

Drugs and Crime Facts, 1992

A summary of drug data published in 1992

Drug data produced by BJ\$ 1

Access to current drug data 3

Drug use by criminal offenders at the time of offense 4

Prior drug use by criminal offenders 7

Drug-related crime 8

Drug law enforcement 9

Drug enforcement operations 11

Pretrial release of drug defendants 14

Prosecution and sentencing—

of Federal drug law violators 15 of drug law violators in State courts 16

Drug offenders in correctional populations 18

Drug enforcement in correctional facilities 20

Recidivism of drug law violators 23.

Drugs and youth 24

Drug use in the general population 26

Public opinion about drugs 28

Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse 30

Source notes 32

For more information call 1-800-666-3332

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this material has been granted by Public Domain/OJP/BJS

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the control of the contr

Drugs and Crime Facts, 1992

* 30.000° ~

A summary of drug data published in 1992

ACQUISITIONS

rug data produced by BJS 1

ccess to current drug data 3

Drug use by criminal offenders at the time of offense

Prior drug use by criminal offenders 7

Drug-related crime 8

Drug law enforcement

Drug enforcement operations 11

Pretrial release of drug defendants Prosecution and sentencing —

of Federal drug law violators 15

of drug law violators in State courts

Drug offenders in correctional populations 18
Drug enforcement in correctional facilities 20

Recidivism of drug law violators 23

Drugs and youth 24

Drug use in the general population 26

Public opinion about drugs 28

Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse 30

Source notes 32

For more information call or write:

Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse 1600 Research Boulevard ockville, MD 20850 1-800-666-3332 U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Statistics

Lawrence A. Greenfeld Acting Director

This report was prepared for the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) by the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse under Grant Number MU-CX-K001.

At the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse, Anita Timrots prepared the report. James J. Collins of Research Triangle Institute and Janet Rosenbaum of Aspen Systems Corporation supervised the project.

In BJS, Benjamin H. Renshaw III and Sue A. Lindgren directed the project.

Single copies of any report cited here or any other BJS publication can be ordered from the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse, 1600 Research Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850, toll-free 800-666-3332. Please order using NCJ numbers listed in the source notes, pages 32-33.

Public-use tapes for BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (1-800-999-0960 or 1-313-763-5010). Please specify the ICPSR number shown in the source notes, pages 32-33, when ordering.

The Bureau of Justice Statistics, an agency of the U.S. Department of Justice is located within the Office of Justice Programs, which also includes the Bureau of Justice Assistance, the National Institute of Justice, the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and the Office for Victims of Crime.

NCJ-139561 March 1993

Drug data produced by BJS

Many BJS programs produce data on drug law enforcement, drug offenders, and drugs and crime. For example —

- the BJS National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) asks victims of personal crimes if they believed the offenders had been using drugs
- the BJS Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics (LEMAS) program produces information on drug-related programs of State and local police agencies
- the BJS National Pretrial Reporting Program (NPRP) examines drug law violators' criminal histories and status at time of arrest, pretrial release/ detention status, trial appearance, and disposition
- the BJS National Judicial Reporting Program (NJRP) and Offender-Based Transaction Statistics (OBTS) program compare sentences given to drug offenders with those given to other offenders
- BJS reports on State prisoners, jail inmates, and incarcerated youth including data on their histories of drug use and drug offenses
- the BJS Federal Justice Statistics Program collects and publishes letailed data on drug law violators in the Federal justice system

 the annual BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics presents data on drug use in the general population and on public opinion toward drugs and enforcement of drug laws.

Drugs and crime facts, 1992 presents the most current information available relating to drugs and crime published by the BJS in over two dozen different reports through the end of 1992. This publication also highlights other drug data sources frequently used by the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse in responding to requests.

Among BJS reports published in calendar 1992 were the following:

- Recidivism of felons on probation, 1986-89 (BJS Special Report, February 1992) reports on rearrest rates for felony probationers, including drug offenders, and the impact of special conditions, such as drug treatment and drug testing, on recidivism.
- Sheriffs' departments 1990 (BJS) Bulletin, February 1992) and State and local police departments, 1990 (BJS Bulletin, February 1992) describe State and local law enforcement agency involvement with laboratory testing of substances and drug education in schools.

- Women in jail 1989 (BJS Special Report, March 1992) describes characteristics of women in local jails in 1989, featuring their criminal history and drug use history.
- Prisons and prisoners in the United States (April 1992) provides an overview on State and Federal correctional statistics including data on the composition of the prison population, sentences, and substance abuse counseling.
- Census of State and Federal correctional facilities, 1990 (May 1992) reports data on State, Federal, and community-based confinement facilities, including data on drug counseling and drug contraband violations.
- Compendium of Federal justice statistics, 1989 (May 1992) provides data on Federal offenders for transactions occurring in 1989, including information on charging, pretrial release, adjudication, sentencing, and corrections for Federal drug offenders.
- Drug enforcement by police and sheriffs' departments, 1990 (BJS Special Report, May 1992) describes drug enforcement activities of State and local law enforcement agencies, including drug seizures, drug testing of arrestees, and drug testing of employees.

- State drug resources: 1992
 National directory (May 1992) is a comprehensive guide to State and Federal agencies that address drug abuse concerns.
- Federal sentencing in transition, 1986-90 (BJS Special Report, June 1992) examines Federal sentencing practices during the last half of the 1980's, with emphasis on the impact of sentencing guidelines.
- Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990 (BJS Special Report, July 1992) describes methods used by State and Federal correctional facilities to stop the illegal entry of drugs into prisons and use of illegal drugs by inmates and includes statistics on treatment/intervention strategies.
- Federal criminal case processing, 1980-90: With preliminary data for 1991 (BJS Report, September 1992) presents trend data on Federal case processing, including information on prosecutions, dispositions, and sentencing of Federal drug offenders.
- Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics, 1990: Data for individual State and local agencies with 100 or more officers (September 1992) includes individual agency data on drug law enforcement operations, such as participation in a multiagency drug task force, asset forfeiture program, and drug testing.

- Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1990 (BJS Bulletin, November 1992) describes bail information, court appearance, and pretrial rearrest data for felony drug defendants.
- National Corrections Reporting Program, 1989 (November 1992) is part of an annual series detailing the characteristics of persons, including drug offenders, admitted to and released from the prison and parole systems in the United States.
- Criminal victimization in the United States, 1991 (December 1992) reports victimization data, including violent victims' perceptions of substance use by the offender at the time of the offense.
- National Judicial Reporting Program. 1988 (December 1992) describes the number and characteristics of felons, including drug offenders, convicted in U.S. State courts in 1988 and in the 75 largest counties.

Access to current drug data

This issue of Drugs and crime facts summarizes drug data published by BJS in 1992. For an update on continuing analyses of drug data or newly released reports, interested readers may contact the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse or see the order form included at the end of this report. The Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse was established in 1987 to provide policymakers, criminal justice practitioners, researchers, and the general public with ready access to understandable information on drug law violations and drug-related law enforcement. Its products and services are described in detail on pages 30-31. The toll-free line is 1-800-666-3332.

Drug use by criminal offenders at the time of offense

In 33% of violent crime victimizations in 1991, victims reported that they believed their assailants were under the influence of drugs or alcohol (table 1). In 46% of violent crime

victimizations, the victim did not know whether the offender was under the influence.

Data from BJS surveys show that a quarter of convicted jail inmates, a third of State prisoners, and two-fifths of youths in long-term, State-operated facilities admit that they were under the influence of an illegal drug at the time of their offense.

Table 1. Violent offenders under the influence of drugs or alcohol, as perceived by victims, 1991

Percent of violent crime victimizations where victim perceived the offender to be:

			Under the influence					
Type of crime	Total	Not under the influence	Total	Alcohol only	Drugs only	Both	Not sure which substance	Not known if under the influence
Crimes of violence	100%	20.9%	33.2%	21.5%	4.2%	5.5%	2.0%	45.9%
Rape	100	15.2	45.3	34.2	3.8*	3.1*	4.2*	39.5
Robbery Aggravated	100	12.7	26.4	9.8	9.7	4.6	2.3	60.9
assault	100	15.3	40.4	25.3	4.3	8.1	2.8	44.3
Simple assault	100	26.5	31.5	22.9	2.4	4.8	1.4	42.0

Note: Percents may not total 100% because of rounding. *Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases.

Source: Criminal victimization in the United States, 1991.

Among jail inmates in 1989 -

- 44% used drugs in the month before the offense
- 30% used drugs daily in the month before the offense
- 27% used drugs at the time of the offense (table 2).

Jail inmates convicted of drug offenses most frequently reported having been under the influence of drugs at the time of their offense (39%), followed by convicted burglars (38%) and robbers (36%). Jail inmates convicted of driving while intoxicated (DWI) (12%), assault (14%), and homicide (18%) were the least likely to report having been under the influence of drugs at the time of the offense.

Table 2. Reported drug use by convicted jall inmates

		who used the time fense		vho used the month e offense	
Drug type	1989	1983	1989	1983	
Any drug	27.0%	29.6%	43.9%	46.1%	
Major drug Cocaine or	18.2%	12.1%	27.7%	18.6%	
crack	13.7	5.5	23.6	11.8	
Heroin	4.6	5.6	7.0	7.9	
LSD	.4	1.3	1.6	3.0	
PCP	1.3	1.9	1.7	3.0	
Methadone	.5	.6	.6	.8	
Other drug	12.0%	22.8%	31.3%	41.8%	
Marijuana	9.1	16.9	28.1	38.6	
Amphetamines	2.2	4.2	5.4	9.4	
Barbiturates	.9	2.9	3.3	5.9	
Methaqualone	.3	1.7	.8	3.8	
T's and blues*	.2	1.7	2.4	3.0	

^{*}A combination of amphetamines and barbiturates. Source: Drugs and jall inmates, 1989.

In 1986, 54% of all State prison inmates reported that they were under the influence of drugs or alcohol or both at the time they committed the offense for which they were currently sentenced: 17% were under the influence of drugs only, and 18% were under the influence of drugs and alcohol. More than half (52%) of the State prisoners said they had taken illegal drugs during the month before committing the crime, and 43% said they had used drugs on a daily basis in that month.

Nearly two-thirds (64%) of State prison inmates serving time for a violent offense in 1986 reported that either they or their victims were under the influence of drugs or alcohol at the time of the offense. Fifty-four percent of inmates reported using drugs or alcohol at the time of the offense, while nearly 30% of the victims were perceived to be using drugs or alcohol.

Among violent offenders in State prisons —

- 26% of offenders using drugs victimized someone also using drugs
- 40% of offenders who were drinking victimized someone drinking
- 17% of offenders who were drinking and using drugs victimized someone drinking and using drugs.

Nearly 40% of the youth incarcerated in long-term, State-operated facilities in 1987 said they were under the influence of drugs at the time of their offense.

Data from the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) Drug Use Forecasting (DUF) program revealed that of a sample of males arrested in 24 U.S. cities in 1991, the percent testing positive for any drug ranged from 36% in Omaha, Nebraska, to 75% in San Diego. Among the 21 cities reporting data on female arrestees, the percent of females testing positive for any drug ranged from 45% in San Antonio to 79% in Cleveland.

Sources: Criminal victimization in the United States, 1991. Drugs and jail Inmates, 1989. Profile of State prison Inmates, 1986. Survey of youth in custody, 1987. Violent State prisoners and their victims. U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice, Drug Use Forecasting program, as reported in Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse Fact sheet: Drug data summary.

Prior drug use by criminal offenders

Data from BJS surveys show that 77.7% of jail inmates, 79.6% of State prisoners, and 82.7% of youth in long-term public juvenile facilities had used drugs at some point in their lives.

Although the overall percentage of jail inmates reporting prior drug use between 1983 and 1989 remained essentially unchanged, a higher proportion of inmates reported prior use of cocaine or crack in 1989 than 1983.

Prior drug use by jail inmates

Percent of in who had eve 1989	mates er used drugs 1983
77.7%	76.1%
55.4%	46.2%
50.4	38.0
18.2	22.4
18.6	22.3
13.9	15.6
4.8	6.9
71.9%	74.5%
70.7	73.0
22.1	32.8
17.2	27.8
14.7	23.0
11.0	10.9
	who had eve 1989 77.7% 55.4% 50.4 18.2 18.6 13.9 4.8 71.9% 70.7 22.1 17.2 14.7

*A combination of amphetamines and barbiturates

In 1986, 28% of State prison inmates reported past drug dependency. The drugs most often mentioned were heroin (14%), cocaine (10%), and marijuana or hashish (9%). An estimated 72% of female inmates reported past drug use at some time in their lives prior to admission, compared to 80% of male inmates.

For State prisoners who used drugs, the median age at which they began to use —

- any drug was 15
- a major drug was 17
- a major drug regularly was 18.

More than half the State prisoners who had ever used a major drug (heroin, methadone, cocaine, PCP, or LSD) reported that they had not done so until after their first arrest. Nearly 60% of those who had used a major drug regularly said such use began after their first arrest; half of the regular users began more than a year after their first arrest.

Almost 83% of youth in long-term, State-operated juvenile facilities in 1987 reported use of an illegal drug in the past, and 63% had used an illegal drug on a regular basis. The most commonly mentioned drugs were marijuana, cocaine, and amphetamines.

Among drug-using youth in long-term, State-operated juvenile facilities, 19% said they first used drugs before age 10; 38% reported their first use was before age 12.

Sources: Drugs and jall inmates, 1989. Drug use and crime: State prison inmate survey, 1986. Women in prison. Survey of youth in custody, 1987.

Drug-related crime

Overall, 13% of convicted iail inmates in 1989 said they committed their offense to obtain money for drugs. Sixteen percent of black inmates said they committed their offense to get money for drugs, compared to 12% of white inmates. Nearly 1 in 5 convicted female inmates said they committed their offense to get money to buy drugs.

Most serious current offense	Percent of convicted jail inmates who committed their offense for money to buy drugs
All offenses	13.3%
Violent offenses Homicide ^a Sexual assault ^b Robbery Assault Other violent ^c	11.5% 2.8 2.2 32.4 2.7 3.1
Property offense Burglary Larceny/theft Motor vehicle the Fraud Stolen property Other property	31.0 27.8
Drug offenses Possession Trafficking Other/unspecifi	14.0% 9.6 19.2 ed 5.6
Public-order offe DWI Other public-ord	.7

Includes murder, nonnegligent manslaughter, and negligent manslaughter.

Almost a third of those convicted of robbery and burglary committed their crime to obtain money for drugs, as had about a quarter of those in iail for larceny and fraud.

The Uniform Crime Reporting Program (UCR) of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) reported that in 1991, 6.2% of the 21,505 homicides in which circumstances were known were narcotics-related. This includes only those murders that occurred specifically during a narcotics felony. such as drug trafficking or manufacturing. Those homicides that involved a narcotics felony and a more serious felony, such as armed robbery, were excluded.

Drug-related homicides

<u>Year</u>	Number of homicides	Percent drug-related
1986	19,257	3.9%
1987	17,963	4.9
1988	18,269	5.6
1989	18,954	7.4
1990	20,273	6.7
1991	21,505	6.2

Note: Includes only those homicides where circumstances were known. Table constructed by Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse staff from Crime in the United States, 1991 (FBI), p. 21.

> Sources: Drugs and jail inmates, 1989. Women in jail 1989. Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States, 1991.

Includes rape.

c Includes kidnaping.

d Includes arson.

Drug law enforcement

Federal, State, and local agencies share responsibility for enforcing the Nation's drug laws, although most arrests are made by State and local authorities. State and local police made an estimated 1 million arrests for drug law violations in 1991, according to the FBI.

Estimated number of arrests for drug violations reported by State and local police, 1982-91

<u>Year</u>	Sale/man- ufacture	Posses- sion	Total
1982	137,904	538,096	676,000
1983	146,169	515,231	661,400
1984	155,848	552,552	708,400
1985	192,302	619,098	811,400
1986	206,849	617,251	824,100
1987	241,849	695,551	937,400
1988	316,525	838,675	1,155,200
1989	441,191	920,509	1,361,700
1990	344,282	745,218	1,089,500
1991	337,340	672,660	1,010,000
Percent			

change 1982-91

144.6% 25.0%

Note: Table constructed by Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse staff from Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States, 1982 through 1991.

As reported in the 1991 BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics -

- the Federal Government seized 5.963 clandestine drug laboratories between fiscal years 1975 and 1991
- in fiscal year 1991, of the 387 labs seized, 327 (84%) manufactured methamphetamines
- in 1991 the Drug Enforcement Administration's (DEA) program for eradicating domestic marijuana resulted in the destruction of 139 million plants in 42,660 plots, 9,364 arrests, 4,200 weapons seized, and assets seized valued at \$52.8 million
- in fiscal year 1991 DEA confiscated 149,371 pounds of cocaine, 2,464 pounds of heroin, 3 pounds of opium, 235,862 pounds of marijuana, 1.8 million dosage units of hallucinogens, and 29 million dosage units of stimulants
- in fiscal year 1991 the U.S. Customs Service seized 287,520 pounds of marijuana, 169,586 pounds of cocaine, and 2.9 million dosage units of drugs such as LSD and barbiturates
- in 1991 the U.S. Coast Guard confiscated 22,145 pounds of marijuana and 29,033 pounds of cocaine
- in fiscal year 1991 the U.S. Postal Service made 1,468 arrests for mailing controlled substances
- in 1990 State and Federal courts authorized wiretaps in 520 narcotics cases.

Drug seizure data from different Federal agencies should not be added together because in many instances more than one agency participated in the operations. The Federal-wide Drug Seizure System (FDSS) reflects the combined drug seizure efforts of the DEA, FBI, and the U.S. Customs Service within the jurisdiction of the United States, as well as maritime seizures by the U.S. Coast Guard. FDSS eliminates duplicate reporting of a seizure involving more than one Federal agency.

"The following amounts of drugs were seized in fiscal 1991 by the Federal agencies participating in FDSS:

Drug	Pounds seized
Heroin	3,041
Cocaine	239,048
Marijuana	491,764
Hashish	178,211

Sources: Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States, 1982 through 1991. The Drug Enforcement Administration, the U.S. Coast Guard, the U.S. Customs Service, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the U.S. Postal Service, and the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts: all as reported in the BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1991. Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse, Fact sheet: Drug data summary.

Drug enforcement operations

According to the BJS Law **Enforcement Management and** Administrative Statistics (LEMAS) program, in 1990, about 9,300 local police departments, 2,500 sheriffs' departments, and 34 State police departments, employing over 500,000 full-time officers, had primary responsibility for drug law enforcement.

Drug-related law enforcement activities include making arrests and seizing drugs as well as laboratory testing of drugs, drug education, and drug testing of arrestees and agency employees.

Law enforcement agencies with primary responsibility for laboratory testing of drugs, by population served and type of agency, 1990

	Type of agency		
Population served	Local police	Sheriff	
All sizes	3%	5%	
1 million or more	64	32	
500,000-999,999	45	12	
250,000-499,999	48	20	
100,000-249,999	19	13	
50,000-99,999	8	4	
25,000-49,999	9	6	
10,000-24,999	5	2	
Less than 10,000	2	1	

Most agencies serving 50,000 or more residents operated a special drug enforcement unit, participated in a multiagency task force, and received money or goods from an asset forfeiture program:

- Special drug units focus on conducting investigations on drug traffickers and drug-related activities in the community.
- To coordinate efforts with other law enforcement agencies to accumulate evidence, most large agencies participate in a multiagency task force. These task forces develop enforcement strategies such as the use of informants, surveillance, and undercover operations.
- Most States have laws that allow the government to seize convicted drug traffickers' assets, such as cash, bank accounts, planes, boats, cars, and homes. Upon payment of outstanding liens, many State laws require that all forfeited assets go to the State and/or local treasury. In some States, law enforcement agencies can keep all property, cash, and proceeds from sales of what is forfeited (table 3).

Table 3. Drug-related participation by agencies with primary drug enforcement responsibilities, 1990

Percent of agencies with primary responsibility for drug enforcement that: Receive money or Operate Participate in goods from a drug special multiagency asset forfeiture drug unit task force Type and size of agency program 91% State police departments 85% 94% Police departments 25% 38% All sizes 51% 1.000.000 or more 93 93 86 500.000-999.999 95 100 96 250,000-499,999 100 100 87 96 86 98 100,000-249,999 94 95 50,000-99,999 81 25,000-49,999 62 82 85 10,000-24,999 36 65 62 2,500-9,999 19 55 33 Under 2,500 5 28 Sheriffs' departments All sizes 39% 68% 51% 1,000,000 or more 92 95 95 500,000-999,999 90 97 97 250,000-499,999 86 91 94 100,000-249,999 77 86 88 50,000-99,999 75 72 81 44 80 25,000-49,999 53 10.000-24.999 28 66 42 Under 10,000

Note: Table includes only agencies with primary responsibility for drug enforcement. Source: Drug enforcement by police and sheriffs' departments, 1990.

ln 1990 —

- 45% of State police agencies had primary responsibility for laboratory testing of drugs, but only the largest municipal and county agencies tended to have such responsibility
- 90% of municipal police departments with 100 or more officers had special units for drug education in the

schools, while 60% operated special gang units

 94% of State police departments, 38% of local police, and 51% of sheriffs' departments received money or goods from an asset forfeiture program. More than 1 in 3 local police and sheriffs' departments and 2 in 3 State police departments reported that at least some of their arrestees were tested for illegal drug use.

One of four local police and sheriffs' departments and 1 of 2 State police departments required applicants for sworn positions to submit to a drug test (table 4).

Table 4. Employees tested for drugs in a mandatory testing program in local police and sheriffs' departments, by size of population served, 1990

Type of agency	pplicants*	Probationary officers	field	Candidates for promotion*	drug-related
and population served Ap	plicants"	OTICEIS	onicers	promotion-	positions
State police departments	55%	6%	4%	2%	10%
Police departments					
Ali sizes	26%	4%	2%	2%	3%
1,000,000 or more	79	29	0	21	43
500,000-999,999	71	29	8	18	25
250,000-499,999	85	8	5	14	13
100,000-249,999	63	7	- 1	7	10
50,000-99,999	71	7 .	1	9 .	14
25,000-49,999	52	7	4	6	6
10,000-24,999	44	7	. 3	2	5
2,500-9,999	25	4	1	1	2
Under 2,500	14	3	2	1	1
Sheriffs' departments					
All sizes	23%	4%,	3%	3%	4%
1,000,000 or more	46	0	0	4	10
500,000-999,999	42	5	4	0	7
250,000-499,999	40	7	0	0	6
100,000-249,999	44	3 5 7	2	3	6
50,000-99,999	33	5	3	3	5
25,000-49,999	26		7	6	7
10,000-24,999	15	2	. 1	1	2
Under 10,000	14	2	1	1	2

Note: Mandatory programs are those in which all are tested.

*Sworn positions only.

Sources: Drug enforcement by police and sheriffs' departments, 1990. State and local police departments, 1990. Sheriffs' departments 1990.

Pretrial release of drug defendants

The National Pretrial Reporting Program (NPRP) revealed that of persons charged with a felony drug offense in 1990 in the 75 most populous counties —

- 65% were released prior to case disposition
- 30% were held with bail set
- 5% were held without bail.

The median amount of bail set for a person charged with a drug offense was \$5,000. Defendants charged with a drug offense were more likely to secure release (34%) when bail was set at \$20,000 or more than those charged with a violent offense (26%), public-order offense (25%), or a property offense (21%).

Of felony drug defendants released prior to the disposition of their case, 50% were released within 1 day of their arrest, 79% within 1 week, and 92% within 1 month.

Of felony drug defendants released before case disposition, 26% failed to make a scheduled court appearance within 1 year. Two-thirds of the defendants who failed to appear returned to court by the end of the 1-year study period, while a third remained fugitives.

Twenty percent of released drug defendants were known to have been rearrested while on pretrial release. Nearly half of those rearrested were arrested for a felony drug offense.

Of all released defendants rearrested for a drug offense, 53% were once again granted pretrial release.

Pretrial status of defendants charged with drug offenses, 1988 and 1990

Pretrial status and type of		
release	<u>1988</u>	<u>1990</u>
Total	100%	100%
Released	72%	65%
Financial total Surety Full cash Deposit Other	36% 19 10 6 1	28% 18 7 3 1
Nonfinancial total	36%	35%
Detained	28%	35%

Note: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Percent of felony defendants released before trial, by type of offense, 1988 and 1990

Most serious felony arrest	Percent releas prior to case disposition	
charge	1988	1990
All offenses Murder Rape Robbery Assault Burglary Theft Drug offenses Sales/trafficking Other	66% 39 55 52 69 53 64 72 69	65% 37 54 51 75 56 67 65 61
Public-order	7 5 70	69

Sources: Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1990. Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1988.

Prosecution and sentencing of Federal drug law violators

The number of suspects prosecuted for drug offenses increased from 7,003 in 1980 to 25,097 in 1990. U.S. attorneys declined to prosecute 19% (6,409) of suspected drug offenders who were investigated in matters closed in 1990, a lower percentage than for offenders suspected of violent offenses (28%), property offenses (44%), and public-order offenses (33%).

The number of persons convicted of violating Federal drug laws rose to 16,311 in 1990 from 5,135 in 1980. This 218% increase exceeded the 59% growth in U.S. district court convictions for all Federal offenses during the same years and accounted for over 64% of the total increase in Federal convictions.

The number of defendants convicted of drug possession offenses increased from 502 in 1980 to 1,301 in 1990 — a 159% increase. Drug possession convictions were 8% of all drug convictions in 1990.

Drug offenses accounted for 17% of all defendants convicted in 1980 and 34% of all defendants convicted in 1990. Drug trafficking offenses alone accounted for 32% of all defendants convicted in 1990. The conviction rate for drug defendants increased from 74% in 1980 to 84% in 1990.

In 1990, 72% of offenders convicted of drug offenses were sentenced to

prison down from 84% in 1989, but unchanged from 72% in 1980.


The average prison sentence for persons charged with Federal drug violations was longer than for all other offenses except violent crimes in 1990.

Average sentence length for persons sentenced to Federal prisons for drug and non-drug offenses, 1990

All offenses	57.2 mos.
Violent offenses	89.2
Property offenses	22.0
Federal drug offenses	80.9
Trafficking	83.1
Possession	14.9
Public-order offenses	28.3

Drug offenders are receiving longer sentences and are serving a larger percentage of their sentence than in the past. The average Federal prison sentence for drug offenses rose from 58 months in 1985 to 81 months in 1990. The average percent of sentence served until first release increased from 60% in 1985 to 68% in 1990. Contributing to these increases are the sentencing guidelines that went into effect in 1987 and the 1986 and 1988 anti-drug abuse laws which prescribed stiffer sentences and mandatory minimum incarceration terms for Federal offenders.

> Source: Federal criminal case processing, 1980-90 with preliminary data for 1991. Federal sentencing in transition, 1986-90.



Prosecution and sentencing of drug law violators in State courts

In the United States in 1988 there were an estimated —

- 287,857 adults arrested for drug trafficking
- 111,950 felony drug trafficking convictions
- 79,503 drug traffickers sentenced to incarceration
- 45,656 drug traffickers sentenced to State prison or 16 for every 100 drug trafficking arrests (table 5).

The number of drug trafficking convictions in State courts increased 46% between 1986 and 1988. In the 75 largest counties, convictions for drug trafficking rose 61% during the same period.

Of persons convicted of drug trafficking in State courts in 1988 —

- 86% were male
- 56% were white, 43% black, and
 1% of other races
- the average age was 30
- 51% were between ages 20 and 29.

Ninety-two percent of drug trafficking convictions in 1988 resulted from guilty pleas; 4% resulted from jury trials; and 4% resulted from bench trials.

Drug offenders comprised a third of all persons convicted of a felony in State courts in 1988. Drug traffickers accounted for 17% of all convicted felons; drug possessors also accounted for 17% of all convicted felons.

Seventy-one percent of persons convicted of drug trafficking in 1988 were sentenced to some kind of incarceration: 30% to jail and 41% to prison; 28% were sentenced to probation. The average prison sentence for persons convicted of drug trafficking was 5 1/2 years, of which the estimated time to be served was 1 year 8 months (table 6).

The average (mean) time from arrest to sentencing was 211 days for a drug trafficking case resulting in a conviction in 1988. The average time was 274 days for jury trials, 323 days for bench trials, and 205 days for guilty pleas.

Table 5. Disposition of felony arrests in the United States, 1988

	For 100 arrests:			
		Sente	nced to	
Arrest	Con-	incarc	eration	
offense	victed	Total	Prison	
Murder*	48	45	43	
Rape	47	41	33	
Robbery	32	28	24	
Aggravated				
assault	10	7	5	
Burglary	33	24	18	
Drug			-	
trafficking	39	28	16	

*Includes nonnegligent manslaughter. Source: Felony sentences in State courts, 1988.



Table 6. Average maximum prison sentence length imposed and estimated time to be served in prison, 1988

Most serious conviction offense	Average maximum sentence length	Estimated time to be served
Murder ^b	239 mos	. 79 mos.
Rape	183	71
Robbery	114	38
Aggravated		
assault	90	32
Burglary	74	22
Larceny°	50	15
Drug trafficking	66	20

Derived by muitiplying percentage of sentence actually served by the mean sentence imposed. Percentage of sentence actually served was estimated by the source from table 2-4, National corrections reporting program, 1985 (NCJ-123522), December 1990. Includes nonnegligent manslaughter.

Includes motor vehicle theft. Source: Felony sentences in State

courts, 1988.

Of 27,052 drug offenders sentenced to probation in 1986 in 32 counties across 27 States —

- 61% had a probation sentence combined with a jail term
- 20% were not recommended for probation by the probation department
- 11% were assigned to intensive supervision
- 38% were required to participate in a drug treatment program
- 48% were required to be tested for drug use.

According to probation records, among probationers with known drug problems, 42% were not required to submit to drug testing or participate in drug treatment.

Sources: Felony sentences in State courts, 1988. Recidivism of felons on probation, 1986-89.

Drug offenders in correctional populations

The proportion of drug offenders in State prisons increased 144%, from 6% of State prisoners in 1979 to 22% in 1991.

The proportion of drug offenders in local jails increased 147% between 1983 and 1989:

	Percen	t of inmates
Current offense	1983	1989
Any drug offense	9%	23%
Trafficking	4	12
Possession/use	5	10
Other drug	1	1

Drug law violators make up a growing share of the Federal prison population:

- 22% of all admissions in fiscal 1980
- 34% of all inmates in fiscal 1986
- 58% of all inmates at the end of calendar 1991.*

In 1986 persons sentenced for drug trafficking made up 26.1% of State prison inmates with no known prior sentence to probation or incarceration. This was a larger proportion than for any other offense.

Offenses of persons in long-term, State-operated juvenile facilities (1987) and State adult prisons (1986)

	in long- State-o		Percent of inmates
Current	Under	Age 18	in State
offense	<u>aqe18</u>	or older	prisons
Violent	39.3%	52,3%	54.6%
Property	45.6	29.0	31.0
Drug	5.6	11.3	8.6
Public-order	7.2	6.8	5.2
Other	2.4	.6	.7

Note: Other offenses include juvenile status offenses for youth in State institutions.

in 1989, the proportion of juveniles in private juvenile facilities for alcohol and drug offenses was higher than in public facilities, according to the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, as presented in the 1991 BJS Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics.

Juveniles held in facilities, by type of offense and type of facility, 1989

Juveniles detained or committed for:	Type of Public	facility Private
Crimes against persons Crimes against	26%	19%
property	41	54
Alcohol and drug offenses Public-order	12	14
offenses	5	2
Probation violations	9	2
Other delinquent acts	3	9

Note: Percents may not total 100% because of rounding.

^{*}Updated by Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse from Bureau of Prisons Key Indicators Management Information System, December 29, 1991.

The BJS 1990 Census of State and Federal Correctional Facilities reported on drug treatment and intervention programs for 1,024 correctional facilities. On June 29,

1990, the 1,024 facilities estimated inmate enrollment in various drug treatment and intervention programs (table 7).

Table 7. Enrollment in drug treatment and intervention programs, by type of facility, June 29, 1990

	Type of facility			
Type of treatment/	Federal	State		
intervention program	confinement	Confinement	Community-based	
Special residential unit				
within facility	356	7,432	15	
Counseling	2,522	42,593	4,584	
Education/awareness	5,634	32,427	3,512	
Urine surveillance	10,770	37,646	6,349	
Detoxification	21	2,864	109	
Other	320	2,801	95	

Note: Inmates could have participated in more than one program.

Source: Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990.

Sources: Prisons and prisoners in the United States. Drug law violators, 1980-86: Federal offenses and offenders, updated by the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse. Profile of State prison inmates, 1986. Survey of youth in custody, 1987. Profile of jail inmates, 1989. Drugs and jail inmates, 1989. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, as reported in the BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1991. Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990.

Drug enforcement in correctional facilities

Data from the BJS 1990 Census of State and Federal Correctional Facilities describe the various methods used to prevent illegal entry of drugs into prisons and to stop the use of illegal drugs by those under correctional supervision. These methods include drug testing. questioning, patdowns, clothing exchanges, and body cavity searches.

Most prison facilities required new admissions and inmates returning from temporary release to be patted down and required them to exchange their clothing with prison-furnished clothing (table 8).

Interdiction efforts were more stringent in maximum security prisons than in medium or minimum security facilities. All Federal maximum security prisons required clothing exchanges, compared to 81% of Federal medium security prisons and 78% of Federal minimum security facilities.

Prison visitors are also subjected to interdiction activities (table 9). Most visitors were questioned verbally and were subjected to searches of their belongings. Patdowns and body cavity searches were usually conducted if visitors were suspected of carrying drugs or paraphernalia.

Table 8. Drug interdiction activities for prison inmates/residents, by type of facility. June 1990

deral		
		State
nfinement	Confinement	Community-based
83.8%	74.9%	79.2%
87.5	77.7	71.2
87.5	59.0	26.0
61.3	45.1	14.4
72.5%	66.5%	68.0%
81.3	79.7	81.6
72.5	54.5	29.2
63.8	47.6	20.4
	83.8% 87.5 87.5 61.3 72.5% 81.3 72.5	83.8% 74.9% 87.5 77.7 87.5 59.0 61.3 45.1 72.5% 66.5% 81.3 79.7 72.5 54.5

Source: Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990.

Table 9. Drug interdiction activities for prison visitors, by type of facility, June 1990

	Type of facility			
	Federal	State		
Interdiction activity	confinement	Confinement	Community-based	
Verbal questioning	97.5%	78.7%	82.4%	
Patdown	51.3	69.4	39.6	
Belongings search	92.5	87.4	75.6	
Body cavity search	27.5	22.4	5.2	

Source: Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990.

To prevent drugs from being brought into facilities, prison staff are subjected to interdiction activities. particularly when under suspicion of drug smuggling. Staff were questioned in 45% of Federal facilities and 23% of State facilities upon suspicion of drug involvement. Staff were patted down on suspicion in 19% of Federal facilities and 14% of State facilities.

The 1990 Census revealed that 7 out of 8 institutions conduct urine tests on inmates to detect drug use.

Of the tests conducted between July 1, 1989, and June 30, 1990, evidence of drug use among State prison inmates was detected in about -

- 1 in 16 tests for marijuana
- 1 in 28 tests for cocaine
- 1 in 50 tests for methamphetamines
- 1 in 75 tests for heroin.

Among tests conducted among Federal prison inmates, positive test results were found in approximately --

- 1 in 100 tests for marijuana
- 1 in 250 tests for cocaine
- 1 in 250 tests for beroin
- 1 in 1,000 tests for methamphetamines.

Most facilities conducted urine tests upon suspicion of drug use (table 10). One in five facilities

systematically conducted urine tests on all inmates at least once during their confinement.

Table 10. Percent of inmates tested for drug use, by criteria for testing and type of facility, June 1990

	Type of facility			
Criteria for		Federal	State	
inmate drug tests	All facilities	confinement	Confinement	Community-based
Total	87%	100%	83%	98%
Systematically on everyone at least				
once during stay	20	31	12	46
Randomly on samples On indication of	63	96	57	76
possible drug use	76	94	74	76

Source: Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990.

Source: Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990.



Recidivism of drug law violators

Of 27,000 drug offenders sentenced to probation in 32 counties across 17 States in 1986, 49% were rearrested for a felony offense within 3 years of sentencing.

	Percent of drug
Rearrest offense	probationers rearrested
Total	48.9%
Violent offenses	7.4
Property offenses	10.3
Drug offenses	26.7
Trafficking	14.9
Possession	11.8
Weapons offenses	1.0
Other offenses	3.5

Of all probationers rearrested within the 3-year period, 1 out of 3 were arrested for a drug offense.

Drug abusers were more likely to be rearrested than non-abusers:

Drug abuse	Percent of probationers rearrested within 3 years
Non-abuser	36%
Occasional abuser	. 44
Frequent abuser	55

Compliance with drug testing or drug treatment while on probation indicates a lower likelihood of rearrest:

Percent of probationers rearrested				
Special conditions	<u> Fotal</u>	Satisfied condition	Did not satisfy condition	
Testing or treatment Testing and	51%	38%	66%	
treatment	51	36	65	
Testing only	53	34	67	
Treatment only No testing or	47	42	67	
treatment	48	-	_	

Not applicable.

in a separate study, BJS gathered 3 years of criminal history records for a sample of persons released from prison in 1983 in 11 States. The percentage of offenders rearrested within 3 years was:

- 50.4% of drug offenders
- 54.6% of public-order offenders
- 59.6% of violent offenders
- 68.1% of property offenders.

Drug offenses accounted for 14% of new charges against all those rearrested. Among drug violators released from prison, 24.8% were rearrested for a drug offense.

Percent of drug law

	violators released from prison in 1983 who within 3 years were					
Offense	Rear- rested	Recon- victed	Reincar cerated			
Drug offenses Possession Trafficking Other drug	50.4% 62.8 51.5	35.3% 40.2 34.5	30.3% 36.7 29.4			
offenses	45.3	34.5	29.1			

Sources: Recidivism of felons on probation, 1986-89. Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983.

Drugs and youth

Data from the National Crime Victimization Survey show that in the first half of 1989, 2 out of 3 students ages 12 to 19 reported availability of drugs at their school.

Availability of drugs was reported more often by —

- public school students (70%) than by private school students (52%)
- students who reported gangs were present at their school (78%) than by students at schools where gangs were not present (66%).

Similar rates of drug availability were reported by —

- white students (69%) and black students (67%)
- students residing in cities (66%), suburban areas (67%), and nonmetropolitan areas (71%).

Many students reported that they could obtain drugs at or near their schools.

- 30% said marijuana was easy to obtain, 27% said it was hard to obtain, and 16% said it was impossible to get.
- 11% said cocaine was easy to obtain and 9% said crack was easy to obtain.

As reported in the 1991 BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, a 1990 national survey of fifth and sixth graders found that —

 15% knew adults or older kids who tried or who use marijuana; 5% knew of kids their own age who tried or who use marijuana

- 10% knew adults or older kids who tried or who use cocaine; 2% knew kids their own age who use cocaine or crack
- 90% agreed with the statement "drugs bought on the street are not safe to use"
- 7% said they will probably have tried drugs by the time they enter high school.

As reported in the 1991 BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, of 1991 high school seniors—

- 36.7% reported having ever used marijuana/hashish
- 7.8% reported having ever used cocaine
- 0.9% reported having ever used heroin.

1991 high school seniors reporting they could obtain drugs fairly easily or very easily

Marijuana	83.39
Amphetamines	57.3
Cocaine powder	46.0
Barbiturates	42.4
Tranquilizers	40.8
Crack	39.9
LSD	39.5
Heroin	30.6
PCP	27.6

Reported marijuana use by high school seniors within the previous 12 months fell to 24% in 1991, the lowest level since the survey began in 1975. Similarly, the 14% reporting such use within the last 30 days was also the lowest in the period.

Reported illegal drug use by high school seniors, 1991

	Used within	the last:
Drugs	12 months	30 days
Marijuana _	23.9%	13.8%
Stimulants	8.2	3.2
inhalants	6.6	2.4
Hallucinogens	5.8	2.2
Cocaine (other than o	rack) 3.2	1.2
Other opiates ^o	3,5	1.1
Sedatives	3.6	1.5
Tranquilizers ^b	3.6	1.4
Crack	1.5	.7
Heroin	.4	.2

Including the last 30 days.
Includes only drug use which was not under a doctor's orders.

Self-reports of drug use among high school seniors may underrepresent drug use among youth of that age because high school dropouts and truants are not included, and these groups may have more involvement with drugs than those who stay in school.

Cocaine use among high school seniors peaked in 1985:

	Used cocain	e within the last
Year	12 months*	30 days
1975	5.6%	1.9%
1976	6.0	2.0
1977	7.2	2.9
1978	9.0	3.9
1979	12.0	5.7
1980	12.3	5.2
1981	12.4	5.8
1982	11.5	5.0
1983	11.4	4.9
1984	11.6	5.8
1985	13.1	6.7
1986	12.7	6.2
1987	10.3	4.3
1988	7.9	3.4
1989	6.5	2.8
1990	5.3	1.9
1991	3.5	1.4

*including the last 30 days.

Sources: School crime. Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, Smoking, drinking, and illicit drug use among American secondary school students, college students, and young adults, 1975-1991 as reported in BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1991 and previous editions for trend data.

Drug use in the general population

According to data from the 1991 National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) National Household Survey on Drug Abuse —

- 75.4 million Americans age 12 or older (37% of the population) reported use of an illicit drug at least once in their lifetime
- 13% reported use of a drug within the past year
- 6% reported use of a drug within the past month.

Data from the 1991 survey show that marijuana and cocaine use is most prevalent among those ages 18 to 34.

	Age of respondent						
Drug use	12-17	<u>18-25</u>	26-34	<u>35+</u>			
Marijuana							
Last month	4.3%	13.0%	7.0%	2.1%			
Last year	10.1	24.6	14.5	4.2			
Lifetime	13.0	50.5	59.5	23.9			
Cocaine							
Last month	.4%	2.0%	1.8%	.5%			
Last year	1.5	7.7	5.1	1.6			
Lifetime	2.4	17.9	25.8	7.0			

As reported in the 1991 BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, rates of drug use by college students were among the lowest during the past 10 years (table 11).

Drug use	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
Marijuana Daily within										
last month	4.2%	3.8%	3.6%	3.1%	2.1%	2.3%	1.8%	2.6%	1.7%	1.8%
Last month	26.8	26.2	23.0	23.6	22.3	20.3	16.8	16.3	14.0	14.1
Last year	44.7	45.2	40.7	41.7	40.9	37.0	34.6	33.6	29.4	26.5
Cocaine										
Daily within										
last month	.3%	.1%	.4%	.1%	.1%	.1%	.1%	0 %	0 %	0 %
Last month	7.9	6.5	7.6	6.9	7.0	4.6	4.2	2.8	1.2	1.0
Last year	17.2	17.3	16.3	17.3	17.1	13.7	10.0	8.2	5.6	3.6

Source: Drug use among American high school seniors, college students, and young adults as reported in the BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1991.

Another measure of drug use in the general population is the number of drug-related emergency room episodes and drug-related deaths.

The NIDA Drug Abuse Warning Network (DAWN) reported an estimated 400,079 drug-related episodes in hospital emergency rooms nationwide in 1991, an 8% increase from 371,208 in 1990.

A total of 6,601 deaths related to drug abuse were reported in 1991 by 130 medical examiners in 27 metropolitan areas, a 13% increase from 5,830 in 1990. Sources: National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Household Survey on Drug Abuse; Population estimates 1991. Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, Smoking, drinking, and illicit drug use among American secondary school students, college students, and young adults, 1975-1991 as reported in the BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1991. Drug Abuse Warning Network, as reported in Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse, Fact sheet: Drug data summary.

Public opinion about drugs

As reported in the 1991 BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, the percentage of Gallup Poll respondents describing drug abuse as the single most important problem facing our country peaked in 1989:

Date of poll	Drug abuse most serious problem
January 1985	2%
July 1986	8
April 1987	11
September 1988	11
May 1989	27
November 1989	38
April 1990	30
July 1990	18
March 1991	11
March 1992	. 8

Among Gallup Poll respondents, the use of drugs was most often mentioned as one of the biggest problems for schools in their communities. Giving that response in 1991 were —

- 17% of public school parents
- 13% of nonpublic school parents
- 24% of those with no children in school
- 22% of all surveyed.

The National Institute on Drug Abuse has sponsored a survey of high school seniors each year since 1975. The 1991 high school seniors were asked, "How much do you think people risk harming themselves?" Those students answering "great risk" in regular use accounted for the following percentages —

- marijuana/hashish, 78.6%, up from a low of 34.9% in 1978
- cocaine, 90.4%, up from a low of 68.2% in 1977 and 1978
- heroin, 89.6%, virtually stable since 1975
- LSD, 84.3%, relatively stable since a low of 79.1% in 1977.

When asked about spending for various social problems, 58% of the respondents to a 1991 National Opinion Research Center (NORC) Poll said this country is spending too little to deal with drug addiction.

In 1990 Gallup Poll respondents were asked how best the government should allocate its resources in its fight against drugs:

- 40% said "teaching young people about the dangers of drugs"
- 28% said "working with foreign governments to stop the export of drugs to this country"
- 19% said "arresting people in this country who sell drugs"
- 5% said "helping drug users to overcome their addiction to drugs"
- 4% said "arresting the people who use drugs."

Gallup Poll respondents were asked, "What is the most important thing that can be done to help reduce crime?" In 1989, the most frequent response was to cut the drug supply (25%), followed by harsher punishment (24%). In 1981, 3% of respondents mentioned cutting the drug supply, while harsher punishment was mentioned by 38% of respondents.

NORC has asked adults (age 18 or older) about legalization of marijuana since 1973. In 1991, 18% believed that marijuana should be made legal, down from a peak of 30% in 1978.

College freshmen have been surveyed by NORC since 1968. In 1991, 20.9% of those surveyed agreed strongly or somewhat that marijuana should be legalized, down from a high of 52.9% in 1977.

Of 1991 high school seniors —

• 18.0% felt using marijuana should be entirely legal, down from 32.9% feeling that way in 1978

• 79.5% reported worrying often or sometimes about drug abuse, up from 65.5% in 1978.

in 1990 a Gallup Poll asked what respondents felt would be the effect of legalizing drugs:

Effect of legalization would increase:

Drug use in public schools 65%
The number of addicts 67
The number of drug overdoses 63
Drug-related crime 52

Sources: The Gallup report; National Opinion Research Center data made available through the Roper Center for Public Opinion Research; Alexander W. Astin, et al., The American freshman: Twenty year trends; Lloyd D. Johnston, Jerald G. Bachman, and Patrick M. O'Malley, Monitoring the future 1975-1991; Lloyd D. Johnston, Patrick M. O'Malley, and Jerald G. Bachman, Drug use, drinking, and smoking: National survey results from high school, college, and young adult populations, 1975-1988; all as reported in the BJS Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1991 and Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1990.

Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse

The Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse serves the drugs-and-crime information needs of —

- Federal, State, and local policymakers
- criminal justice and public health practitioners
- · researchers and universities
- private corporations
- the media
- the public.

Special attention is given to the needs of State and local government agencies, especially those seeking data to meet the statistical requirements of the Anti-Drug Abuse Grant Program of the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA).

The Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse responds to requests for current data on —

- illegal drugs
- drug-related crime
- drug law violations
- drug-using offenders in the criminal justice system
- drug treatment for offenders
- the impact of drugs on criminal justice administration.

The Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse is comprised of two components. The clearinghouse component —

 disseminates BJS and other Department of Justice publications relating to drugs and crime

- prepares and distributes fact sheets and selected bibliographies on specific drugs-and-crime topics
- responds to information requests utilizing a bibliographic database of statistical and research reports, books, and journal articles on drugs and crime
- searches the bibliographic database to fill requests for data on specific topics
- advises requesters on data availability and usefulness and on data sources that may meet their needs
- provides statistics and bibliographic citations by mail or telephone
- maintains a reading room where visitors can use the clearinghouse collection of library documents and vertical file items on drugs and crime
- coordinates with Federal, State, and local agencies in identifying other data resources and makes referrals.

The data center component -

- prepared sections of Drugs, crime, and the justice system: A National report, a comprehensive multi-year effort that presents drug data from various sources into one easy-tounderstand report that will serve as a national resource document when published in spring 1993.
- analyzes existing drug data and prepares special reports

- evaluates existing drug data for statistical quality and usefulness, suggests improvements, and identifies drug data gaps
- prepares special computer tabulations that are not available elsewhere
- identifies and collects new drugs and crime documents to be added to the database the clearinghouse uses to respond to requests.

During 1992 the Data Center & Clearinghouse —

- handled over 8,000 requests for information
- expanded the bibliographic database to about 3,200 documents and total library holdings to about 11,000 items
- distributed about 36,000 drugs-and-crime documents, 43% of which were BJS publications
- attended 12 national conferences
- archived the 1992 Drug Control and System improvement Formula Grant Program applications submitted to the Bureau of Justice Assistance by the States (State strategies)
- provided technical assistance to the Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP)
- worked with other drug-related clearinghouses, including the National Clearinghouse for Alcohol and Drug Information (treatment and prevention), the National AIDS Information Clearinghouse, and the Resident Initiatives Drug Information and Strategy Clearinghouse (drugs in public housing)

- contributed to the second edition of Catalog of selected Federal publications on illegal drug and alcohol abuse in coordination with the Inter-Agency Working Group of Federal Clearinghouses
- prepared State drug resources:
 1992 National directory (May 1992), a guide to State and Federal agencies that address drug abuse concerns
- prepared Drugs and crime facts, 1991 (September 1992), which presents existing BJS data on the subject from diverse BJS reports
- completed Drugs, crime, and the justice system: A national report (November 1992), a comprehensive report that presents data from numerous sources that will be available in spring 1993.

The toll-free line for the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse is 1-800-666-3332. The data center is located at the Research Triangle Institute (RTI) in Research Triangle Park, NC. The clearinghouse is located at Aspen Systems Corporation in Rockville, MD.

Source notes

Single copies of any report with an NCJ number can be obtained free from the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse, 1600 Research Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850; 1-800-666-3332.

Sources with an ICPSR number have a data set available on data tape, CD-ROM, or diskette. For more information, contact the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data at the University of Michigan, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106, 1-800-999-0960.

Crime in the United States, 1991, Federal Bureau of Investigation, August 1992, ICPSR 9028

Criminal victimization in the United States, 1991, December 1992, NCJ-139563, ICPSR 8864

Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990 (BJS Special Report), July 1992, NCJ-134724

Drug enforcement by police and sheriffs' departments, 1990 (BJS Special Report), May 1992, NCJ-134505, ICPSR 9749

Drug law violators, 1980-86: Federal offenses and offenders (BJS Special Report), June 1988, NCJ-111763, ICPSR 9296 Drug use and crime: State Prison Inmate Survey, 1986 (BJS Special Report), July 1988, NCJ-111940, ICPSR 8711

Drugs and jail inmates, 1989 (BJS Special Report), August 1991, NCJ-130836, ICPSR 9419

Fact sheet: Drug data summary, Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse, November 1992

Federal criminal case processing, 1980-90: With preliminary data for 1991, September 1992, NCJ-136945, ICPSR 9296

Federal sentencing in transition, 1986-90 (BJS Special Report), June 1992, NCJ-134727

National Corrections Reporting Program, 1985, December 1990, NCJ-123522, ICPSR 8918

National Household Survey on Drug Abuse: Population estimates 1991. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute on Drug Abuse, 1991

Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1988 (BJS Bulletin), February 1991, NCJ-127202, ICPSR 9508

Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1990, (BJS Bulletin), November 1992, NCJ-139560, ICPSR 9508 Prisons and prisoners in the United States, April 1992, NCJ-137002

Profile of jail inmates, 1989 (BJS) Special Report), April 1991, NCJ-129097, ICPSR 9419

Profile of State prison inmates, 1986 (BJS Special Report), January 1988, NCJ-109926, ICPSR 8711

Recidivism of felons on probation. 1986-89 (BJS Special Report), February 1992, NCJ-134177, ICPSR 9574.

Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983 (BJS Special Report), April 1989, NCJ-116261, ICPSR 8875

School crime, September 1991, NCJ-131645, ICPSR 9394

Sheriffs' departments 1990 (BJS Bulletin), February 1992, NCJ-133283, **ICPSR 9749**

Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1991, September 1992, NCJ-137369

Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1990, September 1991, NCJ-130580

State and local police departments, 1990 (BJS Bulletin), February 1992, NCJ-133284, ICPSR 9749

State drug resources: 1992 national directory, May 1992, NCJ-134375

Survey of youth in custody, 1987 (BJS Special Report), September 1988, NCJ-113365, ICPSR 8992

Violent State prisoners and their victims (BJS Special Report), July 1990, NCJ-124133, ICPSR 8711

Women in jail 1989 (BJS Special Report), March 1992, NCJ-134732, ICESR 9419

Women in prison (BJS Special Report), March 1991, NCJ-127991, ICPSR 8711

BJS DATA ON CD-ROM

The Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) presents crime and justice data on CD-ROM. Prepared by the Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) at the University of Michigan, the CD-ROM contains 24 data sets, including the following:

- National Crime Victimization Surveys: 1987– 1989 Incident File
- National Crime Victimization Surveys: 1989 Full File
- Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics, 1987
- National Pretrial Reporting Program, 1988–1989
- National Judicial Reporting Program, 1986 and 1988
- Survey of inmates of Local Jails, 1983 and 1989
- National Jail Census, 1978, 1983, and 1988
- Survey of Inmates of State Correctional Facilities, 1974, 1979, and 1986
- Census of State Adult Correctional Facilities, 1974, 1979, and 1984
- Survey of Youth in Custody, 1987
- Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971–79, 1985, and 1988

The BJS CD-ROM contains ASCII files that require the use of specific statistical software packages and does not contain full-text publications. SAS and SPSS setup files are provided.

The BJS CD-ROM can be purchased from the Bureau of Justice Statistics Clearinghouse for \$15. It is available free through ICPSR member institutions. For more information, cail 1–800–732–3277.

To order your copy of the BJS CD-ROM, please send a check or money order made out to the BJS Clearinghouse to Box 600	o, 2B,	Rockville,
MD 20850.		

You may also purchase the CD-ROM by using VISA or MasterCard. Please include type of card, card holder's name and address, card number, and expiration date for processing.

Credit C	ard Numbe	r	 	 Expiration Date	

Bureau of Justice Statistics reports

See order form on last page (Revised April 1993)

Call tall-free 800-732-3277 to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS reports, to be acted to the following to the solution in malling lists, or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Bureau of Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850. For drugs and crime data, call the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse, 1600 Research Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850, toll-free 800-666-3332.

BJS maintains these mailing lists:

- Law enforcement reports
- Drugs and crime data

 Justice expenditure and employment
- National Crime Victimization Survey
- Corrections
- Courte Privacy and security of criminal histories
- and criminal justice information policy
 Federal statistics
 BJS bulletins and special reports
- Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics (annual)

ple copies of reports are free; use if number to order. Postage and andling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public-use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (formerly CJAIN), P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (toll-free 800-999-0960)

National Crima Victimization

SUTVEY
Criminal victimization in the U.S.:
1991 (final), NCJ-193553, 1/93
1973-90 tread, NCJ-193553, 1/93
1990 (final), NCJ-194128, 2/92
Crime victimization in city, suburban, and rural areas, NCJ-135943, 6/92
School crime, NCJ-191645, 9/91
Teenage victims, NCJ-128129, 9/91
Teenage victims, NCJ-128129, 9/91
Teenage victims, NCJ-128129, 9/91
Teenage victims, NCJ-191645, 9/91
Teenage victims, NCJ-191645, 9/91
Teenage victims, NCJ-191645, 9/91
Teenage victims, NCJ-191645, 9/91
Teenage victims of violent crime.
Survey, NCJ-192705, 4/90
Redesign of the National Crime Survey, NCJ-114575, 2/99
The seasonality of crime victimization,

The seasonality of crime victimization, NCJ-111033, 6/68

BJS bulletins Criminal victimization 1991, NCJ-136947,

10/92 Crime and the Hatlon's households, 1990, NCJ-136950, 7/92 The crime of rape, NCJ-96777, 3/65 Household burglary, NCJ-96021, 1/95 Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81

Measuring crime, NCL-75710, 281
BUS special reports
Elderty victima, NCL-139330, 1099
Handgun crime victima, NCL-123559, 7/90
Black victima, NCL-122562, 4/90
Hispanic victima, NCL-1025597, 1/90
The redesigned National Crime Surveys
Selected new data, NCL-114746, 1/39
Motor vehicle thaff, NCL-105978, 3/88
Elderty victima, NCL-105978, 3/88
Elderty victima, NCL-10576, 1/367
NCDberry victima, NCL-10633, 4/87
Robberry victima, NCL-107673, 4/87
Preventing domestic violence against
women, NCL-102072, 8/86
Crime greverition measures, NCL-100438,

Crime prevention measures, NCJ-100438,

3/66
The use of weapons in committing crimes, NCJ-99643, 1/86
Reporting crimes to the police, NCJ-99432, 12/85

The economic cost of crime to victims, NCJ-93450, 4/84

BJS technical reports
New directions for NCS, NCJ-115571, 3/89
Series crimes: Report of a field test,
NCJ-104615, 4/87

NCJ-10-4815, 4/ar Crime and older Americans Information package, NCJ-140091, 4/373, \$15 Victimization and foer of crimes: World perspectives, NCJ-9/3972, 1/95, \$9, 157 The National Crime Survey: Working papers, Current and historical perspectives, vol. 1, NCJ-9/37, 4/952 Methodology studies, vol. 11, NCJ-9/37, 1/294

Corrections

BJS bulletins and special reports
Capital punishment 1991, NCJ-136946,

Capital punishment 1991, NCJ-139946, 10/92
Drug enforcement and trainment in prisons, 1990, NCJ-134724, 7/92
Prisons, 1990, NCJ-134724, 7/92
Women in prison, NCJ-127391, 4/91
Wolens Issis prison immates and their victims, NCJ-126133, 7/90
Prison rule violators, NCJ-120344, 12/99
Recidivism of prisoners released in 1993, NCJ-11263, 4/99
Drug use and crimet Istale prison immate survey, 1986, NCJ-111940, 7/98
THE Language of the prison and on parole, 1984, NCJ-109925, 1/98
Ingrisonment in four countries, NCJ-103967, 2/97
Viewey of Salet prison immates, 1991, Viewey of Salet prison immates, 1991, Viewey of Salet prison immates, 1991.

Survey of State prison inmates, 1991, NCJ-136949, 3/93 Prisoners at midyear 1992 (press release), NCJ-138541, 10/92

NCJ-138541, 1092 Correctional populations in the U.S.: 1990, NCJ-134946, 7/92 1999, NCJ-1349445, 10/91 Consus of State and Federal correctional facilities, 1990, NCJ-137003, 6/92

facilities, 1990, NCJ-137003, 6/92 Prisons and prisoners in the United States, NCJ-137002, 4/92 National Corrections Reporting Program; 1998, NCJ-134929, 4/92 State and Federal Institutions, 1926-86; Race of prisoners admitted, NCJ-125618, 0/91

6/91 istorical statistics on prisoners, NCJ-111098, 6/88

Census of Jalls and survey of jall inmates

of jall immates

Als Sulleins and special reports
Drunk drivling: 1999 Survey of Inmetes
of Local Jalis, NCJ-134728, 932

Jall immates, 1991, NCJ-134728, 932

Jall immates, 1991, NCJ-134728, 932

Drug and jall immates, NCJ-134732, 932

Drug and jall immates, NCJ-136830, 831

Jall immates, 1998, NCJ-12584, 690

Population denaity in local jalls, 1989,
NCJ-12101, 2900

Census of Local Jalis, 1988,
NCJ-121101, 2900

Census of Local Jalis, 1988;

nc.J-21101, 290 nasus of local gilla, 1983: Summary and methodology, vol. I, NcJ-12792, 391 lis in the Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vol.s. Riv, NcJ-130759-130782, 931 ensus of local gilla, 1983: Selected fladings, methodology, summary tables, vol. V, NcJ-11795, 11/88

Probation and parole

BJS bulletins and special reports Probation and parole: 1990, NCJ-13285, 11/91 1989, NCJ-125833, 11/90 Recidivism of young paroles: NCJ-104918, 5/87

Juvenile corrections

report), NCJ-113365, 9/88

Children in custody: Census of public and private juvenille detention, correctional, and shelter facilities, 1975-85, NCJ-114065, 6/89 Survey of youth in custody, 1987 (special

Expenditure and employment

uslice exponditure and employment: 1990 (BJS bulletin), NCJ-135777, 9/92 1988 (full report), NCJ-125619, 8/91 Extracts, 1994, '95, '96, NCJ-124139, 8/91 uslice variable pass-through data, 1990: Anti-drug abuse formula grants, (BJS technical report), NCJ-133018, 3/92

Courts

BJS bulletins

NCJ-127202, 2/91 Felony sentonces in State courts, 1988, NCJ-126923, 12/90 Criminal delense for the poor, 1986, NCJ-112919, 9/88 State felony courts and felony laws, NCJ-106273, 8/87

BJS special reports
Recidivism of felons on probation,
1986-89, NCJ-104177, 2/92 elony case processing in State courts, 1988, NCJ-121753, 2/90

New York (1998) NCJ-121783-1990
Murder victims as Selementarist in large unban counties, 1988, NCJ-140814, 3093
National Judicial Reporting Program, 1988, NCJ-139945, 1993
The prosecution of felony arrests: 1988, NCJ-13994, 2992
1997, NCJ-122144, 9990
Felony as entineed to probation in State Felony defendants in large urban counties, 1988, NCJ-122305, 499
Felony laws of 1998 convicted in State courts, 1988, NCJ-122095, 1997
Felony laws of 95 States and the District of Columbia, 1986, NCJ-12004, 1998, 8,14.60
Supplement, NCJ-95239, 995
1st edition, NCJ-95239, 995
1st edition, NCJ-95239, 995

Privacy and security

Criminal Justice Information policy:
Report of the National Task Force on
Criminal History Record Disposition
Reporting, NCJ-135336, 692
Attorney General's program for improving
the Nation's criminal history records:
BJS implementation status report,
NCJ-134722, 392

NCJ-134722, 39/2 identifying felons who attempt to purchase firearms, NCJ-128131, 3/91, 59.90 Assessing completeness and accuracy of criminal history racord information; Audit guide, NCJ-130851, 2/92 Forensic DNA analysis: Isaues, NCJ-128567, Ø91

NCJ-128567, 8/91 Statules requiring use of criminal history record information, NCJ-129996, 8/91 Survey of criminal history information systems, NCJ-125620, 3/91 Original records of entry, NCJ-125625, 12/90

Strategies for improving data quality, NCJ-115339, 5/89

NCJ-115339, 5/89

Jubilc access to criminal history record information, NCJ-11458, 11/88

Juvenile necords and recordscepting systems, NCJ-112815, 11/88

Automated fingerprint (dentification systems: Technology and policy issues, NCJ-10342, 4/87

systems: Technol NCJ-104342, 4/87 Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ-101850,

witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81,

S11.50
SUSSEARCH conference proceedings:
National conference on improving the
quality of criminar history information;
NGJ-133532, 2/92
Criminal justice in the 1990's: The future
of information management,
NGJ-121697, 599, 5,770
Juvenile and adult records: One system,
one record? NGJ-114847, 1/90

Open vs. confidential records, NCJ-113560, 1/88

Compendium of State privacy and security legislation:
1992, NCJ-137058, 7/92
1992 full report (1, 500pp, microliche \$2, hard copy, \$184), 7/92

Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics

LEMAS, 1990: Data for Individual agencies with 100 or more officers, NCJ-134436, 9/92

BJS bull tins and special reports os obliedas and special reports
Drug enforcement by police and sherlifs'
departments, 1990, NCJ-134505, 5/92
State and local police departments, 1990,
NCJ-133284, 12/91
Sherlifts' departments, 1990, NCJ-133283,

US buillelins
Felony sentences in State courts, 1990, NCJ-133283, 1291
NCJ-140188, 393
Pretrial release of flony defendants, 1990, NCJ-13590, 1192
Prosecutors in State courts, 1990, NCJ-13590, 1192
NCJ-13590, 392 clony defendants, 1988, Drugs & crime: 800-666-3332
Pretrial release of the state of the st

Drugs & Crime: 60U-900-905 Drugs, crime, and the justice system: A national rsport, NCJ-139552, 393 Technical appendix, NCJ-139578, 4/93 Drugs and crime facts: 1992, NCJ-139531, 3/93 1991, NCJ-139531, 3/93 1991, NCJ-13951, 3/92 State drug resources: 1992 national directory, NCJ-139575, 5/92 Chalog of a selected 7-ederal publicationa NCJ-132582, 10/91 Foderal drug date for rational colley. Federal drug data for national policy, NCJ-122715, 4/90

Federal justice statistics

Federal justices Statistics
Federal criminal case processing, 1980-90,
with preliminary data for 1991,
NCL-165848, 992
Compandium of Federal justice statistics:
1989, NCL-130474, 1/92
The Federal civil justice system (BJS
bullenin, NCL-104769, 9/87

Federal offenses and offenders

BJS special reports Federal sentencing in transition, 1986-90, NCJ-134727, 6/92 NCJ-134727, 692 immigration offenses, NCJ-124548, 8/90 Federal criminal cases, 1980-87, NCJ-118311, 7/89 Drug law violators, 1980-88, NCJ-111763, 6/88

6/88 Pretrial release and detention: The Ball Reform Act of 1984, NCJ-109929, 2/88

General

BJS bulletins and special reports
Patterns of robbery and burgiery
in 9 States, 1984-89, NCJ-197368, 11/92
Forgery and fraud-rolated offenses
in 6 States, 1983-89, NCJ-132445, 1/92
BJS talephone contacts, '91, NCJ-130133,

Tracking offenders, 1988, NCJ-129861, 6/91 International crime rates, NCJ-110776, 5/88

Rethinking the criminal justice system: Toward a new paradigm, A BJS-Prince Discussion Paper, NCJ-139670, 193 BJS statistical programs, FY 1993, NCJ-139373, 1/93

NCJ-19373, 1/93 BIS national update: Jan. *93, NCJ-193665, 12/92 Oct. *92, NCJ-193694, 9/92 July *92, NCJ-13709, 7/92 April *92, NCJ-13709, 9/92 Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1991, NCJ-197369, 9/92 State justice sourcebook of statistics and research, NCJ-13793, 9/92

using sourcebook of statistics and research. NGL137931, 1972.
Violent crime in the United States, NGL12855, 399
BJS data report, 1999, NGJ-121514, 1/91
BJS data report of sutomated criminal justice Information systems, Vol. 1, Corrections, \$10.50, 2, Courts, \$11.50; 3, Law enforcement, 1994, Probation and parids, \$11.50; 3, Law enforcement, 1994, Probation and parids, \$11.50; 3, Exp. 1995, 1/95,

Report to the Nation on crime and justice: Second edition, NCJ-105506, 6/88 Technical appendix, NCJ-112011, 8/88

See order form on last page


Please put me on the mailing list to Law enforcement reports—natio data on State and local police an sheriffs' departments, operations, equipment, personnel, salaries, spending, policies, programs Federal statistics—data describir Federal case processing, from investigation through prosecution, adjudication, and corrections Drugs and crime—sentencing an time served by drug offenders, druse at time of crime by fall inmat and State prisoners, and other quadta on drugs, crime, and law enforcement Justice expenditure & employmannual spending and staffing by Federal, State, and local government by function (police, courts, corrections, etc.)	history data and Information mew legislation; maintaining releasing intelligence and in records; data quality issues BJS bullettins and special timely reports of the most of justice data in all BJS data and prosecution and adjudical State courts—case processor prosecution through court of the most of justice data in all BJS data courts—case prosecution through court of the most of justice data in all BJS data prosecution and adjudical State courts—case prosecution through court of the most of	ion policy— g and westigative reports— current series stion in disposition, entencing, please lits of sees of jalls,	□ National Crime Victimization Survey—the only ongoing national survey of crime victimization □ Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics (annual)—broad-based data from 150+ sources with addresses; 400+ tables, figures, index, annotated bibliography □ BJS National Update—a quarterly summary of new BJS data, programs, and information services and products □ Send me a signup form for NJ Catalog, free 6 times a year, which abstracts private and government criminal justice publications
To be added to any BJS mailing list, please copy or cut out this page, fill in, fold, and mail to the BJS Clearinghouse/NCJRS You will receive an annua! renewal card. If you do not	Title: _ Organization: Street or box: _		
return it, we must drop you from the mailing list.			
To order copies of recent BJS reports, check here and circle items you want to receive on other side of this sheet.	Put your organization _ and title here if you used home address above:		
	Cut out this label and affix it to	o an envelo	ope:

Bureau of Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/NCJRS U.S. Department of Justice Box 6000 Rockville, MD 20850

Drugs & Crime Data

Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse

The Bureau of Justice Statistics updated directory provides valuable contacts for locating State agencies concerned with drug abuse



Please send the State Drug Resourd	ces: 1992 National Directory (NCJ-134375). Title	
Organization		
ddress		
, State, ZIP		

Please add my name to the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse mailing list.

Mail to: Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse, 1600 Research Boulevard, Rockville, MD 20850


Publications order form, Spring 1993

N	NEW from BJS! Drugs, crime, and the justice system: A national report, 12/92 133652 Technical appendix: Drugs, crime, and the justice system, 3/93 139578 Survey of State prison inmates, 1991, 3/93 136949								
	rugs & Crime Data enter & Clearinghouse		ureau of Justice atistics		deral justice statistics Federal sentencing in transition, 1986-90 6/92 134727		Employee drug-testing policies in prison systems 8/88 112824 Identifying drug users and monitoring them during con-		
	Drugs and crime facts, 1992 3/93 139561 State drug resources; 1992 national directory 5/92 134375 Catalog of selected Federal publications on illegal drug and alcohol abuse; 2nd edition 3/93 139562	Sı	titonal Crime Victimization irvey Criminal victimization in the U.S. 1991 12/92 139563 School crime: A National Crime Victimization Survey report 9/91 131645	0	Compendium of Federal Justice statistics, 1989 5/92 134730 Federal criminal case processing, 1980–90, with preliminary data for 1991 9/92 136945 Drug law violators, 1980–86: Federal oftenses and offenders 6/88 111763		ditional release 2/88 114730 AIDS and introvenous drug use 2/88 108620		
	Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse brochure 999092		prrections Drunk driving 9/92 134728		eneral	ъ.	3/86 100756		
	Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse rolodex card 999911	<u> </u>	Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990 7/92 134724 Census of State and Federal correctional facilities, 1990		Tracking offenders, 1988 6/91 129861 Report to the Nation on crime and justice: 2nd edition 6/88 105506		Drug Use Forecasting Drug Use Forecasting, third quarter, 1991 7/92 134 Drug Use Forecasting, annual		
	ct sheets		5/92 137003 Prisons and prisoners in the				report, 1991 12/92 13777		
	Drug data summary 11/92 999135	ш	United States 4/92 137002		ational Institute	В	ureau of		
	Drug use trends 5/92 999134 Drug testing in the criminal justice		Correctional populations in the United States, 1989		Justice	Jι	ustice Assistance		
0	system 3/92 999133 Street terms: Cocalne 11/91 999152		10/91 130445 Drugs and jail inmates, 1989 8/91 130836	_	The effect of drug testing in New Orleans 1/93 140090 Testing hair for illicit drug use		Drug testing guidelines and practices for juvenile probation and parole agencies		
	Street terms: Heroin		Profile of Jail Inmates, 1989 4/91 129097	П	1/93. 138539 State and local money laundering		4/92 136450		
	11/91 999153 Street terms: Marijuana 11/91 999151		Women in prison 3/91 127991 Violent State prisoners and their	0	control strategies 10/92 137315 Expedited drug case management		Multijurisdictional drug control task forces 1988–1990: Critical components of State drug control		
	Street terms: Costs and quantities of illicit drugs 11/91 999150	Ö	victims 7/90 124133 Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983 4/89 116261		programs 10/92 136879 Pretrial drug testing 9/92 137057	0	strategies 4/92 136840 Accountability in dispositions for		
	Ice 11/90 999130		Survey of youth in custody, 1987		Searching for answers: Research		juvenile drug offenders 3/92 134224		
Sŧ	elected bibliographies		9/88 113365		and evaluation on drugs and crime 7/92 137775		An introduction to DARE: Drug		
	Asset forfeiture/asset seizure 999127		Drug use and crime; State prison inmate survey, 1986 6/88 111940		Controlling street-level drug trafficking: Evidence from Oakland		Abuse Resistance Education 10/91 129862 Implications of DUF data for		
0	Crack, cocaine, and crime 999124 Designer drugs/clandestine		Recidivism of young parolees 5/87 104916		and Birmingham 6/92 136165 The police, drugs, and public housing 6/92 136316	_	TASC programs: Female. arrestees 10/91 129671		
_	laboratories 999122	La	w enforcement		Modern policing and the control of		Directory of State-Identified intervention/treatment programs		
	Drug testing 999160 Drug testing in the workplace 999161		Drug enforcement by police and sheriffs' departments, 1990		illegal drugs 5/92 133785 Techniques for the estimation of illicit drug-use prevalence: An		for drug-dependent offenders 7/91 130581		
	Drug trafficking and distribution 999126 Drug treatment in correctional		7/92 134505 Sheriffs' departments, 1990 2/92 133283	_	overview of relevant issues 5/92 133786		American Probation and Parole Association's drug testing guidelines a. d practices for adult		
_	settings 999123 Drug use and crime 999103		State and local police departments, 1990 2/92 133284		A comparison of urinalysis technologies for drug testing in criminal justice 6/91 132397		probation and parole agencies 7/91 129199		
	Gangs, drugs, and violence 999162	Co	ourts		Urine testing of detained juveniles		Access to criminal history records by TASC programs: A report on		
	Juveniles and drugs 999128 Minorities, drugs, and crime		Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1990 12/92 139560	0	to identify high-risk youths 5/90 119965 Prison programs for drug-involved		current practice and statutory authority 6/90 124138		
	999120 Women, drugs, and crime 999129		Recidivism of felons on probation, 1986–89 2/92 134177 Prosecution of felony arrests,		offenders 10/89 118316 The police and drugs		Estimating the cost of drug testing for a pretrial services program 6/89 118317		
_	rders must be limited	_	1988 2/92 130914 Felony sentences in State courts,		9/89 117447 Local level drug enforcement: New strategies 4/89 116751		Treatment alternatives to street crime: Implementing the model		
to tit	10 documents. Check les desired, fill in anks below, and mail		1988 12/90 126923 Felony defendants in large urban counties, 1988 4/90 122385		Mandatory and random drug testing in the Honolulu police department 2/89 117718		9/88 116322 Urinalysis as a part of a TASC program 7/88 115416		
-		er 8	& Clearinghouse, 1600 R	les	earch Boulevard, Rockv	ille	e, MD 20850.		
	Please add my name to t ame		•	it.	Title				
O	rganization				1106				
A									
Ċ	ity, State, ZIP				Daytime Ph	on	e		

New from BJS: The first comprehensive report in 5 years on State prison inmates' drug use and crime

Survey of State Prison Inmates, 1991

·tells you ---



- whether drug offenders were more likely male or female, young or old, black, white, or Hispanic
- how long drug offenders were sentenced to prison
- how many prison inmates' parents used drugs
- what types of drugs prison inmates used and whether they used different drugs than the prison inmates surveyed in 1986
- what proportion of prison inmates were under the influence of drugs at the time of the offense compared to those in 1986

- whether drug users were more likely to commit crime for monetary gain than other types of crime
- whether prison inmates were more likely to be using crack or powder cocaine at the time of the offense
- what types of prison inmates used crack cocaine as opposed to powder cocaine or other drugs — were they males, females, blacks, whites, Hispanics, young adults, violent offenders?
- whether gang members sold drugs as a part of gang activities
- how many inmates were in drug treatment or had been in treatment in the past
- what proportion of inmates had injected drugs for nonmedical reasons and how many of these were HIVpositive.

Order now:

Check order form box 3 on the last page of this report and send to the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse



Drugs and Crime Facts, 1992



U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

BULK RATE
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
DOJ BJS
Permit No. G-91

Washington, D.C. 20531