5
20 and older	100	55	37	12	9	13	2	1	8
Robbery									
12-15 years old	100	57	34	7	15	9	-		9
16-19	100	39	52	19	19	10	4		9
20 and older	100	36	51	19	15	12	3	2	13
Aggravated and simple assa	ult								
12-15 years old	100	72	24	3	7	13	-	1	4
16-19	100	61	35	8	9	14	1	1	5
20 and older	100	59	34	10	8	13	2	1	7

		Age of victim	
Self-protective measures ranked by seriousness*	12-15 years old	16-19 years old	20 years old and older
Total	100%	100%	100%
Used or brandished a weapon		3	6
Used or tried to use physical force	32	37	30
Tried to get help, scare offender away, argued or reasoned with offender	25	27	35
Resisted without force, including evasion	38	31	26
Other measures or measure not ascertained	4	2	4
Average annual number of victimizations involving self-protection	554,790	814,590	3,319,39
Note: Percentages may not total to 100 because of rounding. —Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data.	*Victims who re protective meas most serious cat	ported more tha ure are tallied o	n one self- nce in the

Table 9. Percent distribution of attacks, injuries in violent crimes,	
and medical care obtained, by age of victim, 1982-84	

			Age of victim	
Crime characteristics	Total	12-15 years old	16-19 years old	20 years old and older
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%
Victim was:				
Not attacked*	52%	44%	48%	54%
Attacked	49%	56%	52%	46%
Not injured	18	22	19	16
Injured	31	34	33	30
Without medical care	16	19	18	15
With medical care	15	15	15	15

Table 10. Percent distribution of injured victims, by seriousness of injury and age of victim, 1982-84

			Extent of injuries				
Age of victim	Total	Serious	Minor	Extent not ascertained			
12-15 years old	100%	11%	87%	2%			
16-19	100	18	81				
20 and older	100	22	76	2			

Note: Percentages may not total to 100 because of rounding, —Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data,

		Percent o	Percent of victimizations involving:			
Number and age of victims	Total	One offender	More than one offender	Number not known/not ascertained		
Total*						
12-19 years old	100%	65%	33%	2%		
20 and older	100	72	26	3		
One victim						
12-19 years old	100	70	28	2		
20 and older	100	75	23	2		
Victim was alone						
12-19 years old	100	61	36	3 3		
20 and older	100	68	29	3		
Others were present but not victimized						
12-19 years old	100	77	22	1 2		
20 and older	100	81	17	2		
More than one victim						
12-19 years old	100	52	46	2		
20 and older	100	63	34	3		

			Percent	of victimizai	ions involving	offender(
Type of crime and age of victim	Total	Relatives	Well known, not relatives ^a	Casual acquaint- ances	Known by sight only	Not known at all	Known, exact relationship not ascer-tained	Relationship not known/not ascertained ^b
Crimes of violence ^c						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	
12-15 years old	100%	2%	20%	25%	18%	32%	1%	3%
16-19	100	5	20	18	11	43	1	2
20 and older	100	8	15	11	10	51	1	4
Robbery								
12-15 years old	100	_	6	17	14	58		
16-19	100	4	10	9	10	66	-	_
20 and older	100	4	7	5	4	75	1	4
ggravated assault								
12-15 years old	100		20	18	20	34		5
16-19	100	4	19	18	12	42		3
20 and older	100	8	15	13	10	47	1	5
imple assault								
12-15 years old	100	2	24	29	19	23		2
16-19	100	6	23	21	12	35	2	2
20 and older	100	10	18	13	12	44	1	2
	100 not total to 100 n statistically	10 girlfr ex-fr binel know	18 iend, ex-girlfri	end, friend, and ions where it ained if there	12 nd was not were one	where it tained if stranger CInclude	was not known the offender(s (s) or nonstrang s data on rape, arate category.	or not ascer) was(were) er(s). not presente

	Percent of	victimizat	ions involvi		(s) who were:
Type of crime and age and sex of victim	Total	All male	All female	Male and female	Sex not known/not ascertained
Male victims					
Crimes of violence* 12-19 years old 20 and older	100% 100	94% 89	2% 5	2% 4	2% 3
Robbery 12-19 years old 20 and older	100 100	96 90	_ 3	3 4	_ 3
Aggravated assault 12–19 years old 20 and older	100 100	93 85	2 6	2 5	3 4
Simple assault 12-19 years old 20 and older	100 100	95 90	2 5	2 3	1 2
Female victims					
Crimes of violence* 12-19 years old 20 and older	100 100	57 78	37 15	4	2 2
Robbery 12-19 years old 20 and older	100 100	74 87	19 7	_ 3	2 2
Aggravated assault 12–19 years old 20 and older	100 100	62 76	30 14	6 5	-
Simple assault 12-19 years old 20 and older	100 100	48 74	47 21	4 4	2 2

Note: Percentages may not total to 100 because of rounding.

-Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data.

*Includes data on rape, not presented as a separate category.

Table 14. Perceived race of offender(s), by race and age of victim, and type of violent crime, 1982-84

		Percent of victimizations involving: Race not						
Type of crime		All	All	All other	Offenders	known		
and race and		white	black	race	of differ-	not ascer-		
age of victims	Total	offenders	otfenders	offenders	ent races	tained		
White victims								
Crimes of violence*								
12-19 years old	100%	76%	15%	4%	3%	2%		
20 and older	100	71	20	4	2	4		
Robbery								
12-19 years old	100	49	38	5	6			
20 and older	100	41	46	5	4	4		
Aggravated assault								
12-19 years old	100	76	12	6	2	4		
20 and older	100	75	15	3	2	5		
Simple assault								
12-19 years old	100	83	10	3	2	2 3		
20 and older	100	79	14	3	1	3		
Black victims								
Crimes of violence*								
12-19 years old	100	11	83	2	2	3		
20 and older	100	13	78	2	3	5		
Robbery								
12-19 years old	100	8	86					
20 and older	100	9	80		4	6		
Aggravated assault								
12-19 years old	100	9	86					
20 and older	100	14	76	2	3	5		
Simple assault								
12-19 years old	100	14	79					
20 and older	100	14	78			4		

Note: Percentages may not total to 100 because of rounding.

—Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data.

*Includes data on rape, not presented as a separate category.

Table 15. Perceived age of offender(s), by age of victim

		Percent of victimizations involving offender(s) who were:					
Type of crime and age of victim	Total	All under 15	All 15-17	All 18-20	All 21 and older	Mixed ages	Age not known/not ascertained
Crimes of violence*							
12-15 years old	100%	32%	29%	7%	13%	16%	4%
16-19	100	1	20	24	35	16	4
20 and older	100	1	4	10	70	8	7
Robbery							
12-15 years old	100	22	32	10	8	25	
16-19	100	_	13	23	30	27	7
20 and older	100	1	6	12	54	15	11
Aggravated assault							
12-15 years old	100	29	21	6	16	20	8
16-19	100	-	17	23	38	17	4
20 and older	100	1	3	9	71	9	7
Simple assault							
12-15 years old	100	37	30	6	11	12	3
16-19	100		24	25	33	13	4
20 and older	100	1	3	9	76	6	4

Note: Percentages may not total to 100 because of rounding.

-Too few cases to obtain statistically reliable data.
*Includes data on rape, not presented as a separate category.

Table 16. Police reporting rates, by age of victim and type of crime, 1982-84

Type of crime and age of victim	Percer victim Reporter to police	izations:
and age of victim	Pottee	to ponce
Crimes of violence		
12-15 years old	31%	67%
16-19	41	58
20 and older	53	46
Rape		
12-15 years old	74	
16-19	53	47
20 and older	48	51
Robbery		
12-15 years old	34	64
16-19	46	54
20 and older	60	39
Aggravated assault		
12-15 years old	41	57
16-19	48	50
20 and older	61	38
Simple assault	25	74
12-15 years old 16-19	25 34	65
20 and older	45	54
zo and order	40	J4
Crimes of theft		
12-15 years old	9%	90%
16-19	19	79
20 and older	31	67

Note: Percentages may not total to 100 because of rounding and the exclusion from the table of percentages (2% or less) where police reporting was not known or not ascertained. -Too few cases to obtain statistically

reliable data.

Table 17. Most important reason for reporting crimes to police for victims ages 12-19, 1982-84

Most important reason	Crimes of violence	Crimes of theft
Total	100%	100%
Desire to recover property In order to	6	60
collect insurance		6
To keep it from happening again		
or to others	31	11
To punish the offender	18	4
To stop or prevent		
this incident	16	3
Because it was		-
a crime	5	5
Because it was	_	-
victim's duty	3	2
Need for help due to	•	_
injury	4	
Other a	17	9
Average annual number of victimizations reported to police by	•	
respondent ^D	231,060	276,780

Note: Percentages may not total to 100 because of rounding.

-Too few cases to obtain statistically

reliable data.

Alineludes respondents who reported other reasons, those who cited the existence of evidence or proof as the most important reason, those who said that no one reason was most important, and those for whom a reason was not ascertained. Victimizations reported to police by

someone other than the respondent are not included.

Table 18. Most important reason for not reporting crimes to police, by age of victim and type of crime, 1982-84

Most important reason for not	Crimes of violence ^a Crimes				
reporting, by age of victim	Total	Robbery	Assault	of theft	
Total					
12-15 years old	100%	100%	100%	100%	
16-19	100%	100%	100%	100%	
20 and older	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Did not think it was important					
12-15 years old	28	23	30	31	
16-19	25	18	27	31	
20 and older	18	10	20	28	
Reported to someone else	0.0	0.0	0.0	40	
12-15 years old 16-19	26 10	23 7	26 11	43 24	
20 and older	8	4	9	24 11	
Private, personal matter	Ü	- 1	3	11	
12-15 years old	17	10	19	2	
16-19	28	12	31	4	
20 and older	29	17	32	4	
Object recovered or offender					
unsuccessful		0			
12-15 years old 16-19	2 3	9 11	2	2 3	
20 and older	4	11	3	4	
Didn't realize crime had	•	**	·	•	
happened until later					
12-15 years old	_			1	
16-19	-		-	4	
20 and older	e			8	
Property hard to recover				0	
12-15 years old 16-19	_	_		2 4	
20 and older	e e	2	-	5	
Lack of proof	•			Ů	
12-15 years old	5	10	3	8	
16-19	6	18	4	15	
20 and older	8	18	6	18	
Police wouldn't think it important					
enough	5	8	5	3	
12-15 years old 16-19	5		6	4	
20 and older	5	6	š	6	
Police would be inefficient,				_	
insensitive					
12-15 years old	2	3	1		
16-19	2	8	1	1	
20 and older Too inconvenient	5	8	4	3	
12-15 years old	****			1	
16-19	2	4	1	ī	
20 and older	3	3	2	3	
Afraid of reprisal					
12-15 years old	3	_	3	e	
16-19	6	6	5	c	
20 and older Other D	5	4	5	e	
12-15 years old	10	8	10	6	
16-19	12	11	11	7	
20 and older	14	15	13	10	
Annual average number of victimizations not reported to police					
12-15 years old	507,730	85,180	417,590	1,625,370	
16-19	596,660	93,944	487,900	1,471,130	
20 and older	1,996,908	347,582	1,593,598	7,415,525	

Note: Percentages may not total to 100 because of rounding.

—Too few cases to obtain statistically

reliable data.

and includes data on rape, not presented as a separate category.

 $^{\mathbf{b}}$ Includes respondents who reported other reasons, those who said no one reason was most important, and those for whom the reason was not ascertained.

CLess than 0.5%. **Bureau of Justice Statistics reports**

(revised December 1986)
Call toll-free 800-732-3277 (local 251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists, or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850, Single copies of reports are free; use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the Criminal Justice Archive and Information Network, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (313-763-5010).

National Crime Survey

Criminal victimization in the U.S.: 1984 (final report), NCJ-100435, 5/86 1983 (final report), NCJ-96459, 10/85 1982 (final report), NCJ-92820, 11/84 1973-82 trends, NCJ-90541, 9/83 1980 (final report), NCJ-84015, 4/83 1979 (final report), NCJ-76710, 12/81

BJS special reports:

Preventing domestic violence against women, NCJ-102037, 8/86 Crime prevention measures, NCJ-100438, 3/86

The use of weapons in committing crimes, NCJ-99643, 1/86

Reporting crimes to the police, NCJ-99432

12/85
Locating city, suburban, and rural crime, NCJ-99535, 12/85
The risk of violent crime, NCJ-97119, 5/85
The economic cost of crime to victims, NCJ-93450, 4/84
Family violence, NCJ-93449, 4/84

BJS bulletins:

Households touched by crime, 1985. NCJ-101685, 6/86 Criminal victimization, 1984, NCJ-98904, 10/85 The crime of rape, NCJ-96777, 3/85 Household burglary, NCJ-96021, 1/85 Criminal victimization, 1983, NCJ-93869, 6/84 Violent crime by strangers, NCJ-93869, 4/82 Crime and the elderly, NCJ-79614, 1/82 Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81

Teenage victims, NCJ-103138, 12/86 Response to screening questions in the National Crime Survey (BJS technical report), NCJ-

Crime Survey (BJS technical report), NCJ-97624, 7/85
Victimization and fear of crime: World perspectives, NCJ-93872, 1/85
The National Crime Survey: Working papers, vol. I: Current and historical perspectives, NCJ-75374, 8/82
vol. II: Methological studies, NCJ-90307, 12/84 Issues in the measurement of victimization, NCJ-74682, 10/81

The cost of negligence; Losses from preventable household burglaries, NCJ-53527, 12/79
Rape victimization in 26 American cities, NCJ-5878, 8/79

Criminal victimization in urban schools, NCJ-56396, 8/79

An introduction to the National Crime Survey.

NCJ-43732, 4/78 Local victim surveys; A review of the issues, NCJ-39973, 8/77

Parole and probation

BJS bulletins.

Probation and parole 1984, NCJ-100181, 2/86

Setting prison terms, NCJ-76218, 8/83

Parole in the U.S., 1980 and 1981, NCJ-87387,

Characteristics of persons entering parole during 1978 and 1979, NCJ-87243, 5/83 Characteristics of the parole population, 1978, NCJ-65479, 4/81 Parole in the U.S., 1979, NCJ-69562, 3/81

Corrections

BJS bulletins and special reports: Capital punishment, 1985, NCJ-102742, 11/86 State and Federal prisoners, 1925-85, NCJ-102494, 11/86
Prisoners in 1985, NCJ-101384, 6/86
Prison admission and releases, 1983, NCJ-10582, 3/86
Capital punishment 1984, NCJ-98399, 8/85

Examining recidivism, NCJ-96501, 2/85 Returning to prison, NCJ-95700, 11/84 Time served in prison, NCJ-93924, 6/84

Historical corrections statistics in the U.S., 1850-1984, NCJ-102529, 12/86
Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions on Dec. 31, 1983, NCJ-99861, 6/86
Capital punishment 1984 (final), NCJ-99562, 5/86
Capital punishment 1983 (final), NCJ-99561, 4/86

1979 survey of inmates of State correctional facilities and 1979 census of State correctional facilities.

BJS special reports: The prevalence of Imprisonment, NCJ-93657.

Career patterns in crime, NCJ-88672, 6/83

BJS bulletins:

Prisoners and drugs, NCJ-87575, 3/83 Prisoners and alcohol, NCJ-86223, 1/83 Prisons and prisoners, NCJ-80697, 2/82 Veterans in prison, NCJ-79232, 11/81

Census of jails and survey of jail inmates: Jail inmates, 1984, NCJ-101094, 5/86 Jail inmates, 1983 (BJS bulletin). NCJ-99175,

The 1983 jail census (BJS bulletin), NCJ-95536, 11/84

Census of jails, 1978: Data for individual jails, vols I-IV. Northeast, North Central, South, West, NCJ-72279-72282, 12/81

Profile of jail inmates, 1978, NCJ-65412, 2/81

Children in custody:

Public juvenile facilities, 1985 (bulletin). NCJ-102457, 10/86 1982-83 census of juvenile detention and correctional facilities, NCJ-101686, 9/86

Expenditure and employment

BJS Bulletins:

Justice expenditure and employment: 1983, NCJ-101776, 7/86 1982, NCJ-98327, 8/85

Justice expenditure and employment in the U.S.: 1980 and 1981 extracts, NCJ-96007, 6/85 1971-79, NCJ-92596, 11/84

Courts

BJS bulletins.

The growth of appeals: 1973-83 trends, NCJ-96381, 2/85

Case fillings in State courts 1983, NCJ-95111. 10/84

BJS special reports:

Felony case-processing time, NCJ-101985, 8/86 Felony sentencing in 18 local jurisdictions, NCJ-97681, 6/85 The prevalence of guilty pleas, NCJ-96018,

Sentencing practices in 13 States, NCJ-95399.

Criminal defense systems: A national survey, NCJ-94630, 8/84 Habeas corpus, NCJ-92948, 3/84 State court caseload statistics, 1977 and 1981, NCJ-87587, 2/83

National Criminal Defense Systems Study, NCJ-94702, 10/86 The prosecution of felony arrests:

1981, NCJ-101380, 9/86 1980, NCJ-97684, 10/85 1979, NCJ-86482, 5/84 State court model statistical dictionary, Supplement, NCJ-98326, 9/85 1 st edition, NCJ-62320, 9/80

State court organization 1980, NCJ-76711, 7/82 A cross-city comparison of felony case processing, NCJ-55171, 7/79

Federal offenses and offenders

BJS special reports:

Pretrial release and misconduct, NCJ-96132. 1/85

BJS bulletins:

Bank robbery, NCJ-94463, 8/84 Federal justice statistics, NCJ-92692, 2/84 Federal justice statistics, NCJ-80814, 3/82 Privacy and security

Computer crime:

BJS special reports: Electronic fund transfer fraud, NCJ-96666, 3/85 Electronic fund transfer and crime, NGJ-92650, 2/84

Electronic fund transfer fraud, NCJ-100461, 4/86

Computer security techniques, NGJ-84049, 9/82 Electronic fund transfer systems and crime,

NCJ-83736, 9/82 Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81 Criminal justice resource manual, NCJ-61550.

Privacy and security of criminal history

Compendium of State legislation, 1984 overview, NCJ-98077, 9/85

Criminal justice information policy: Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ-101850, 12/86 Data quality policies and procedures: Data quality policies and procedures:
Proceedings of a BJS/SEARCH conference,
NCJ-101849, 12/86
Crime control and criminal records (BJS special
report), NCJ-99176, 10/85
State criminal records repositories (BJS
technical report), NCJ-99017, 10/85
Data quality of criminal history records, NCJ98079, 10/85

Intelligence and investigative records, NCJ-95787, 4/85

Victim/witness legislation: An overview, NCJ-94365, 12/84 Information policy and crime control strategies (SEARCH/BJS conference), NCJ-93926, 10/84

Research access to criminal justice data.

NCJ-84154. 2/83
Privacy and juvenile justice records, NCJ-84152. 1/83

NCJ-94152. 1763 Survey of State laws (BJS bulletin). NCJ-80836. 6/82 Privacy and the private employer, NCJ-79651, 11/81

General

BJS bulletins and special reports:

BJS telephone contacts '87, NCJ-102909 12/86 Tracking offenders: White-collar crime, NCJ-102867, 11/86

Police employment and expenditure, NCJ-100117, 2/86

Tracking offenders: The child victim, NCJ-95785, 12/84

The severity of crime, NCJ-92326, 1/84 The American response to crime: An overview of criminal justice systems, NCJ-91936, 12/83 Tracking offenders, NCJ-91572, 11/83 Victim and witness assistance: New State laws and the system's response, NCJ-87934.

1986 directory of automated criminal justice information systems, NCJ-102260, 12/86, \$20 Crime and justice facts, 1985, NCJ-100757, 5/86 National survey of crime severity, NCJ-96017,

Criminal victimization of District of Columbia residents and Capitol Hill employees, 1982-83, NCJ-97982, Summary, NCJ-98567, 9/85
The DC crime victimization study implementation, NCJ-98595, 9/85, \$7 60 domestic/\$9 20 Canadi-

The DC household victimization survey data base: Documentation, NCJ-98596, \$6 40/\$8.40/\$11 User manual, NCJ-98597, \$8 20/\$9.80/\$12 80 How to gain access to BJS data (brochure), BC-000022, 9/84

Proceedings of the 2nd workshop on law and justice statistics, 1984, NCJ-93310, 8/84
Report to the nation on crime and justice: The data, NCJ-87068, 10/83
Dictionary of criminal justice data terminology: 2nd ed., NCJ-76939, 2/82
Technical standards for machine readable, data

Technical standards for machine-readable data supplied to BJS, NCJ-75318, 6/81

See order form on last page

Please put me on the mailing list for:			National Crime Survey reports—the only				
	Justice expenditure and employment reports—annual spending and staffing by		regular national survey of crime victims Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics				
	Federal/State/local governments and by function (police, courts, etc.)		(annual)—broad-based data from 150+ sources (400+ tables, 100+ figures, index)				
	Computer crime reports—electronic fund transfer system crimes		National Institute of Justice/National minal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS)				
	Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy—new legislation; maintaining and releasing intelligence and investigative records; data quality issues	just ere mor Jus	tracts documents published in the criminal ice field. Persons registered with the Refnee Service receive NIJ Reports every other oth. It includes an order form for Bureau of tice Statistics publications. If you want an JRS registration form please check here:				
	Federal statistics—data describing Federal case processing, from investigation through prosecution, adjudication, and corrections	То	receive copies of recent BJS reports, list es and NCJ numbers here or check them on				
	BJS bulletins and special reports—timely reports of the most current justice data	the	the	reverse side of this sheet:			
	Courts reports—State court caseload surveys, model annual State reports, State court organization surveys						
	Corrections reports—results of sample surveys and censuses of jails, prisons, parole, probation, and other corrections data						
	Name:						
	Title:						
	Organization:						
	Street or box:						
	City, State, Zip:						
Day	time telephone number: ()						
Interest in criminal justice:							

U.S. Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Statistics Washington, DC 20531 Place stamp here

Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/NCJRS U.S. Department of Justice User Services Department 2 Box 6000 Rockville, MD 20850