



Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report

Handgun Crime Victims

By Michael R. Rand
BJS Statistician

Offenders armed with handguns committed an average of 639,000 violent crimes each year between 1979 and 1987. These criminals used handguns to kill an average of 9,200 people each year and to wound 15,000; they injured approximately 76,000 victims a year in ways other than by shooting them.

In 87% of the nonfatal crimes involving handguns, the offender did not fire the weapon but used it to intimidate — according to victims' reports gathered through the National Crime Survey (NCS), an ongoing survey conducted in a nationwide sample of households. Victims reported that the offender fired the gun but missed in 10% of the crimes involving handguns and wounded the victim in 2%.

Other major findings on the role of handguns in crime include the following:

- Young black urban males were the group most vulnerable to victimization by an offender armed with a handgun. Urban black men age 16 to 24 were victimized at a rate more than twice as high as the rate of urban white men and of urban black women of similar ages.
- Handgun crimes represented 10% of all violent crimes and 27% of all violent crimes by armed offenders that occurred during the period 1979-87. Offenders with handguns committed about 7% of all rapes, 18% of all robberies, and 8% of all assaults (22% of aggravated assaults) during this period. Approximately 4 in every 10 victims of murder or nonnegligent manslaughter were killed by offenders with handguns.

July 1990

Each year an estimated 639,000 residents of the United States face an offender armed with a handgun. This Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report describes key findings from an analysis of handgun crimes reported in the National Crime Survey for 1979-87. It describes the victims of hand-gun crime, how the handgun was used during the crime, and the nature and extent of handgun crime injury. It also provides information on handgun offenders, the location of handgun crimes, and whether the crime was reported to the police.

BJS thanks the victims of handgun crimes for describing their experiences to survey interviewers and thereby providing the data necessary for reports such as this one.

Joseph M. Bessette
Acting Director

- For violent crimes committed with a handgun, white offenders were responsible for 43% of the rapes, 22% of the robberies, and 58% of the aggravated assaults; blacks were responsible for 51% of the rapes, 67% of the robberies, and 35% of the assaults.
- A higher percentage of crimes by strangers involved handguns than did crimes by acquaintances or relatives of victims. While 12% of all violent crimes by strangers were committed by offenders

Handguns and crime, 1979-87

	Average annual number
Handgun crimes	638,900
Murder	9,200
Rape	12,100
Robbery	210,000
Assault	407,600
Nonfatal handgun crimes	629,700
Victims injured	91,500
Shot	15,000
Other injury	76,400

Note: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

wielding handguns, 5% of violent crimes by acquaintances and 6% of violent crimes by relatives were committed with a handgun.

- Of victims injured but not killed by gunshot injuries, 28% were treated in emergency rooms and released, and 68% were hospitalized overnight or longer. About 21% of all victims of nonfatal gunshots were hospitalized for 3 weeks or more.
- Victims of handgun robberies were more apt than other robbery victims to lose property in the robbery. Eighty percent of handgun robberies were completed versus 61% of robberies not involving handguns.
- Victims of handgun crimes were much less likely to take self-protective measures such as fighting back, running away, or trying to dissuade the offender than were victims of other violent crimes. Fifty-five percent of handgun crime victims took self-protective measures, compared to 76% of victims of violent crimes with no handgun present.

Crimes committed with handguns

Between 1979 and 1987 an average of 639,000 violent crimes per year were committed by offenders wielding a handgun (table 1). Of these, almost two-thirds were assaults and a third were robberies. Rape accounted for about 2% of handgun crimes, and murder, about 1%.

Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter by persons with a handgun are discussed separately from the other crimes committed by offenders armed with handguns. (See the discussion on page 7.) The NCS relies on interviews with victims and cannot report on murder and manslaughter.

Victims of robbery were more likely than victims of rape or assault to be confronted by an offender armed with a handgun. Eighteen percent of all robberies were committed by such offenders, compared to 8% of all assaults and 7% of all rapes.

The NCS counts a handgun present in a crime when a victim reports that the offender carried a handgun, shot it, or threatened with words or gestures to use it. Any assault in which a handgun is displayed is, by NCS definition, an aggravated assault. Any injury caused by a gunshot is, by NCS definition, a serious injury.

Table 1. Average annual number and percent of handgun crimes, 1979-87

Violent crime	Average annual number of violent crimes	Crimes committed with handguns		
		Average annual number	Percent of violent crime	Percent of handgun crime
Total	6,668,000	638,900	9.6%	100.0%
Murder*	20,600	9,200	44.4	1.4
Rape	168,200	12,100	7.2	1.9
Robbery	1,183,300	210,000	17.7	32.9
Assault**	5,295,900	407,600	7.7	63.8

Note: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.
*Murder includes nonnegligent manslaughter.

**Assault total includes both aggravated and simple assault. By definition offenders in simple assaults are unarmed.

Victim characteristics

Victims of crimes in which a handgun was present resembled victims of all violent crimes. Members of the groups most vulnerable to violent crime — males, blacks, persons age 16 to 34, and urban residents — were also the most vulnerable to handgun crime (table 2). When susceptibility to all violent crime and susceptibility to handgun crime are compared, males and blacks were even more liable than females and whites to be victims of handgun crimes.

Sex

Excluding victims of murder, nonnegligent manslaughter, or simple assault, males were twice as likely as females to be a victim of a violent crime, while they were 2.4 times more likely than females to face a criminal who had a handgun (table 3). On average each year, for every 1,000 males age 12 or older, there were 4.8 criminal victimizations involving a handgun; for every 1,000 females, 2.0 such victimizations.

Race

Blacks were three times as likely as whites to be victims of a violent crime committed with a handgun. For every 1,000 blacks age 12 or older, there were an average 8.1 victimizations involving handguns each year; for every 1,000 whites, 2.7 victimizations; and for every 1,000 persons of other races, 3.0.

Table 2. Average annual rate of handgun crime, by victim characteristics, 1979-87

Victim characteristics	Average annual rate of crimes committed with handguns per 1,000 persons *			
	Total	Rape	Robbery	Assault**
Total	3.3	.1	1.1	2.2
Sex				
Male	4.8	--	1.7	3.1
Female	2.0	.1	.6	1.3
Race				
White	2.7	.1	.8	1.9
Black	8.1	.2	3.6	4.3
Other	3.0	--	1.3	1.7
Ethnicity				
Hispanic	5.3	.1	1.9	3.3
Non-Hispanic	3.1	.1	1.0	2.0
Age				
12-15 years	1.8	.1	.6	1.1
16-19	6.8	.2	1.7	4.8
20-24	7.6	.1	2.1	5.4
25-34	4.6	.1	1.6	2.9
35-49	2.4	--	.9	1.5
50-64	1.6	--	.7	.8
65 or older	.6	--	.4	.2
Place of residence				
Central city	5.9	.1	2.4	3.4
Suburbs	2.7	--	.8	1.8
Nonmetropolitan area	1.7	--	.3	1.4

Note: Rates may not add to total because of rounding. Rates do not include persons killed by handguns during a crime.
--Less than .05 crimes per 1,000 persons or too few cases to obtain a statistically reliable estimate.

*Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older in each specified category of the population.
**All assaults that involve handguns are considered aggravated assaults.

Table 3. Average annual rate of violent crime (rape, robbery, and aggravated assault) and handgun crime, by sex, race, and ethnicity, 1979-87

	Average annual rate of violent crime victimizations per 1,000 *		Percent involving handguns
	All crimes**	Involving handguns	
Sex			
Male	22.6	4.8	21.1%
Female	11.5	2.0	17.4
Race			
White	15.3	2.7	17.7
Black	28.1	8.1	28.9
Other	17.8	3.0	16.6
Ethnicity			
Hispanic	22.9	5.3	22.9
Non-Hispanic	16.2	3.1	19.4

*Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older in each specified category of the population.
**Rates do not include simple assault, murder, and nonnegligent manslaughter.

Blacks were four times as likely as whites to be victimized by robbers with handguns and about twice as likely as whites to be assaulted by someone with a handgun.

Among all the violent crimes committed, offenders used handguns in a larger percentage of crimes against blacks than of those against whites. Handgun crimes made up about a fourth of all violent crimes committed against black men and about an eighth of all such crimes against black women, compared to an eleventh and a fifteenth of violent crimes committed against white men and white women, respectively.

Rates of handgun victimization for Asians, Pacific Islanders, American Indians, or Alaska Natives, grouped as "other races," were similar to the rates for whites.

Ethnicity

Hispanics had higher handgun crime victimization rates (5.3 crimes per 1,000) than did non-Hispanics (3.1 per 1,000). The difference between rates of handgun victimization of Hispanics and non-Hispanics was essentially the same as the difference between overall rates of victimization by violent crime for Hispanics and non-Hispanics.

Age group

Of all age groups, persons age 16 to 24 were the most vulnerable to handgun crime, suffering about 7 such crimes per 1,000 persons each year. Persons age 25 to 34 had the next highest vulnerability to handgun crime, with an annual average of almost 5 such crimes per 1,000. The risk of handgun victimization declined for older

age groups. Persons older than age 64 had an average annual rate of less than 1 handgun crime per 1,000 persons. This pattern of higher risk for older teens and young adults and lower risk with increasing age held true across all race, sex, and place-of-residence categories.

Place of residence

City dwellers were the most likely, and rural Americans were the least likely, to be victimized by an offender with a handgun. For every 1,000 residents in central cities, there were annually 5.9 crimes that included handguns; for every 1,000 suburbanites, 2.7 such crimes; and for residents in nonmetropolitan areas, 1.7.

Victim characteristics considered together

Young black males were victimized by offenders with handguns at a rate about seven times that of the population as a

whole (table 4). The rates for black males, regardless of age group, were at least two to three times those of white males of similar age, and the rates for black females were consistently higher than those for white females across all age groups. For most age groups the rates for black females were similar to those for white males.

Urban black males age 16 to 24 faced a criminal with a handgun relatively more often (32 crimes per 1,000 persons) than any other group characterized by sex, race, age, and place of residence (table 5). They were followed by urban black men age 25 to 34 and suburban black males age 16 to 19 (20 and 19 crimes per 1,000, respectively). Young white urban males and young black urban females had similar handgun crime rates (14 and 13 per 1,000, respectively).

Table 5. Average annual rate of handgun crime, by sex, race, age, and place of residence of victim, 1979-87

Place of residence and age of victim	Average annual rate of crimes committed with handguns per 1,000 persons*					
	Total		Male victims		Female victims	
	White	Black	White	Black	White	Black
Central city						
12-15 years	3.5	7.0	4.0	9.7	3.1	4.2
16-19	9.8	23.1	14.2	33.9	5.7	13.2
20-24	9.4	20.1	14.5	29.7	4.6	12.2
25-34	5.8	13.9	7.6	19.9	4.0	9.2
35-49	3.4	7.2	4.3	11.9	2.5	3.6
50-64	2.3	6.1	3.2	9.1	1.5	3.7
65 or older	.6	2.6	.9	5.6	.5	--
Suburbs						
12-15 years	.8	--	.9	--	.6	--
16-19	5.0	10.6	6.7	18.8	3.2	--
20-24	5.7	9.1	8.5	17.4	3.0	--
25-34	3.6	6.8	5.3	11.2	1.8	2.9
35-49	1.7	4.0	2.5	4.2	1.0	3.7
50-64	1.3	--	1.6	--	1.0	--
65 or older	.6	--	1.0	--	.3	--
Nonmetropolitan area						
12-15 years	.7	--	.9	--	--	--
16-19	2.6	4.7	3.3	8.1	1.9	--
20-24	4.9	6.8	6.8	7.8	2.9	--
25-34	2.4	4.5	3.0	6.2	1.7	--
35-49	1.5	--	2.1	--	.9	--
50-64	.6	--	.6	--	.6	--
65 or older	--	--	--	--	--	--

--Less than 0.5 crimes per 1,000 persons or too few cases to obtain a statistically reliable estimate.

*Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older in each

specified category of the population. Rates do not include murder and nonnegligent manslaughter committed with handguns.

Table 4. Average annual rate of handgun crime, by sex, race, and age of victim, 1979-87

Age of victim	Average annual rate of crimes committed with handguns per 1,000 persons*			
	Male victims		Female victims	
	White	Black	White	Black
Total	3.8	12.2	1.7	4.7
12-15 years	1.5	6.4	1.0	2.7
16-19	7.2	24.0	3.4	8.1
20-24	9.7	22.0	3.4	8.6
25-34	5.2	15.0	2.3	6.6
35-49	2.7	7.9	1.3	3.3
50-64	1.6	5.9	1.0	2.4
65 or older	.6	3.7	.3	.8

*Rate per 1,000 persons age 12 or older in each specified category of the population. Rates do not include murder and nonnegligent manslaughter committed with handguns.

Weapon use in violent crime

Of the 6.7 million violent crimes occurring each year, 2.3 million were committed by offenders armed with a weapon. Handgun crimes accounted for 27% of the violent crimes committed by armed offenders. The "other weapon" category, representing a third of violent crimes committed with weapons, encompasses a wide variety of objects, such as rocks, bottles, clubs, pipes, and martial arts weapons.

Weapon type	Average annual number, 1979-87	Percent
Any weapon	2,341,000	100%
Handgun	629,700	27
Other gun	180,100	8
Knife or sharp object	625,100	27
Other weapon	807,600	35
Type not known	98,500	4

Note: Weapon types are listed in perceived order of seriousness. For crimes in which the offender(s) had more than one type of weapon, the crime is classified by the most serious weapon present. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Handgun crime characteristics

Most handgun crimes were characterized by a lone offender armed only with a handgun (table 6). On average, lone offenders committed almost three-fifths of the handgun crimes in a year. Groups of five or more offenders, one or more of them having a gun, accounted for about 5% of the crimes.

In 9 of 10 handgun crimes, the gun was the only weapon the offender had.

A stranger to the victim committed the crime in 72% of handgun crimes. Acquaintances of the victims committed about 17% of the crimes that had a handgun present, and victims' relatives, about 5%. Crimes committed by strangers were more likely to involve an offender armed with a handgun than were crimes committed by people known to or related to the victim. Twelve percent of all violent crimes by strangers were committed with hand-

guns, compared to 5% committed by acquaintances and 5% by relatives.

Victims identified the offenders' race as white or black in an equal percentage of violent crimes committed with handguns (46%). Five percent of all handgun crimes in which the victim could identify the offender's race were committed by Asian or American Indian offenders, and 3% involved offenders of mixed racial groups.

The race of offender or offenders varied according to the type of crime, as shown in the following:

Race of offender(s)	Rape	Robbery	Assault
Total	100%	100%	100%
White	43	22	58
Black	51	67	35
Other	--	6	4
Mixed	--	5	2

Note: Detail may not add to 100% because of rounding. Data include only crimes in which the victim could report both race and number of offenders. Multiple offenders of different races are reported as "mixed." --Too few cases to obtain a statistically reliable estimate.

Table 6. Characteristics of handgun crimes, 1979-87

Characteristic	Crimes committed with handguns	
	Average annual number	Percent
Total	629,700	100.0%
Number of offenders		
One	368,100	58.5%
Two	131,000	20.8
Three or four	79,800	12.7
Five or more	29,900	4.8
Number unknown or unreported	20,800	3.3
Type of weapon		
Handgun only	561,800	89.2%
Handgun and other gun	13,400	2.1
Handgun and knife	35,800	5.7
Handgun and other weapon	18,700	3.0
Victim-offender relationship		
Relative	32,100	5.1%
Acquaintance	107,400	17.1
Stranger	453,100	72.0
Unknown or unreported relationship	37,000	5.9
Place of occurrence		
At home, in a vacation home, hotel, or motel	80,000	12.7%
Near home	67,100	10.7
At a business	127,300	20.2
In an office	--	1.3
On the street	263,000	41.8
In school	--	.3
Other places	82,300	13.1

Note: The number of handgun crimes does not include murder and nonnegligent manslaughter.

--Too few cases to obtain a statistically reliable estimate.

Offenders in handgun crimes were, on average, older than other violent offenders. Victims reported that in almost 2 of every 3 handgun crimes the offender, or all offenders if there were more than one, was age 21 or older. This compares with 56% of the violent crimes not committed with handguns.

Perceived age of offenders	Rape, robbery, and assault	
	Handgun crimes	No handgun present
Total	100%	100%
All under 12 years	--	1
All 12-21	18	33
Some 21 or older	8	5
All 21 or older	65	56
Age unknown	9	6

--Too few cases to obtain a statistically reliable estimate.

Almost 42% of the violent crimes with a handgun present occurred on the street. Another 11% took place near a victim's home, including the sidewalk or yard. About 20% of the crimes, an average of over 127,000 every year, happened in a commercial establishment. A victim's home was the location for almost 13% of the crimes that involved a handgun.

The place of occurrence for handgun crimes varied according to the victim's relationship to the offender (figure 1). About two-thirds of handgun crimes committed by relatives occurred in the victim's home, while half of those committed by persons who were strangers to the victim took place on the street. Handgun crimes committed by persons known by but not related to the victim had the widest variation in place of occurrence, being about as likely to occur in the victim's home as they were to occur on the street or near the victim's home.

Injuries resulting from handgun crime

In the 5.7 million victimizations committed with a handgun present from 1979 to 1987, offenders shot but did not kill about 135,000 victims (table 7). Offenders fired their weapon in 13% of all handgun crimes (an annual average of about 79,000). In 10% of all handgun crimes, the offender fired but missed, and in 2%, 15,000 handgun crimes annually, the criminal shot the victim. (There was also an annual average of 9,200 murders and nonnegligent manslaughters by offenders with handguns. See the discussion on page 7.) Victims did not report whether the offenders who missed had tried to hit the victim or whether the offenders were missing intentionally.

In almost 9 of every 10 handgun crimes between 1979 and 1987, the offender did not fire the gun. Instead, in 68% of all handgun crimes the gun was used solely as an instrument of coercion and threat; the presence of the handgun or the offender's threat to use it was the only violence. In 18% of all handgun crimes the offender attacked the victim in some way other than by firing the gun.

Table 7. Actions of offenders armed with handguns, 1979-87

Action of armed offender(s)	Crimes committed with handguns, 1979-87	
	Number	Percent
Total	5,667,400	100.0%
Shot at victim	713,100	12.6%
Hit victim	135,400	2.4
Missed victim*	577,700	10.2
Nongunshot injury	28,100	.5
No physical injury	549,600	9.7
Did not shoot at victim	4,954,300	87.4%
Other attack**	996,000	17.6
Verbal threat of attack	445,600	7.9
Weapon present	3,389,200	59.8
Other threat	30,800	.5
Unknown action	92,600	1.6

*May include a few cases in which the offender tried to attack the victim in ways other than by shooting at him or her.
 **Includes assault with a knife or object, hitting, kicking, knocking down, and rape.

During the 9-year period, over 800,000 nonfatal injuries resulted from crimes in which handguns were present — gunshot wounds accounting for about 16% of those injuries.


	Number	Percent
Victimizations with handguns	5,667,400	100%
Without injury*	4,836,100	85
With injuries	823,400	15
Gunshot	135,400	2
Other serious injuries	138,900	3
Minor injuries	549,100	10

*NCS does not measure psychological trauma experienced by victims of violence.

Of the crimes in which a handgun was present and an injury resulted from something other than a gunshot, nearly 7 out of 10 of those injuries were classified as minor — bruises, cuts, swelling, or unspecified conditions that required less than 2 days in a hospital.

About two-thirds of the victims who were shot required overnight hospital care (table 8). Those victims not hospitalized were either treated and released from emergency rooms or obtained treatment elsewhere. (Two percent of gunshot victims reported receiving no medical care.) Among violent crime victims injured by a gunshot and receiving hospitalization, nearly half stayed more than a week, 17% had stays of 8 to 20 days, while 30% required at least 3 weeks of hospital care.

Place where victimization occurred, by victim-offender relationship, 1979-87



Note: Numbers may not add to 100% because of rounding.

Table 8. Medical care given victims of nonfatal handgun crimes, 1979-87

	Victims shot during crimes committed with handguns	
	Number	Percent
Gunshot injuries	135,400	100.0%
Care outside hospital	--	4.5%
Emergency room care	37,200	27.5%
Hospital care overnight or longer	92,100	68.0%
1-2 days	21,700	16.0
3-7	21,300	15.7
8-20	15,400	11.4
21 or more	28,100	20.8
Unknown number of days	--	4.1

--Too few cases to obtain a statistically reliable estimate.

Figure 1

Comparing crimes where offenders had handguns to other violent crimes

Relatively fewer handgun crime victims were injured than were victims of violent crime in which handguns were absent (15% of handgun crime victims versus 31% of other violent crime victims) (table 9). When they were injured, however, victims of crimes committed by offenders carrying handguns were more likely to suffer serious injury than were victims of violent crimes without the presence of handguns. One in three injured handgun crime victims was injured seriously, compared to 1 in 6 victims injured in other violent crimes. Handgun crime victims were also more likely than other violent crime victims to require hospitalization.

Victims of handgun robberies were more apt than other robbery victims to lose property in the robbery. Eighty percent of handgun robberies were completed, compared to 61% of robberies committed by offenders who had other weapons or who were unarmed.

Victims of handgun crimes were much less likely to take self-protective measures such as fighting back, running away, or trying to dissuade the offender than were victims of other violent crimes. If they did take self-protective measures, they were less likely to fight back than other violent crime

Table 9. Crimes of violence involving handguns, compared to crimes of violence without handguns, by selected characteristics, 1979-87

Characteristic of crimes	Crimes of violence	
	Involving handguns	No handguns present
Total	100.0%	100.0%
Injuries from crime		
No physical injury	85.3%	68.5%
Injury*	14.5	31.1
Serious injury	4.5	4.9
Injury from rape	.3	.4
Minor injury	9.7	25.8
Extent of injury unknown	.1	.4
Outcome of robberies		
Completed	79.9%	61.2%
Attempted	20.1	38.8
Victims' measures of self-protection		
No self-protective measure taken	44.6%	23.9%
Self-protective measures	54.9	75.6
Active self-defense**	13.4	25.9
Passive self-defense***	41.5	49.7
Amount or kind of self-protection unknown	.5	.6
Reporting to police		
Crime was reported	68.8%	46.0%
Crime was unreported	30.1	52.7
Reporting unknown	1.1	1.3

*For definitions of serious and minor injury see *Methodology*, page 8. Aggregating injuries as serious or minor must be somewhat arbitrary. Long-term health problems could result from minor as well as serious injury.

**Includes fighting back and using a weapon.

***Includes running away and trying to dissuade the offender.

victims. Fifty-five percent of all handgun crime victims reported that they took self-protective measures during the crime, compared with 76% of other violent crime victims. A fourth of the handgun crime victims who defended themselves actively fought back — using or brandishing a weapon or trying physical force — compared to a third of the victims of other violent crimes.

Victim injury and self-defense and offender action appear to be interrelated. Offenders with handguns are more menacing than other offenders, able to coerce victims into complying without using other violence, and therefore a lower rate of injury results. When the handgun is used, however, the consequences of physical attack are often much more serious for the victim than when other or no weapons are used.

Because the data do not record the sequence of threat or attack and injury, it is not possible to determine whether victims who were injured sustained their injuries before, during, or after they took any self-defensive action. Nor can the NCS

reveal whether the offender intended from the beginning to injure the victim, was responding to the victim's actions, or was responding to other factors.

Calling the police

Victims of handgun crimes were more likely than other violent crime victims to report the crime to the police. The higher likelihood of reporting a crime that involved a handgun existed whether an injury had occurred or not. Sixty-nine percent of handgun crimes were reported to law enforcement authorities, compared to 46% for all other violent crimes.

Trends

The annual rates of criminal victimization for all violent offenses and for the combined offenses of rape, robbery, and aggravated assault declined from 1979 to 1987 (figure 2). The average of rates of violent crime in which a handgun was present, from 1983 to 1987, was also significantly lower than the average of rates from 1979 to 1982.

Handgun crime trends, 1979-87

Number of victimizations per 1,000 persons age 12 or older




Figure 2

Handgun murder and nonnegligent manslaughter

About 9,200 persons were victims of handgun murders and nonnegligent manslaughter each year from 1979 to 1987, representing 44% of all homicides.* The majority of handgun murders occurred in the course of arguments or when no other crime was being committed. Of all handgun murders for which the offenders' motives were known, a fourth resulted during commission of another crime, most often robbery. About half were committed primarily in arguments or fights.

Circumstances of handgun murders in which offenders had known motives, 1986

	Adjusted number	Percent
Total	7,128	100%
Murder committed during another felony	1,746	25%
Rape	22	--
Robbery	929	13
Burglary	79	1
Larceny	22	--
Sex offense	13	--
Drug offense	566	8
Other felony	113	2
Murder committed during suspected felony	155	2%
Murder committed during argument	3,624	51%
Romantic triangle	233	3
Property or money	252	4
Other arguments	3,139	44
Miscellaneous circumstances	1,603	23%

Note: In 1986 there were 1,926 murders for which the motive was unknown. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.
--Less than 0.5%.

Because the NCS does not collect information on murder, these data come from the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Reports (UCR), compiled from reports of law enforcement agencies. Supplemental reports submitted by law enforcement authorities described about 93% of the victims of murder in 1986. Ratios were applied to the available data to estimate the number of murders by type of weapon. In 1986 an estimated 9,054 murders

*In this discussion a mention of murder includes nonnegligent manslaughter and excludes justifiable or accidental homicide.

were committed with handguns, representing 44% of all murders. Four out of five handgun murder victims were male. About half the victims were black. Persons age 20 to 34 made up 55% of all handgun murder victims.

Handgun murders, 1986

	Number of victims	Rate per 100,000*
Total	9,054	3.8
Sex		
Male	7,352	6.3
Female	1,702	1.4
Race		
White	4,442	2.2
Black	4,429	15.1
Other	183	2.5
Age		
0-11 years	86	.2
12-15	128	.9
16-19	728	4.9
20-24	1,763	8.6
25-34	3,254	7.6
35-49	2,053	4.6
50-64	732	2.2
65 or older	310	1.1

*The rates are expressed for 100,000 persons rather than for the 1,000 used in the rest of this report to avoid expressions with multiple decimal places.

Adjusted rates show that handgun murder rates, like nonfatal handgun crimes, were highest for males, for blacks, and for persons age 20 to 24.

In 1986, 15 of every 100,000 blacks died in a murder involving a handgun — about 6 times the 2.2 handgun murder victims per 100,000 whites. This difference between the races in murder rates exceeds the difference between the rates for nonfatal handgun crimes: 810 black victims per 100,000 blacks — about 3 times the 270 white victims per 100,000 whites.

In comparisons of rates for the sexes, 6.3 per 100,000 males and 1.4 per 100,000 females died in a handgun murder. For every 100,000 persons of each sex, in nonfatal handgun crimes there were 480 male victims and 200 female victims. The handgun murder rate for males was 4.5 times that for females; the victimization rate for males in a nonfatal handgun crime was 2.6 times that for females.

While persons age 20 to 24 had the highest rates of fatal and nonfatal handgun crime of any age group, handgun murders were relatively more prevalent in older victim age categories than were nonfatal handgun crimes. Persons age 25 to 34 had a slightly lower handgun murder rate than persons age 20 to 24, and persons age 16 to 19 had a much lower handgun murder rate than those age 20 to 24. This pattern reverses the order for nonfatal handgun victimization rates. The rate for teenagers was only slightly lower than for persons age 20 to 24, and the rate for those age 25 to 34 was significantly lower.

When the age and race of murder victims killed by handguns are examined together, blacks age 20 to 34 had rates that were about 9 times the rate of the population as a whole, regardless of age (see appendix table on page 8). By contrast, whites and persons of other races age 20 to 34 had rates that were just slightly higher than the overall rate.

For all age groups except the youngest, black males had handgun murder victimization rates that were from 7 to 10 times that of white males of similar ages. For all the sex-race-age categories, the highest rates of handgun murder were for black males age 20 to 24 — 61 victims per 100,000 persons — and black males age 25 to 34 — 57 per 100,000. The handgun murder rate for black females age 20 to 34 was higher than that for white males of any age group.

Source: The murder and nonnegligent manslaughter counts for 1986 were calculated from the Uniform Crime Reports, Supplementary Homicide Report for 1986. This data set is available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data, P.O. Box 1248, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (1-313-763-5010). Estimates of the resident population were used to calculate rates per 100,000 and were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1022, *United States Population Estimates by Age, Sex, and Race, 1980-1987*. The author adjusted the homicide counts for missing data and calculated the homicide rates.

Appendix table. Number of murders with handguns per 100,000 persons, by race, sex, and age of victim, 1986

Age of victim	Number of handgun murders per 100,000 persons						
	Total			Male victims		Female victims	
	White	Black	Other	White	Black	White	Black
Total	2.2	15.1	2.5	3.5	27.2	1.0	4.2
0-11 years	.2	.4	.4	.2	.4	.2	.4
12-15	.6	2.8	.4	.7	4.8	.5	.8
16-19	2.4	19.3	1.6	3.8	33.3	1.0	5.2
20-24	4.6	33.9	4.9	7.4	61.3	1.8	8.4
25-34	4.1	32.1	4.6	6.7	57.3	1.5	9.7
35-49	2.9	18.2	3.3	4.5	34.4	1.4	4.8
50-64	1.5	8.6	2.3	2.3	16.7	.8	2.0
65 or older	.7	4.9	.2	1.0	10.0	.5	1.5

Note: Rates have been adjusted for missing data.

Source: Uniform Crime Reports, 1986.

Methodology

The data for this report for all crimes except homicide were obtained from the NCS for 1979 to 1987. Data for murder and nonnegligent manslaughter were drawn from the UCR. In the NCS an average sample of 114,000 individuals age 12 or older in 52,000 households were interviewed twice a year about all crimes that they had experienced during the previous 6 months, including any not reported to police.

The crimes measured in this report are those in which the victim reported that the offender used a handgun to threaten, to shoot at, or to shoot the victim. To threaten includes displaying the gun, waving or pointing it, and referring to it verbally.

Definitions of some terms that are used in this report are as follows:

Serious injury—injuries (1) that involve gunshot or knife wounds, internal injuries, broken bones, loss of consciousness, loss of teeth or (2) that are of an undetermined nature and required 2 or more days of hospitalization.

Minor injury—injuries (1) that involve bruises, black eyes, cuts, scratches, swelling or (2) that are of an undetermined nature and required less than 2 days of hospitalization.

Some research suggests that the NCS may undercount the number of persons nonfatally injured by handguns. In a 1985 study of gunshot victimizations, Phillip Cook calculated a gunshot death-to-injury rate from NCS data and UCR criminal homicide data, for 1973 to 1979.* The rate was the

*Phillip J. Cook, "The case of the missing victims: Gunshot woundings in the National Crime Survey," *Journal of Quantitative Criminology* (1985) 1:91-102.

number of UCR gun homicides divided by the sum of the NCS estimate of nonfatal gunshot victimizations and the UCR gun homicides. He compared this rate to similar rates constructed from small-area police data and to national data on the number of police officers assaulted or killed.

Cook found that the NCS-UCR death-to-injury rate indicated that 35% of all persons shot during a crime died from the attack; other studies cited by Cook indicated that about 15% of all gunshot victims died. (NCS and UCR data for this report produce a rate of 38% for handguns. Cook's calculations included all types of guns.)

Because Cook thought it unlikely that all the other studies were incorrect, he concluded that the NCS underestimates nonfatal gunshot injuries. He presented two possible causes for the undercount: (1) Persons shot by relatives or while engaged in criminal activity may not report such injuries to NCS interviewers; (2) persons most likely to be victims of serious violent crime—young males and persons not living in established households—are probably underrepresented in the NCS sample and therefore are never interviewed.

It could be argued that a rate of gunshot injuries to deaths based on police injuries and deaths would be lower than such a ratio based on the general population because police officers are better prepared than civilians to defend themselves. Police officers' actions may divert the offenders' aim, and police officers are more likely than others to be wearing protective clothing that would help to prevent fatal injury. Because police officers are often accompanied and have communication and emergency procedures, when they are shot, they are likely to receive quicker

medical attention than other victims of gunshots. At present, however, there are no data that address these issues of self-defense and treatment.

Even if Cook's conclusions and conjectures are correct, the NCS, while failing to count everyone injured by handguns, does accurately estimate the number of gunshot injuries among people living in stable household settings. It thus enables most Americans to judge the degree to which they are vulnerable to gunshot injury.

Reliability of comparisons

All comparisons presented in this report were tested to determine if the differences were statistically significant. Most comparisons passed a hypothesis test at the .05 level of statistical significance (or the "95% confidence level"), meaning that the estimated difference between comparisons was greater than twice the standard error of this difference. Statements qualified by the phrase "somewhat" or "some evidence" were significant at the 90% level.

The data tables note when estimates are based on 10 or fewer sample cases. It is not possible to compute standard errors accurately for such estimates. Therefore, it is inadvisable to compare estimates based upon 10 or fewer sample cases to other small estimates.

More information on NCS sample design and estimation procedures can be obtained from appendix III of *Criminal Victimization*

BJS Special Reports are written principally by BJS staff. Michael R. Rand wrote this report. Thomas Hester edited it. Carolyn Levine provided statistical review. Marilyn Marbrook, publications unit chief, administered production, assisted by Yvonne Boston, Tina Dorsey, and Jayne Pugh.

July 1990, NCJ-123559

The Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice Programs, coordinates the activities of the following program offices and bureaus: Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Institute of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and Office for Victims of Crime.

Drugs & Crime Data

Drugs & Crime
Data Center &
Clearinghouse



A New and Useful Tool
Against Drugs and Crime

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Statistics



State Drug Resources: A National Directory

State Policy Offices
State Criminal Justice Offices
State Health Offices
State Education Offices

Federal Information Centers
and Clearinghouses

Other Federal Sources

FBI Drug Demand Reduction Coordinators

U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration
Drug Demand Reduction Coordinators

National Association of State Alcohol
and Drug Abuse Directors (NASADAD)

National Prevention Network

Treatment Alternatives to Street Crime
(TASC) Programs

Drug Abuse Resistance Education (DARE)
Regional Training Centers

Regional Centers for Drug-Free Schools
and Communities

► A report from the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse 1-800-666-3332

► A report from the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse

1-800-666-3332

Now you can receive BJS press releases and other current data from the NCJRS Electronic Bulletin Board!


The Electronic Bulletin Board provides quick and easy access to new information—use your personal computer and modem, set at 8-N-1 (rates 300 to 2400 baud), and call 301-738-8895, 24 hours a day.

Once online, you will be able to review current news and announcements from BJS and its Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, including new publication listings and conference calendars.

For more information about the Bulletin Board, call 1-800-732-3277.

BJS menu options

- 1. BJS press releases**
- 2. Latest BJS findings**
- 3. Justice Statistics Clearinghouse information**
- 4. BJS conference activity**
- 5. News from the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse**
- 6. National Archive of Criminal Justice Data**
- 7. News from State Statistical Analysis Centers**



Bureau of Justice Statistics reports

(Revised July 1990)

Call toll-free 800-732-3277 (local 301-251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists, or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850.

BJS maintains the following mailing lists:

- Law enforcement reports (new)
- Drugs and crime data (new)
- Justice spending & employment
- White-collar crime
- National Crime Survey (annual)
- Corrections (annual)
- Courts (annual)
- Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy
- Federal statistics (annual)
- BJS bulletins and special reports (approximately twice a month)
- Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics (annual)

Single copies of reports are free; use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public-use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (formerly CJAIN), P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (toll-free 1-800-999-0960).

National Crime Survey

The Nation's two crime measures: Uniform Crime Reports and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-122705, 4/90

Criminal victimization in the U.S.:

- 1989 press release, 5/90
- 1988 (final), NCJ-122024, 9/90
- 1987 (final report), NCJ-115524, 6/89

BJS special reports

- Handgun crime victims, NCJ-123559, 7/90
- Black victims, NCJ-122562, 4/90
- Hispanic victims, NCJ-120507, 1/90
- The redesigned National Crime Survey: Selected new data, NCJ-114746, 1/89
- Motor vehicle theft, NCJ-109978, 3/88
- Elderly victims, NCJ-107676, 11/87
- Violent crime trends, NCJ-107217, 11/87
- Robbery victims, NCJ-104638, 4/87
- Violent crime by strangers and non-strangers, NCJ-103702, 1/87
- Preventing domestic violence against women, NCJ-102037, 8/86
- Crime prevention measures, NCJ-100438, 3/86
- The use of weapons in committing crimes, NCJ-99643, 1/86
- Reporting crimes to the police, NCJ-99432, 12/85
- Locating city, suburban, and rural crime, NCJ-99535, 12/85
- The economic cost of crime to victims, NCJ-93450, 4/84
- Family violence, NCJ-93449, 4/84

BJS bulletins:

- Households touched by crime, 1989, NCJ-124544, 8/90
- Criminal victimization 1988, NCJ-119845, 10/89
- The crime of rape, NCJ-96777, 3/85
- Household burglary, NCJ-96021, 1/85
- Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81

BJS technical reports

New directions for the NCS, NCJ-115571, 3/89

Series crimes: Report of a field test, NCJ-104615 4/87

Redesign of the National Crime Survey, NCJ-111457, 3/89

The seasonality of crime victimization, NCJ-111033, 6/88

Crime and older Americans: Information package, NCJ-104569, \$10, 5/87

Teenage victims, NCJ-103138, 12/86

Victimization and fear of crime: World perspectives, NCJ-93872, 1/85, \$9.15

The National Crime Survey: Working papers, vol. I: Current and historical perspectives, NCJ-75374, 8/82

vol. II: Methodology studies, NCJ-90307

Corrections

BJS bulletins and special reports:

Capital punishment, 1989, NCJ-124545, 8/90

Victims of violent State prison inmates, NCJ-124133, 7/90

Prisoners in 1989, NCJ-122716, 5/90

Prison rule violators, NCJ-120344, 12/89

Capital punishment 1988, NCJ-118313, 7/89

Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983, NCJ-116261, 4/89

Drug use and crime: State prison inmate survey, 1986, NCJ-111940, 7/88

Time served in prison and on parole 1984, NCJ-108544, 12/87

Profile of State prison inmates, 1986, NCJ-109926, 1/88

Imprisonment in four countries, NCJ-103967, 2/87

Population density in State prisons, NCJ-103204, 12/86

State and Federal prisoners, 1925-85, NCJ-102494, 11/86

Prison admissions and releases, 1983, NCJ-100582, 3/88

The prevalence of imprisonment, NCJ-93657, 7/85

Examining recidivism, NCJ-96501, 2/85

Correctional populations in the U.S.:

1987, NCJ-118762, 12/89

1986, NCJ-111611, 2/89

1985, NCJ-103957, 2/88

Historical statistics on prisoners in State and Federal institutions, yearend 1925-86, NCJ-111098, 6/88

1984 census of State adult correctional facilities, NCJ-105585, 7/87

Census of jails and survey of jail inmates:

BJS bulletins and special reports:

Jail inmates, 1989, NCJ-123264, 6/90

Population density in local jails, 1988, NCJ-122299, 3/90

Census of local jails, 1988 (BJS bulletin), NCJ-121101, 2/90

Jail inmates, 1987, NCJ-114319, 12/89

Drunk driving, NCJ-109945, 2/88

Jail inmates, 1986, NCJ-107123, 10/87

The 1983 jail census, NCJ-95536, 11/84

Census of local jails, 1983: Data for individual jails, vols. I-IV, Northeast, Midwest, South, West, NCJ-112796-9; vol. V, Selected findings, methodology, summary tables, NCJ-112795, 11/88

Our crowded jails: A national plight, NCJ-111846, 8/88

Parole and probation

BJS bulletins

Probation and parole:

1988, NCJ-119970, 11/89

1987, NCJ-113948 11/88

1986, NCJ-108012, 12/87

Setting prison terms, NCJ-76218, 8/83

BJS special reports

Recidivism of young parolees, NCJ-104916, 5/87

Children in custody

Census of public and private juvenile detention, correctional, and shelter facilities, 1975-85, NCJ-114065, 6/89

Survey of youth in custody, 1987 (special report), NCJ-113365, 9/88

Public juvenile facilities, 1985 (bulletin), NCJ-102457, 10/86

Law enforcement management

BJS bulletins and special reports:

Police departments in large cities, 1987, NCJ-119220, 8/89

Profile of state and local law enforcement agencies, NCJ-113949, 3/89

Expenditure and employment

BJS bulletins:

Justice expenditure and employment:

1988, NCJ-123132, 7/90

1985, NCJ-104460, 3/87

1983, NCJ-101776, 7/86

Anti-drug abuse formula grants: Justice variable pass-through data, 1988 (BJS Technical Report), NCJ-120070, 3/90

Justice expenditure and employment:

1985 (full report), NCJ-106356, 8/89

Extracts, 1982 and 1983, NCJ-106629, 8/88

Courts

BJS bulletins:

Felony sentences in State courts,

NCJ-115210, 2/89

Criminal defense for the poor, 1986,

NCJ-112919, 9/88

State felony courts and felony laws,

NCJ-106273, 8/87

The growth of appeals: 1973-83 trends,

NCJ-96381, 2/85

Case filings in State courts 1983,

NCJ-95111, 10/84

BJS special reports:

Felony case processing in State courts,

1986, NCJ-121753, 2/90

Felony case-processing time, NCJ-101985,

8/86

Felony sentencing in 18 local jurisdictions,

NCJ-97681, 6/85

The prevalence of guilty pleas, NCJ-96018,

12/84

Sentencing practices in 13 States,

NCJ-95399, 10/84

Felony defendants in large urban counties,

1988, NCJ-122385

Profile of felons convicted in State courts,

1986, NCJ-120021, 1/90

Sentencing outcomes in 28 felony courts,

NCJ-105743, 8/87

National criminal defense systems study,

NCJ-94702, 10/86

The prosecution of felony arrests:

1986, NCJ-113248, 6/89

1982, NCJ-106990, 5/88

Felony laws of the 50 States and the District

of Columbia, 1986, NCJ-105068, 2/88

State court model statistical dictionary,

Supplement, NCJ-98326, 9/85

1st edition, NCJ-62320, 9/80

Privacy and security

Compendium of State privacy and security legislation:

1989 overview, NCJ-121157, 5/90

1987 overview, NCJ-111097, 9/88

1989 full report (1,500 pages,

microfiche \$2, hard copy \$145),

NCJ-121158, 7/90

Criminal Justice Information policy:

BJS/SEARCH conference proceedings:

Criminal Justice in the 1990's: The future

of information management, NCJ-

121697, 5/90

Juvenile and adult records: One system,

one record?, NCJ-114947 1/90

Open vs. confidential records,

NCJ-113560, 1/88

Strategies for improving data quality,

NCJ-115339, 5/89

Public access to criminal history record

information, NCJ-111458, 11/88

Juvenile records and recordkeeping

systems, NCJ-112815, 11/88

Automated fingerprint identifier

systems: Technology and policy issues,

NCJ-104342, 4/87

Criminal Justice "hot" files, NCJ-101650,

12/86

Crime control and criminal records (BJS

special report), NCJ-99176, 10/85

Drugs & crime data:

State drug resources: A national directory,

NCJ-122582, 5/90

Federal drug data for national policy, NCJ-

122715, 4/90

Drugs & crime data center & clearinghouse,

brochure 133, 4/90

Drugs and crime facts, 1988, NCJ-121022,

1/90

Rolodex card, BC 100, 8/88

Computer crime

BJS special reports:

Electronic fund transfer fraud, NCJ-96666,

3/85

Electronic fund transfer and crime, NCJ-

92650, 2/84

Electronic fund transfer systems fraud, NCJ-

100461, 4/86

Electronic fund transfer systems and crime,

NCJ-83736, 9/82

Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81,

\$11.50

Federal justice statistics

Federal criminal case processing, 1980-87,

NCJ-120069, 5/90

Compendium of Federal justice statistics

1984, NCJ-112816, 9/89

The Federal civil justice system (BJS

bulletin), NCJ-104769, 8/87

Federal offenses and offenders

BJS special reports:

Immigration offenses, NCJ-124546, 8/90

Federal criminal cases, 1980-87,

NCJ-118311, 7/89

Drug law violators, 1980-86, NCJ 111763,

6/88

Pretrial release and detention:

The Bail Reform Act of 1984,

NCJ-109929, 2/88

White-collar crime NCJ-106876, 9/87

Pretrial release and misconduct,

NCJ-96132, 1/85

BJS bulletins:

Bank robbery, NCJ-94463, 8/84

Federal drug law violators, NCJ-92692, 2/84

General

BJS bulletins and special reports:

Criminal cases in five states, 1983-86,

NCJ-118798, 9/89

International crime rates, NCJ-110776 5/88

Tracking offenders, 1984, NCJ-109686, 1/88

BJS telephone contacts '87, NCJ-102909,

12/85

Tracking offenders: White-collar crime,

NCJ-102867, 11/86

Police employment and expenditure,

NCJ-100117, 2/86

1990 directory of automated criminal justice

information systems, Vol. 1, Corrections; 2,

Courts; 3, Law enforcement; 4, Probation

and parole; 5, Prosecution; NCJ-122226-30,

5/90

BJS data report, 1988, NCJ-116262, 5/89

BJS annual report, fiscal 1988, NCJ-115749,

4/89

Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics,

1987, NCJ-111612, 9/88

Report to the Nation on crime and justice:

Second edition, NCJ-105506, 6/88

Technical appendix, NCJ-112011, 8/88

Criminal justice microcomputer guide and

software catalog, NCJ-112178, 8/88

Proceedings of the third workshop on law

and justice statistics, NCJ-112230, 7/88

Publications of BJS, 1971-84, 10/86

Topical bibliography, TB030012, \$17.50

Microfiche library, PR030012, \$203.00

National survey of crime severity, NCJ-96017,

10/85

See order form
on last page

- Please put me on the mailing list for—**
- Law enforcement reports**—national data on State and local police and sheriffs' departments: operations, equipment, personnel, salaries, spending policies, programs
- Federal statistics**—data describing Federal case processing, from investigation through prosecution, adjudication, and corrections
- Drugs and crime data**—sentencing and time served by drug offenders, drug use at time of crime by jail inmates and State prisoners, and other quality data on drugs, crime, and law enforcement
- BJs bulletins and special reports**—timely reports of the most current justice data
- White-collar crime**—data on the processing of Federal white-collar crime cases
- Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy**—new legislation; maintaining and releasing intelligence and investigative records; data quality issues
- Justice expenditure and employment reports**—annual spending and staffing by Federal/State/local governments and by function (police, courts, etc.)
- Prosecution and adjudication in State courts**—case processing from prosecution through court disposition, State felony laws, felony sentencing, criminal defense
- Corrections reports**—results of sample surveys and censuses of jails, prisons, parole, probation, and other corrections data
- National Crime Survey reports**—the only regular national survey of crime victims
- Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics** (annual)—broad-based data from 150+ sources (400+ tables, 10+ figures, subject index, annotated bibliography, addresses of sources)
- Send me a form to sign up for **NIJ Reports** (free 6 times a year), which abstracts both private and government criminal justice publications and lists upcoming conferences and training sessions in the field.

To be added to any BJS mailing list, copy or cut out this page, fill it in and mail it to:

- If your mailing label below is correct, check here and do not fill in your name and address.

Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/NCJRS
U.S. Department of Justice
Box 6000
Rockville, MD 20850

You will receive an annual renewal card. If you do not return it, we must drop you from the mailing list.

Name:

Title:

Organization:

Street or box:

City, State, Zip:

Daytime phone number: ()

Interest in criminal justice (or organization and title if you put home address above):

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Statistics

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

**BULK RATE
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
DOB/BJs
Permit No. G-91**

Washington, D.C. 20531

Special Report