

## Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin

Civil Justice Survey of State Courts, 1996

# **Civil Trial Cases and Verdicts in Large Counties, 1996**

By Carol J. DeFrances, Ph.D. and Marika F.X. Litras, Ph.D. BJS Statisticians

State courts of general jurisdiction in the Nation's 75 largest counties disposed of by trial over 15,000 tort, contract, and real property rights cases between January and December 1996. About 70% of the trial cases were decided by a jury and 30% by a judge.

Tort claims comprised 66% of the trial cases disposed. Plaintiffs won in 52% of trial cases and were awarded \$3 billion in compensatory and punitive damages. The median total award was \$33,000. The majority of trial cases (60%) were disposed of in less than 2 years.

These are some of the findings from a study of civil trial cases in State courts involving tort, contract, and real property cases in the Nation's 75 largest counties. This study expands the 1992 civil jury trial study (*Civil Jury Cases and Verdicts in Large Counties*, NCJ 154346) by specifically sampling bench and jury trial cases. The sample of civil trial cases excluded civil cases outside tort, contract, and real property cases, Federal trials, trials in counties outside the 75 largest, and trials in State courts of limited jurisdiction.

### Highlights

Tracking tort, contract, and real property cases disposed of by trial in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996



\*Includes directed verdicts, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

• During 1996 over 15,000 tort, contract, and real property cases were disposed of by trial verdict in the Nation's 75 largest counties. About 70% of these cases were decided by a jury.

• Two-thirds of disposed trial cases involved tort claims and about onethird involved contractual issues. Tort cases (85%) were more likely than contract cases (36%) to be disposed of by jury trial.

• Individuals were plaintiffs in 82% of all trial cases disposed of during 1996. Individuals sued businesses in 37% of all trial cases and other individuals in an additional 34%.

• Overall, plaintiffs won in 52% of trial cases. Plaintiffs won in bench cases (62%) more than in jury cases (49%), and in contract cases (62%) more than in either tort (48%) or real property cases (32%).

• An estimated \$3 billion in compensatory and punitive damages were awarded to plaintiff winners in trial cases. Juries awarded an estimated total of \$2.4 billion to plaintiff winners while \$629 million was awarded by judges. The median total award for plaintiff winners in jury cases was \$35,000 and in bench cases, \$28,000.

• Punitive damages, estimated at \$637 million, were awarded to 5% of plaintiff winners in trial cases, with a median of \$40,000.

• About 70% of bench cases and 56% of jury cases were disposed of in less than 2 years. The median processing time from filing to final verdict or judgment was 22.1 months for jury cases and 17.8 months for bench cases.

September 1999, NCJ 173426

#### Cases that reach trial

During calendar year 1996, State courts of general jurisdiction in the Nation's 75 largest counties disposed of an estimated 15,600 tort, contract, and real property rights trial cases. Previous studies conducted by the Bureau of Justice Statistics found that a majority of tort, contract, and real property cases are resolved prior to going to trial, and only a small percentage are actually disposed of by jury or bench trial verdict. (See Tort Cases in Large Counties, NCJ 153177, April 1995 and Contract Cases in Large Counties, NCJ 156664, February 1996).

#### Table 1. Civil trial case types disposed of in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

largest counties, 1990		
Case Type	Number of all trial cases <sup>a</sup>	Percent
All	15,638	100.0%
Tort cases	10,278	65.7%
Automobile Premises liability Product liability	4,994 2,232	31.9 14.3
Asbestos Breast implant Other	183 7 231	1.2 0.0 1.5
Intentional tort Medical malpractice	491 1,201	3.1 7.7
Professional malpractice Slander/libel Other negligence	186 109 645	1.2 0.7 4.1
Contract cases	4,850	31.0%
Fraud	668	4.3
Seller plaintiff	1,637	10.5
Buyer plaintiff	832	5.3
Mortgage foreclosure	65	0.4
Employment discrimination		2.0
Other employment dispute		2.0
Rental/lease	500	3.2
Tortious interference	236	1.5
Other contract	291	1.9
Real property cases	510	3.3%
Eminent domain Other real property <sup>ь</sup>	229 281	1.5 1.8
Noto: Data for case type we	ro ovoilabl	o for

Note: Data for case type were available for 99.2% of the 15,766 trial cases. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. <sup>a</sup>All trial cases include bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgment notwithstanding, and jury trials for defaulted

defendants. <sup>b</sup>Includes title disputes, bounding disputes, and other real property cases. Two-thirds (66%) of civil trial cases disposed of in the Nation's 75 largest counties during 1996 involved a tort claim, in which plaintiffs alleged injury, loss, or damage from negligent or intentional acts of defendants. Cases dealing with allegations of breach of contract (contract cases) accounted for 31% of trial cases, and claims dealing with the ownership of real property (real property rights cases) about 3% (table 1).

The most frequent kinds of civil cases disposed by trial verdict were automobile accident cases (32%) and premises liability cases (14%) which allege harm from inadequately maintained or dangerous property.<sup>1</sup> Of all civil cases disposed of by trial verdict, seller plaintiff cases accounted for 11%, medical malpractice cases 8%, and product liability 3%.

While most civil trial cases involved a single type of claim, about 8% of all trial cases had a second civil claim associated with it (not shown in a table).

<sup>1</sup>The term *verdict* is used throughout the report to refer to jury verdicts and judgments entered by a judge.

#### Types of cases disposed by trial

Overall, about 68% of all trial cases were decided by a jury (figure 1). Tort cases (85%) were more likely than contract cases (36%) or real property cases (21%) to be decided by jury trial. The majority of contract (61%) and real property cases (78%) were disposed of by bench trial.

Nine out of ten medical malpractice and asbestos product liability cases disposed of by trial were decided by a jury (not shown in a table). All seven breast implant product liability cases and the vast majority of automobile tort cases were disposed of by jury trial. Among contract cases, two-thirds of employment discrimination suits were decided by a jury.

Bench trials disposed of 77% of seller plaintiff cases, 72% of rental lease cases and 53% of fraud cases (not shown in a table). Three-fourths of real property rights cases disposed of by trial also were decided by a judge. Buyer plaintiff and tortious interference cases were evenly disposed of by jury (48%) and bench trials (48%).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Tortious interference cases are tort claims arising out of contractual disputes. In this report, tortious interference cases are classified as contract cases.

Tort, contract, and real property cases disposed of by jury or bench trial in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996



Note: Data were missing for type of case in 127 cases and for type of trial in 23 cases. Not shown are cases disposed of by directed verdict, judgment notwithstanding, and jury verdicts for defaulted defendants. These cases make up 3% of all cases, 2% of tort cases, 3% of contract cases, and 1% of real property cases.

Figure 1

#### Type of litigants: Plaintiffs

In 82% of all trial cases, the plaintiff was an individual.<sup>3</sup> Businesses were plaintiffs in 16% of all trial cases, government agencies, 2%, and hospitals, 0.4% (table 2). In 91% of jury trials, the plaintiff was an individual compared to 59% of bench trials. About a third of bench trials had a business as the plaintiff.

Because tort litigation primarily involves personal injury, over 95% of tort trial cases had an individual as the plaintiff (table 3). In 55% of all contract trial cases, the plaintiff was an individual. Among specific types of contract cases, the type of plaintiff varied. In over half of seller plaintiff cases (66%), mortgage foreclosure cases (58%), tortious interference cases (55%), and rental/lease cases (51%), the plaintiff was a business. In 4% of other employment disputes, the plaintiff was a government agency. Two percent of seller plaintiff and other contract cases had a hospital as the plaintiff.

#### Type of litigants: Defendants

Defendants in trial cases were primarily divided between businesses (48%) and individuals (40%).<sup>4</sup> Of all trial cases, governmental agencies were named

### Table 2. Type of plaintiffs or defendants, by disposition of civil trial cases in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

				Plaint	iffs	
Type of disposition	Number	Total	Individual	Government	Business <sup>a</sup>	Hospital <sup>₅</sup>
All trial cases	15,601	100%	81.5%	1.8%	16.3%	0.4%
Jury trial cases Bench trial cases Other trial cases <sup>c</sup>	10,598 4,609 394	100 100 100	91.1 59.1 83.1	0.9 4.1 0.7	7.8 35.8 16.1	0.2 0.9 
				Defend	ants	
	Number	Total	Individual	Government	Business <sup>a</sup>	Hospital⁵
All trial cases	15,601	100%	39.8%	6.5%	48.1%	5.6%
Jury trials cases Bench trial cases Other trial cases °	10,598 4,609 394	100 100 100	40.7 38.9 26.3	6.9 5.7 5.4	45.2 53.5 61.4	7.2 1.9 6.9

Note: Plaintiff or defendant type for each case is whichever type appears first in this list: 1) hospital/medical company, (2) business, (3) governmental agency, and (4) individual. For example, any case involving a hospital defendant is categorized as a case with a "hospital defendant" even if there were also business, individual, and government defendants in the case. Data on type of plaintiff and defendant were available for 99.8% of all trial cases and jury cases, 99.6% of bench trial cases, and all other trial cases with a known case type. --No cases recorded.

<sup>a</sup>Includes insurance companies, banks, other businesses and organizations.

<sup>b</sup>Includes medical companies.

<sup>c</sup>The number of other cases includes cases with a directed verdict, those with a judgment notwithstanding the verdict and those with a jury trial for defaulted defendants.

as a defendant in 7%, and hospitals in 6% (table 2). In jury trial cases, 45% of the defendants were businesses and 41% were individuals. Businesses (54%) were more likely than individuals, (39%) to be named as defendants in bench trial cases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Each civil trial case, regardless of the number of plaintiff types involved, was given one of four plaintiff designations from the following hierarchy: hospital, business, government, and individual. A case with multiple plaintiffs was assigned the plaintiff type which appeared first in the hierarchy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>A case with multiple defendants was assigned the defendant type which appeared first in the hierarchy.

In tort trial cases, 43% of defendants were individuals, and another 42% were businesses. Individuals (63%) were more likely than businesses (31%) to be named as defendants in automobile trial cases. In asbestos (100%) and other product liability (97%) cases, virtually all defendants were businesses (table 3). About 63% of defendants in contract trial cases were businesses. In buyer plaintiff cases, 82% of the defendants were businesses, and in tortious interference cases, 74% of defendants were businesses.

In 25% of employment discrimination cases and 13% of intentional tort cases decided by trial, the defendant was a governmental agency. Hospitals were named as defendants in 56% of medical malpractice cases.

### Table 3. Type of plaintiffs or defendants, by selected types of civil trial cases in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

			Pla	intiffs		Defendants				
Type of case	Total	Individual	Government	Business <sup>a</sup>	Hospital <sup>ь</sup>	Total	Individual	Government	Business <sup>a</sup>	Hospital⁵
All trial cases <sup>c</sup>	100%	81.5%	1.8%	16.3%	0.4%	100%	39.8%	6.5%	48.1%	5.6%
Tort cases	100%	95.9%	0.4%	3.6%	0.1%	100%	43.4%	7.0%	41.6%	8.0%
Automobile	100	96.3	0.3	3.4	0.1	100	62.7	6.1	30.8	0.3
Premises liability Product liability	100	96.8	0.4	2.8		100	14.1	10.4	71.4	4.2
Asbestos	100	99.5		0.5		100			100.0	
Breast implant	100	100.0				100			84.8	15.2
Other	100	89.3	1.1	9.6		100	1.0		96.8	2.2
Intentional tort	100	94.9	0.4	4.6		100	46.5	13.4	37.9	2.1
Medical malpractice	100	98.9	0.2	0.7	0.3	100	40.6	1.1	2.7	55.6
Professional malpractice	100	87.9	2.3	9.3	0.5	100	45.4	1.6	47.4	5.6
Slander/libel	100	91.3		8.7		100	34.3	2.8	62.9	
Other negligence	100	89.2	0.8	10.0		100	27.3	15.5	55.5	1.7
Contract cases	100%	54.9%	0.8%	43.2%	1.1%	100%	31.9%	3.8%	63.0%	1.3%
Fraud	100	68.2	0.6	30.3	0.8	100	32.8	1.1	66.1	
Seller plaintiff	100	32.2	0.3	65.7	1.8	100	43.4	0.9	54.9	0.8
Buyer plaintiff	100	72.9		26.0	1.1	100	16.0	1.2	82.4	0.3
Mortgage foreclosure	100	42.1		57.9		100	30		66.9	3.1
Employment discrimination	100	93.8	1.5	4.7		100	5.1	24.8	65.5	4.5
Other employment dispute	100	82.4	3.7	13.3	0.6	100	14.1	9.9	69.1	6.9
Rental/lease	100	47.1	1.7	50.8	0.4	100	46.9	2.9	49.2	1.0
Tortious interference	100	44.1	0.5	54.9	0.4	100	25.1	0.8	73.6	0.4
Other contract	100	55.0	0.4	42.7	1.8	100	37.9	9.2	51.2	1.7
Real property cases	100%	43.1%	40.8%	15.9%	0.2%	100%	43.2%	20.7%	36.0%	
Eminent domain	100	7.2	86.8	5.9		100	25.1	26.1	48.9	
Other real property <sup>d</sup>	100	72.0	3.7	23.9	0.4	100	57.8	16.5	25.7	

Note: Data on type of plaintiff and defendant were available for 99.8% of trial cases with a known case type.

Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

organizations. <sup>b</sup>Includes medical companies.

Plaintiff or defendant type for each case is whichever type appears first in this list: (1) hospital/medical company, (2) business, (3) governmental agency, and (4) individual. <sup>c</sup>All trial cases include cases disposed by jury trial, bench trial, directed verdicts, judgment not withstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

For example, any case involving a hospital defendant is categorized as a case with a "hospital defendant" even if there were also business, individual, and government defendants in the case.

Includes title disputes, bounding disputes, and other real property cases.

--No cases recorded.

#### Who sues whom?

Individuals sued businesses in 37% of all trial cases and other individuals in an additional 34% of trial cases (table 4). In 9% of trial cases a non-individual sued a business. The most common type of jury trial case involved an individual suing a business (39%) or another individual (38%).

Bench trial cases were divided among an individual suing a business (29%), an individual suing another individual (25%), and a non-individual suing a business (22%). In another 12% of bench trials, a non-individual sued an individual.

#### Multiple plaintiffs and defendants

In 1996 tort, contract, and real property trial cases disposed of in State general jurisdiction courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties involved over 56,000 litigants. The median number of litigants per case was 3; the average was 4.

Trial cases with multiple defendants were more prevalent than those with multiple plaintiffs. About three-fourths of all trial cases disposed of had one plaintiff, and half had one defendant. Half of asbestos product liability cases had 18 or more defendants per case. The seven breast implant product liability trial cases had an average of 8 defendants (not shown in a table).

### Table 4. Pairings of primary litigants in civil trial cases, by selected case types in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

	All c	ases	Jury	cases	Bencl	n cases	Oth	er casesª
Plaintiff versus defendant <sup>b</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All trial cases	15,601	100.0%	10,598	100.0%	4,609	100.0%	394	100.0%
Individual versus —								
Individual	5,307	34.0%	4,057	38.3%	1,167	25.3%	82	20.9%
Government	871	5.6	694	6.5	156	3.4	21	5.4
Business <sup>c</sup>	5,687	36.5	4,150	39.2	1,341	29.1	197	49.9
Hospital	843	5.4	753	7.1	62	1.3	27	6.9
Individual and non-individual <sup>d</sup> versus —								
Individual	143	0.9%	75	0.7%	63	1.4%	5	1.4%
Government	16	0.1	10	0.1	6	0.1		
Business°	344	2.2	204	1.9	128	2.8	12	3.1
Hospital	12	0.1	10	0.1	2	0.0		
Non-individual <sup>d</sup> versus —								
Individual	759	4.9%	181	1.7%	563	12.2%	16	4.0%
Government	124	0.8	25	0.2	99	2.1		
Business <sup>°</sup>	1,470	9.4	438	4.1	999	21.7	33	8.4
Hospital	24	0.2			24	0.5		

Note: Data on litigant pairings were available for 99.8% of all cases, 99.8% of jury cases, 99.6% of bench cases, and 100% of other trial cases with a known case type.

Detail may not sum to total due to rounding.

--No cases recorded.

<sup>a</sup>The number of other cases includes cases with a directed verdict, those with a judgment not withstanding the verdict, and those with a jury trial for defaulted defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Plaintiff or defendant type for each case is whichever type appears first in

this list: 1) hospital/medical company; 2) corporate/business litigants; 3) government agencies; 4) individuals. For example, any case involving a hospital defendant is categorized as a case with a "hospital defendant" even if there were also business, individual, and government defendants in the case.

<sup>°</sup>Business litigants include insurance companies, banks, other businesses, and other organizations.

<sup>d</sup>May include government, business, and/or hospital litigants.

#### **Trial verdicts**

Overall, plaintiffs won in 52% of trial cases. Plaintiff success varied by type of trial and type of case. Plaintiffs were more likely to win in bench trial cases (62%) than in jury trial cases (49%). They were also more likely to win in contract cases (62%) more than in either tort (48%) or real property (32%) cases (table 5).

In 49% of jury trial cases, the defendant was found liable. Plaintiffs were more successful in tortious interference cases (67%) and automobile cases (57%) than in other product liability (31%) and medical malpractice (23%) cases. Plaintiffs won 55% of the asbestos product liability cases and 34% of the 7 breast implant cases.

In bench trial cases, plaintiffs won 86% of mortgage foreclosure cases, 79% of seller plaintiff cases and 70% of other product liability cases. Judges decided in favor of the plaintiff least often in eminent domain cases (12%) and employment discrimination cases (26%).

#### **Trial awards**

During 1996 plaintiff winners in civil trials were awarded an estimated total of \$3 billion in compensatory and punitive damages in the Nation's 75 largest counties. Nearly two-thirds of this total amount (just over \$2 billion) was awarded in tort cases alone. The median amount awarded to plaintiff winners for all trial cases was \$33,000. About 16% of plaintiff winners were awarded over \$250,000 in total damages while an estimated 6% were awarded \$1 million or more (table 6).

	All trial	cases	Jui	y trials	Ben	ch trials	Othe	er trials <sup>a</sup>
-		Plaintiff		Plaintiff		Plaintiff		Plaintiff
Case type	Number	winners⁵	Number	winners <sup>b</sup>	Number	winners <sup>b</sup>	Number	winners <sup>b</sup>
All trial cases	15,613	52.0%	10,596	48.7%	4,625	61.6%	392	29.1%
Tort cases	10,259	48.2%	8,751	47.5%	1,271	56.9%	237	25.4%
Automobile	4,994	57.5	4,437	57.3	479	62.9	77	35.2
Premises liability Product liability	2,229	39.6	1,796	37.9	352	52.4	81	21.4
Asbestos	174	55.6	172	55.1			2	100.0
Breast implant	7	34.2	7	34.2				
Other .	231	37.2	194	30.9	34	70.3	3	69.2
Intentional tort	491	57.0	351	55.9	128	62.9	11	21.9
Medical malpractice	1,195	23.4	1,112	23.0	53	38.2	30	10.7
Professional malpractice	185	42.6	110	36.2	68	54.3	7	29.0
Slander/libel	109	34.2	78	36.0	25	35.9	5	0.0
Other negligence	645	50.8	494	51.9	132	50.9	19	19.2
Contract cases	4,844	62.4%	1,740	55.6%	2,955	67.8%	150	34.1%
Fraud	668	57.7	290	57.6	352	59.1	25	39.8
Seller plaintiff	1,636	75.7	355	64.6	1,254	79.3	27	52.5
Buyer plaintiff	829	55.7	399	49.0	398	65.2	32	22.4
Mortgage foreclosure	65	80.1	7	85.6	54	85.5	4	0.0
Employment discrimination	311	41.1	208	47.6	87	26.0	16	40.0
Other employment dispute	309	50.4	137	53.4	153	52.3	19	13.2
Rental/lease	500	62.7	134	47.2	359	68.9	7	40.1
Tortious interference	236	56.9	112	66.7	113	46.8	11	60.1
Other contract	290	52.4	97	60.3	185	49.9	7	13.5
Real property cases	510	31.8%	105	35.7%	399	30.5%	6	49.1%
Eminent domain	229	19.6	73	32.5	154	12.1	3	100.0
	281	41.8	32	42.8	245	42.1	3	0.0

<sup>a</sup>The number of other cases includes cases with a directed verdict, judgment

Compensatory damages include all financial losses that are the result of the defendant's conduct. In tort and contract cases, this refers typically to economic losses for which trial winners receive compensation (sometimes called "special" damages). In tort cases, however, compensatory damages refer also to noneconomic losses, such as pain, suffering, and emotional distress (sometimes called "general" damages). Economic and noneconomic compensatory damages cannot be distinguished in the data for detailed analysis.

Included also in the total award amount are punitive damages. Awards for punitive damages are almost exclusively reserved for tort claims in which the defendant's conduct was grossly negligent or intentional.

Table 6. Final award amounts for civil trial cases with plaintiff winners in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

	Number of all trial cases with	Final amount to plaintiff wir			of plaintiff ases with ards —
Case type	a plaintiff winner <sup>a</sup>	Total (in thou:	Median sands)	Over \$250,000	\$1 million or more
All trial cases	7,892	\$3,062,874	\$33	15.9%	5.5%
Tort cases	4,879	\$2,099,723	\$31	16.9%	5.8%
Automobile	2,853	557,564	18	8.7	3.4
Premises liability Product liability	871	329,289	57	22.0	5.1
Asbestos	81	46,230	309	50.6	12.1
Breast implant	1	1,108	1,108*	100.0	100.0
Other	81	57,146	176	40.5	15.3
Intentional tort	280	81,616	32	19.3	7.8
Medical malpractice	272	336,315	286	51.0	20.2
Professional malpractice	79	21,712	86	23.2	7.6
Slander/libel	37	10,412	22	23.1	9.0
Other negligence	323	658,330	76	27.2	10.5
Contract cases	2,953	\$925,859	\$37	14.0%	4.7%
Fraud	382	262,729	49	17.1	7.2
Seller plaintiff	1,225	223,155	34	10.6	2.2
Buyer plaintiff	449	113,201	23	10.5	4.2
Mortgage foreclosure	50	3,998	51		
Employment discrimination		55,883	200	43.1	11.6
Other employment dispute	153	50,533	53	23.8	6.3
Rental/lease	304	35,838	32	9.2	3.9
Tortious interference	131	116,749	61	21.6	11.6
Other contract	140	63,773	49	19.9	11.0
Real property cases <sup>ь</sup>	60	\$37,292	\$86	33.6%	12.2%

Note: Data for case type and final awards were available for 97.1%

of all plaintiff winners. Award data were rounded to the nearest thousand.

Final amount awarded includes both compensatory (reduced for contributory negligence) and punitive damage awards.

--No cases recorded.

\*Not a median but the actual amount awarded.

<sup>a</sup>The number of trial cases includes jury and bench cases, cases with a directed verdict, those with a judgment not withstanding the verdict and those with a jury trial for defaulted defendants. In one jury trial case, the plaintiff winner(s) was awarded over \$40 billion. This case was excluded from the above analysis.

<sup>b</sup>Eminent domain cases are not calculated among final awards because there is always an award; the issue is how much the defendant (whose property is being condemned) will receive for the property.

Looking at particular types of cases, plaintiff winners in medical malpractice cases received a median award of \$286,000, with 1 in 5 receiving awards of \$1 million or more. In contract cases involving employment discrimination, the median award was \$200,000. In tort cases involving automobiles, the most common type of civil trial, the median award among plaintiff winners was \$18,000.

#### Defendant winners of compensatory and punitive damage awards

In cases with claims and counterclaims, the distinction between plaintiff and defendant becomes less clear. Therefore, it is possible that one party originally named as a defendant countersues the plaintiff and actually wins damages. In 1996 the defendant won damages on a countersuit in about 2% of tort, contract, and real property rights cases (excluding eminent domain cases) disposed of by trial verdict in the Nation's largest 75 counties.

Defendants in tort, contract, and real property cases (excluding eminent domain cases) won an estimated \$91 million in compensatory and punitive damages on counterclaims. The median award amount was \$17,000. Fifty-four percent of the defendant winner cases were decided by bench trial, 44% by jury trial, and 2% by directed verdict. Of trial cases won by defendants, 17% involved a counterclaim associated with a buyer plaintiff case, 16% with a seller plaintiff or auto tort case, and 14% with a rental/lease case.

Punitive damages were awarded to 21 defendant winners in trial cases, totaling almost \$3 million. Half of defendants receiving punitive damages were awarded \$20,000 or more. Among the 21 defendant winners receiving punitive damages, 18 were awarded by jury trial, including 3 after a judge's directed verdict and 3 by bench trial. The largest punitive damage amount was \$750,000 to three defendants who countersued in a buyer plaintiff case.

#### Largest damage award reversed on appeal

During 1996 the largest amount of damages awarded to a plaintiff winner among the sampled cases in the 45 counties involved a case with Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos as defendants. In this tort claim Rogelia Roxas alleged that the Marcoses had confiscated crates of gold bullion allegedly found by Roxas.

A jury in Honolulu awarded \$22 billion in compensatory damages that after the jury verdict had increased with interest to over \$40 billion. The jury did not award punitive damages. The case took nearly 8½ years to process from the time it was filed in March 1988 to its jury verdict in July 1996. The actual jury trial lasted 15 days.

On November 17, 1998, the Hawaii Supreme Court reversed the \$41 billion judgment against Ferdinand and Imelda Marcos. The court found insufficient evidence that Roxas had actually discovered the gold bullion while treasure hunting north of Manila in 1971.

Source: *Roxas* v *Marcos*, 89 Hawaii 91, 969 P. 2d. 1209 (1998).

#### Jury versus bench awards

The data reveal that final award amounts also varied by whether the case was decided by a jury or a judge. This was particularly true for contract cases in which juries awarded a median amount of \$80,000 compared to the \$25,000 median amount awarded by judges (table 7).

Among contract cases, juries awarded plaintiff winners in fraud cases a median of \$79,000 while judges awarded a median of \$32,000. In seller plaintiff cases, a median of \$62,000 was awarded by juries compared to \$26,000 by judges.

### Table 7. Final award amounts for civil jury and bench trial cases with plaintiff winners in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

		Jury ca	ases with a p	plaintiff winn	er		Bench c	ases with a	n plaintiff wir	nner
	Jury	Final amo	unt	Percent	of	Bench	Final amo	unt	Percer	nt of
	cases	awarded		cases w	/ith	cases	awarded		cases	with
	with a	(in thousa	nds)	final aw	ards —	with a	(in thousa	nds)	final av	wards —
	plaintiff			Over	\$1 million	plaintiff			Over	\$1 million
Case type	winner <sup>a</sup>	Total	Median	\$250,000	or more	winner	Total	Median	\$250,000	
All trial cases	5,060	\$2,408,298	\$35	19.3%	7.0%	2,725	\$629,139	\$28	9.2%	2.7%
Tort cases	4,107	\$1,828,026	\$30	17.2%	6.0%	713	\$259,556	\$34	13.8%	5.2%
Automobile	2,526	474,921	18	8.4	3.0	299	80,985	20	12.2	7.1
Premises liability	677	178,085	57	22.2	5.3	177	145,374	57	18.0	4.5
Product liability										
Asbestos	79	43,430	227	49.4	9.8					
Breast implant	1	1,108	1,108*	100.0	100.0					
Other	56	54,774	379	56.9	22.1	24	2,362	56	4.2	
Intentional tort	197	69,474	31	23.0	8.2	81	11,714	32	9.4	7.0
Medical malpractice	249	328,551	254	50.0	22.1	20	6,527	454	55.4	
Professional malpractice	40	13,970	87	31.2	9.9	37	7,680	86	15.9	5.4
Slander/libel	28	9,317	25	23.4	11.9	9	1,094	15	22.1	
Other negligence	254	654,397	108	33.9	13.4	66	3,820	36	2.9	
Contract cases	941	\$576,958	\$80	27.8%	11.2%	1,963	\$335,605	\$25	7.0%	1.6%
Fraud	164	87,618	79	28.3	10.2	207	167,814	32	6.0	4.2
Seller plaintiff	228	129,510	62	21.9	7.5	983	92,055	26	7.8	1.0
Buyer plaintiff	185	96,564	49	19.2	9.5	257	16,357	15	4.6	1.0
Mortgage foreclosure	6	375	58			44	3,624	51		
Employment discrimination		52,575	250	48.0	14.1	17	1,595	75	11.7	
Other employment dispute	72	45,193	183	39.3	13.4	78	4,832	18	8.6	
Rental/lease	60	14,168	55	22.0	7.9	241	21,665	28	6.1	2.9
Tortious interference	72	112,443	123	34.5	21.1	53	2,535	24	2.4	
Other contract	56	38,513	100	29.7	18.8	83	25,129	27	13.5	5.8
Real property cases <sup>ь</sup>	11	\$3,314	\$173	35.3%	15.2%	49	\$33,978	\$58	33.2%	11.6%

Note: Data for case type and final awards in jury trial cases were \*Not a median but the actual amount awarded.

a <sup>a</sup>In one jury trial case, the plaintiff winner(s) was awarded over \$40 billion. This case was excluded from the above analysis.

available for 98% of all jury plaintiff winners. Data for case type and final awards in bench trial cases were available for 95.6% of all bench plaintiff winners. Final amount awarded includes both compensatory (reduced for contributory negligence) and punitive damage awards. Award data were rounded to the nearest thousand. --No cases recorded.

<sup>b</sup>Eminent domain cases are not calculated among final awards because there is always an award; the issue is how much the defendant (whose property is being condemned) will receive for the property. Among tort cases juries awarded significantly more than judges in other product liability and other negligence cases.

In several types of cases, juries more often than judges awarded damages of \$1 million or more. Juries awarded \$1 million or more for damages in 22% of medical malpractice cases and 14% of employment discrimination cases. By contrast, in neither of these types of cases did judges award total damages of \$1 million or more.

#### Punitive damage awards

Of the 7,892 trial cases in which the plaintiff won, about 5% (360 cases) received punitive damages as part of the final award, totaling over \$600 million and accounting for about 21% of the \$3 billion awarded to plaintiffs overall.

The median punitive damage amount awarded to plaintiff winners was \$40,000. Twenty-one percent of punitive damage awards were over \$250,000, and 7% were \$1 million or more (table 8).

In 24% of intentional tort cases and 19% of employment discrimination cases, punitive damages were awarded to plaintiff winners.

### Table 8. Punitive damage awards in civil trial cases for plaintiff winnersin the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

			Trial cases	with plain	tiff winners	
	Number	Percent	Amount of pu damages aw	unitive arded	Percent of with puniti	
	awarded	receiving	(in thousand	s)	damages -	
	punitive	punitive			Over	\$1 million
Case type	damages <sup>a</sup>	damages	Total	Median	\$250,000	or more
All trial cases	360	4.5%	\$637,576	\$40	21.2%	7.2%
Tort cases	162	3.3%	\$462,650	\$38	20.7%	9.8%
Automobile	20	0.7	2.347	25	24.7	
Premises liability	40	4.5	13,501	75	14.1	14.1
Product liability			,			
Asbestos	3	3.2	3,377	1,100	100.0	100.0
Breast implant						
Other	11	12.8	4,407	462	81.3	
Intentional tort	67	24.0	7,035	16	7.7	1.5
Medical malpractice	3	1.1	7,675	2,500	100.0	100.0
Professional malpractice	4	4.9	227	75		
Slander/libel	6	17.0	89	15		
Other negligence	8	2.4	423,993	148	38.6	38.6
Contract cases	189	6.3%	\$173,581	\$40	21.8%	5.3%
Fraud	59	15.4	75,977	39	10.6	4.9
Seller plaintiff	16	1.3	38,992	27	26.0	7.8
Buyer plaintiff	41	8.8	31,398	30	25.4	4.2
Mortgage foreclosure						
Employment discrimination	n 25	19.4	11,619	205	49.4	8.0
Other employment dispute	19	12.5	3,588	88	25.5	
Rental/lease	5	1.5	157	38		
Tortious interference	16	11.7	10,156	54	8.0	8.0
Other contract	9	5.9	1,693	15	22.5	11.2
Real property cases <sup>b</sup> Note: Data on case type and	9	7.9%	\$1,345	\$1	18.3%	

Note: Data on case type and amount of punitive damages awarded were

available for 360 of the 364 plaintiff winner trial cases awarded punitive damages.

Award data were rounded to the nearest \$1,000. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

In this study, cases are classified by the primary case type, though many cases involve multiple claims (that is, contract and tort). Under laws in almost all States, only tort claims qualify for punitive damages. If a contract or real property case involved punitive damages, it involved a related tort claim.

<sup>a</sup>The number of trial cases includes jury cases, bench cases, cases with a directed verdict, those with a judgment not withstanding the verdict, and those with a jury trial for defaulted defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Excludes eminent domain cases.

In 1996, juries in the Nation's 75 largest counties awarded 212 punitive damage awards that totaled over \$545 million (not shown in a table). The median amount awarded to plaintiff winners in jury trial cases was \$50,000 (table 9).

Among jury cases, 8% of the punitive damage awards were \$1 million or more. Plaintiffs won punitive damage awards in 11% of contract cases compared to 4% of tort cases (not shown in a table).

In 1996 judges awarded over \$91 million in punitive damages in 136 cases disposed of in the Nation's 75 largest counties (not shown in table). The median amount awarded was \$38,000 and 6% of the punitive damage awards were \$1 million or more. Among bench trials, plaintiff winners were more likely to win punitive damage awards in tort cases (8%) than contract cases (4%) (not shown in a table). The median award for tort cases awarded punitive damages by judges was \$75,000 and for contract cases was \$30,000.

### Table 9. Punitive damages awarded to plaintiff winners in jury and bench trials in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

	•					
	Punitive dan	nage awarded	to plaintiff v	vinners in j	ury and be	nch trial
		Bench			Percent c	
	Jury cases	cases	Median	damages	with punit	
	awarded	awarded	awarded		damages	
	punitive	punitive	(in thous		million or	
Case time	damages	damages	<u> </u>	,		
Case type	damages	damages	Jury	Bench	Jury	Bench
All trial cases	212	136	\$50	\$38	8.2%	6.2%
Tort cases	104	58	\$27	\$75	9.8%	9.8%
Automobile	18	1	25	50*		
Premises liability	9	30	20	100		18.5
Product liability	9	30	1	100		10.5
Asbestos	3		1,100		100.0	
Breast implant						
Other	9	2	471	5		
Intentional tort	46	21	4	25	2.2	
Medical malpractice	3		2,500		100.0	
Professional malpractice	4		75			
Slander/libel	6		15			
Other negligence	5	3	138,000	37	60.6	
Contract cases	104	73	\$76	\$30	6.9%	4.0%
Fraud	25	27	20	39		10.9
Seller plaintiff	7	9	300	27	17.6	
Buyer plaintiff	21	19	254	30	7.9	
Mortgage foreclosure						
Employment discrimination		1	259	38*	8.5	
Other employment dispute	11	9	127	6		
Rental/lease	4	1	31	50*		
Tortious interference	8	3	38	39	15.3	
Other contract	5	4	27	55	20.4	
Real property cases <sup>a</sup>	4	6	\$349	\$1		
Real property cases <sup>a</sup> Note: Data on case type and p for 212 of 214 plaintiff winner j	ounitive dama ury cases, an	ages awarded	were availal	ole		
bench cases awarded punitive Award data were rounded to th Detail may not sum to total bea No cases recorded.	ne nearest \$1					
*Not the median but the actual In this study, cases are classifi cases involve multiple claims ( all States, only tort claims qual If a contract or real property ca	ed by the print that is, contra lify for punitiv	mary case typ act and tort). e damages.	Under laws i	any n almost		

If a contract or real property case involved punitive damages

it involved a related tort claim. <sup>a</sup>Excludes eminent domain cases.

### The largest punitive damage award

The largest punitive damage award to plaintiff winners in the 45 sampled counties was \$138 million awarded by a jury in Harris County, Texas. It was a negligence case involving 22 plaintiffs and 6 defendants. The jury also awarded \$5.4 million in compensatory damages. The case took over 3.5 years to process from the filing of the complaint to the final disposition. The actual trial lasted 21 days.

### Compensatory versus punitive damage awards

In about a third of civil trial cases in which punitive damages were awarded to the plaintiff winner, the amount of punitive damages exceeded the amount awarded for compensatory damages (table 10). In 15% of trial cases in which plaintiff winners were awarded punitive damages, the amount was twice the amount of the compensatory damage.

In a third of jury and bench trial cases awarded punitive damages, the punitive award was greater than the compensatory damage award. Punitive awards were twice as much as compensatory awards in jury trials (20%) more often than bench trials (7%) (not shown in a table).

In tort cases with punitive damage awards, the punitive award exceeded the compensatory award in 56% of bench cases compared to 29% of jury cases.

### Table 10. Compensatory and total award amounts for plaintiff winners who were awarded punitive damages in civil trials in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

	Number of cases with a				cases with p	unitive damage punitive awards –
	plaintiff winner awarded punitive	Total damage	award amount (	in thousands)	Greater than compensatory	At least 2 times greater than
Case type	damages <sup>a</sup>	Total⁵	Punitive	Compensatory <sup>b</sup>	damage awards	compensatory damage awards
All trial cases	360	\$968,349	\$637,576	\$330,773	32.1%	14.9%
Tort cases	162	\$647,705	\$462,650	\$185,055	38.5%	16.2%
Automobile	20	27,233	2,347	24,887	19.2	12.8
Premises liability	40	123,565	13,501	110,064	64.0	2.5
Product liability						
Asbestos	3	8,711	3,377	5,334		
Breast implant				·		
Other	11	6,643	4,407	2,236	54.2	54.2
Intentional tort	67	16,588	7,035	9,553	26.0	10.5
Medical malpractice	3	21,951	7,675	14,276		
Professional malpractice	4	271	227	45	74.3	74.3
Slander/libel	6	2,086	89	1,997		
Other negligence	8	440,656	423,993	16,663	87.4	87.4
Contract cases	189	\$318,128	\$173,581	\$144,548	26.8%	14.1%
Fraud	59	158,510	75,977	82,532	17.7	3.4
Seller plaintiff	16	55,454	38,992	16,462	18.5	18.5
Buyer plaintiff	41	45,955	31,398	14,557	28.3	16.3
Mortgage foreclosure						
Employment discrimination	25	26,870	11,619	15,251	30.5	26.5
Other employment dispute	19	15,849	3,589	12,260	29.3	
Rental/lease	5	448	157	291		
Tortious interference	16	12,794	10,156	2,638	41.6	41.6
Other contract	9	2,249	1,693	556	67.5	22.5
Real property cases <sup>c</sup>	9	\$2,516	\$1,345	\$1,170	29.0%	10.7%

punitive damages awarded were available for 360 of the 364 trial cases awarded punitive damages.

Award data were rounded to the nearest \$1,000.

Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

--No cases recorded.

In this study, cases are classified by the primary case type, though many cases involve multiple claims (that is, contract and tort). Under laws in almost all States, only tort claims qualify for punitive damages. If a contract or real property case involved punitive damages, it involved a related tort claim.

<sup>a</sup>The number of trial cases includes jury cases, bench cases, cases with a directed verdict, those with a judgment not withstanding the verdict, and those with a jury trial for defaulted defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Compensatory and total award damages do not include reductions. <sup>c</sup>Excludes eminent domain cases.

#### Federal tort, contract, and real property trial cases terminated in U.S. district courts, 1996

• Federal district courts disposed of by jury or bench trial 2,449 tort, contract, and real property rights cases during 1996, compared to an estimated 15,638 that were disposed of by trial in State courts of general jurisdiction in the Nation's 75 largest counties.

• Similar to State courts, only a small portion (3%) of the number of Federal tort, contract, and real property cases terminated reached trial.

• The majority (62%) of Federal tort, contract, and real property rights trials were disposed of by jury verdict.

• Federal tort cases were more likely (73%) to be decided by jury trial, while contract (53%) and real property cases (68%) were more likely to be decided by bench trial.

• In about half of Federal tort, contract, and real property trial cases, the plaintiff won the decision. Plaintiffs won in 47% of jury trials and 52% of bench trials.

• The median final amount awarded to plaintiff winners was larger in Federal district courts than State courts. The median award for plaintiff winners was \$130,000 for all Federal tort, contract, and real property rights cases disposed of by trial. The median award was \$150,000 for jury trials and \$103,000 for bench trials.

			s cases			Jury trial c		Bench trial cases			
Case type	Number plaintiff winners	Number of plaintiff monetary awards	Total	Median award ands)	Number plaintiff winners	Number of plaintiff monetary awards	f Median award (in thousands)	Number plaintiff winners	Number of plaintiff monetary awards	Median award (in thousands)	
Total	1,090	885	\$1,057,387	\$130	658	561	\$150	432	324	\$103	
Tort Contract Real property	620 417 53	535 327 23	\$555,791 459,528 42,068	147	437 208 13	383 167 11	\$150 150 225	183 209 40	152 160 12	\$99 123 78	

#### The role of contributory negligence

In 10% of the tort, contract, and real property rights cases in which a jury or judge awarded compensatory damages to the plaintiff, the damages were reduced because the plaintiff had contributed to the negligence that led to the loss or injury. In 1996, the reduction from contributory negligence totaled approximately \$94 million.

States differ in the role played by a plaintiff's own negligence in determining whether, or the extent to which, the defendant is liable for a plaintiff's damages.

Based on these differences, States are classified below into one of four categories.\*

\*Whether a State was classified as modified comparative negligence, pure comparative negligence, pure contributory negligence, or mixed rule depended on general characteristics of its civil laws. If the focus were on specific types of civil cases, the classification might differ from what is shown here. States do not always use the same decision rules for each type of civil case. Modified comparative negligence

Nine States have a modified comparative negligence rule (the "50% bar to recovery" rule) which stipulates that the plaintiff can recover damages only if he or she is less negligent than the defendant.

Arkansas	Kansas	Utah
Colorado	Maine	West Virginia
Idaho	North Dakota	Wyoming

Eighteen States use a modified comparative negligence rule (the "51% bar to recovery" rule) under which the plaintiff can recover damages only if he or she is not more negligent than the defendant.

Connecticut	New Hampshire
Hawaii	New Jersey
Illinois	Ohio
Indiana	Oklahoma
lowa	Oregon
Massachusetts	Pennsylvania
Montana	Texas
Minnesota	Vermont
Nevada	Wisconsin

#### Pure comparative negligence

Thirteen States use a pure comparative negligence rule under which a plaintiff can recover damages to the extent that the defendant is responsible for the plaintiff's injuries.

Alaska Arizona	Louisiana Michigan	New Mexico New York
California	Mississippi	Rhode Island
Florida	Missouri	Washington
Kentucky		

#### Pure contributory negligence

Six States use a pure contributory negligence rule that bars recovering damages from the defendant if the plaintiff's own negligent conduct contributed in any way to his or her own injuries.

Alabama Maryland South Carolina Delaware North Carolina Virginia

#### Mixed rules

Four States have a blend of rules and do not fit into any single category.

Georgia South Dakota Nebraska Tennessee

Source: American Jurisprudence, 2nd edition (1989, supp. 1995), 57B: 1131-49.

#### Number of days in trial

Trials conducted in the Nation's 75 largest counties lasted 3.9 days on average; the median number of days was 3.0 (not shown in table). Jury trials lasted an average of 4.7 days compared to 1.9 days for bench trials. The median number of days in a jury trial was 3.0, and in bench trials it was 1.0 day. The longest jury trial recorded in the sample lasted 73 days, and the longest bench trial, 27 days.

Half of all tort and contract bench trials lasted 1 day or less compared to 3 days or less for jury tort trials and 4 days or less for jury contract trials. Among specific types of cases, auto tort cases disposed of by bench trials lasted on average 1.4 days compared to 3.4 days among those disposed by jury trial.

#### Case processing time

Among all trial cases, the average case processing time from filing of the complaint to final verdict or judgment was 25.6 months, with half of the 13,358 cases taking 20.9 months or more (table 11). Tort cases, as a whole, reached final verdict or judgment in an average of 26.7 months compared to 23 months for contract cases. Asbestos cases had the longest case processing time, averaging about 50.2 months from filing to final verdict or judgment, followed by medical malpractice cases with an average of 34.1 months.

Fifty-nine percent of all trial cases were disposed of within 2 years. Over half of asbestos cases and a fifth of medical malpractice cases were disposed of in 4 or more years. Nine percent of real property cases and 7% of contract cases went from filing to termination in 4 years or more.

#### Table 11. Case processing time from filing of complaint to final verdict or judgment in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

				-	•			
			f complair	nt to final ver	dict or judgment			
	Number			Percent of trial cases disposed of in -				
	of trial	Number of		Less than	,	,		
Case type	cases <sup>a</sup>	Median	Mean	2 years	less than 4 years	or more		
All trial cases	13,358	20.9	25.6	59.3%	30.4%	10.3%		
Tort cases	9,010	21.7	26.7	56.9%	31.1%	11.9%		
Automobile	4,451	19.0	22.8	66.9	26.2	6.9		
Premises liability Product liability	1,979	23.9	28.7	50.2	36.0	13.7		
Asbestos	154	50.1	50.2	22.5	21.2	56.3		
Breast implant	4	38.7	28.8	28.9	71.1			
Other	190	28.0	33.2	33.7	41.5	24.8		
Intentional tort	402	21.0	25.1	57.6	34.7	7.7		
Medical malpractice	1,031	28.5	34.1	38.4	40.4	21.2		
Professional malpractice	153	22.8	28.6	57.7	27.3	15.0		
Slander/libel	91	22.3	27.1	65.7	24.5	9.8		
Other negligence	555	23.9	29.2	50.7	34.6	14.7		
Contract cases	4,008	19.1	23.0	64.4%	28.9%	6.7%		
Fraud	590	20.7	25.2	58.8	32.5	8.7		
Seller plaintiff	1,268	17.3	21.1	69.2	26.8	4.0		
Buyer plaintiff	665	19.8	23.3	63.6	29.2	7.3		
Mortgage foreclosure	50	17.2	22.4	68.3	23.1	8.5		
Employment discrimination	288	22.0	26.4	55.9	34.4	9.7		
Other employment dispute	268	21.5	24.4	57.5	34.4	8.1		
Rental/lease	417	16.7	20.1	73.9	20.9	5.2		
Tortious interference	211	20.7	25.3	60.7	31.8	7.5		
Other contract	252	21.1	25.1	59.0	30.3	10.6		
Real property cases	339	19.0	24.5	63.7%	27.0%	9.4%		
Eminent domain Other real property <sup>b</sup>	101 238	21.4 18.8	23.6 24.9	58.6 65.8	33.2 24.3	8.2 9.8		

Note: Data for filing time to final verdict or judgment, including answer filed and trial start date, were available for 84.7% of all trial cases.

Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

--No cases recorded.

<sup>a</sup>All trial cases include cases disposed by jury trial, bench trial, directed verdicts, judgment not withstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

<sup>b</sup>Includes title disputes, bounding disputes, and other real property cases.



Note: Cases disposed of by directed verdict, judgment notwithstanding, and jury verdicts for defaulted defendants are not shown. During 1996, 51% of these cases were disposed of within 2 years of filing.

Overall, bench trial cases were disposed of more quickly than jury trial cases. About two-thirds (70%) of bench trial cases were disposed of in less than 2 years compared to 56% of jury trial cases. Within 3 years from filing, 94% of bench cases and 88% of jury cases were disposed (figure 2).

The difference between bench and jury trials in case processing time was evident in several types of civil cases disposed of in the Nation's 75 largest counties during 1996. Half of tort bench cases went from filing of the complaint to final verdict or judgment in at least 19.1 months compared to 22.0 months for tort cases decided by a jury.

Figure 2

### Table 12. Case processing time from filing of complaint to final verdict or judgment in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1996

	Fi	ling of comp	laint to ju	ry final dispos		Filing of complaint to bench final disposition					
				Percent of j	cent of jury trial				Percent of b		
	Number o	of		cases dispo	osed of in —	Number of			cases dispo	sed of in	
	jury trial cases	Number of Median	months Mean	Less than 2 years	4 vears or more	bench trial cases	<u>Number of</u> Median	<u>f months</u> Mean	Less than 2 years	4 vears or more	
	00000	modian	mourr	2 youro	or more	00000	modian	moan	2 youro		
All trial cases <sup>a</sup>	9,315	22.1	27.0	55.6%	11.8%	3,705	17.8	21.7	69.6%	6.2%	
Tort cases	7,694	22.0	27.1	56.0%	12.3%	1,112	19.1	23.6	64.6%	9.0%	
Automobile	3,962	19.2	23.0	66.7	6.8	428	17.2	21.0	71.4	7.7	
Premises liability Product liability	1,582	24.4	29.2	49.1	14.0	324	21.4	26.4	54.2	11.4	
Asbestos	152	50.1	50.8	21.5	57.0						
Breast implant	4	38.7	28.8	28.9							
Other	158	29.7	35.5	30.4	29.8	28	21.8	23.9	49.1		
Intentional tort	293	22.8	25.9	52.4	7.2	99	16.7	22.4	72.1	8.7	
Medical malpractice	953	29.0	34.7	36.9	21.6	47	18.8	23.8	71.8	8.3	
Professional malpractice	88	25.6	32.9	45.0	23.9	58	20.6	23.2	75.1	3.4	
Slander/libel	66	23.4	29.2	56.2	10.1	20	18.9	19.7	94.9	5.1	
Other negligence	435	23.8	29.4	51.5	15.4	107	23.7	28.5	51.1	13.6	
Contract cases	1,531	22.6	26.2	54.2%	9.4%	2,350	16.8	20.7	72.0%	4.6%	
Fraud	253	23.7	27.9	50.9	11.4	313	17.7	22.0	69.5	5.7	
Seller plaintiff	310	21.8	25.5	55.3	7.9	937	16.3	19.7	73.5	2.7	
Buyer plaintiff	341	22.0	25.0	54.8	8.5	297	17.8	21.2	73.1	5.4	
Mortgage foreclosure	7	27.4	23.8	38.5		39	16.5	21.7	73.5	11.0	
Employment discrimination	192	23.6	27.9	51.6	11.8	81	17.3	22.3	68.9	4.8	
Other employment dispute	129	22.0	26.6	54.0	10.5	127	20.4	21.5	63.8	4.0	
Rental/lease	111	18.9	22.4	68.9	6.8	298	15.1	19.4	75.2	4.7	
Tortious interference	107	23.2	27.2	54.3	8.2	94	15.9	22.9	72.9	7.5	
Other contract	81	24.0	28.7	45.0	10.5	164	16.7	22.7	68.0	9.8	
Real property cases	90	25.6	28.8	46.6%	10.3%	244	18.3	23.3	69.1%	9.2%	
Eminent domain	59	25.8	29.6	43.0	10.8	39	8.3	14.9	79.3	4.9	
Other real property <sup>b</sup>	30	23.3	27.1	53.7	9.5	205	18.6	24.9	67.1	10.0	

Note: Data for filing time to final disposition, including answer filed and trial start date, and case type were available, for 87.0% of jury cases and 79.4% of bench cases.

<sup>a</sup>Not included are trial cases disposed by directed verdicts, judgmen notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants. <sup>b</sup>Includes title disputes, bounding disputes, and other real property cases.

Detail may not sum to total because of rounding.

--No cases recorded.

In less than 2 years, 95% of slander/libel tort cases decided by a bench trial were disposed, compared to 56% of those decided by a jury. About three-quarters of professional (75%) and medical (72%) malpractice bench cases went from filing to disposition within 2 years compared to less than half of professional (45%) and medical (37%) malpractice cases disposed of by a jury (table 12).

The median case processing time for contract cases disposed of by a bench trial was 16.8 months compared to 22.6 months for cases disposed of by jury trial. Similarly, half of real property bench cases were processed in at least 18.3 months compared to 25.6 months among jury cases.

Among eminent domain cases, the median case processing time for bench trials was 8.3 months compared to 25.8 months for jury cases — a median difference of nearly 1.5 years. Eminent domain cases are those in which a governmental entity has the power to convert privately owned property, particularly land, to public use, subject to reasonable compensation.<sup>5</sup>

#### County-specific data

The number of jury and bench trials, percentage of plaintiff winners, final awards, and punitive damage award amounts varied across the individual State courts sampled in this project (appendix tables B, C, D and E). Many factors account for these differences across State courts such as State civil justice laws and the types of cases disposed of by trial.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Garner, Bryan A. *Black's Law Dictionary: New Pocket Edition.* West Publishing Co., 1996.

#### Comparison of 1992 and 1996 jury trial cases

• In 1992 jury trials in the Nation's 75 largest counties disposed over 12,000 tort, contract, and real property cases compared to just over 10,000 in 1996. This decrease in the number of jury cases from 1992 to 1996, however, was not statistically significant.

• In 1992 plaintiffs won 52% of all jury trial cases. In 1996 plaintiffs were successful in 49% of all jury trials. Among contract cases plaintiffs were more likely to win in jury trial cases in 1992 (63%) than in 1996 (56%). Plaintiffs won in about half of tort cases in 1992 (50%) and 1996 (48%).

• Among specific types of cases, plaintiffs were more successful in medical malpractice, professional malpractice, buyer plaintiff, and rental/lease cases in 1992 compared to 1996.

• When adjusted for inflation, the median total award in 1996 was \$35,000 compared to \$58,000 in 1992. There was no significant difference, however, between the total amount of compensatory and punitive damages awarded by juries in 1992 (\$3 billion when adjusted for inflation) and 1996 (\$2.4 billion).

• In 1992, 364 jury trial cases or 6% of all plaintiff winner case were awarded punitive damages that totaled over \$300 million when adjusted for inflation. This did not differ statistically from the more than \$545 million in punitive damages awarded to 212 or 4% of plaintiff winner cases in 1996.

• In both 1992 and 1996, plaintiff winners in contract cases were more likely to receive punitive damages than plaintiff winners in tort cases.

Final award amounts and punitive damage amounts for jury trial cases with plaintiff winners in State courts in the Nation's 75 largest counties, 1992

	Number of jury casesFinal and awardedwith plaintiff(in thousa			Number of jury cases awarded punitive	Percent of jury cases receiving punitive	Amount of punitive dama awarded to plaintiff winne (in thousands)	
Case type	winner <sup>a</sup>	Total	Median	damages <sup>a</sup>	damages	Total	Median
All trial cases	5,930	\$3,022,598	\$58	364	5.9%	\$300,000	\$56
Tort cases	4,574	\$2,091,682	\$57	190	4.0%	\$102,454	\$41
Automobile	2,280	562,914	33	55	2.4	39,799	28
Premises liability	841	219,605	65	15	1.7	1,425	45
Product liability	139	114,790	280	3	2.3	45	10
Intentional tort	195	116,845	58	38	18.8	12,237	28
Medical malpractice	403	669,926	225	13	3.1	3,494	223
Professional malpractice	92	108,985	174	15	15.7	6,806	280
Slander/libel	27	7,038	28	8	29.8	1,502	52
Toxic substance	202	119,063	113	13	6.2	29,591	1,895
Other negligence	393	172,515	72	30	7.2	7,555	112
Contract cases	1,314	\$915,364	\$62	169	12.3%	\$189,872	\$58
Fraud	173	131,274	79	38	21.2	8,220	50
Seller plaintiff	412	96,540	39	24	5.6	1,367	24
Buyer plaintiff	361	194,127	49	47	12.5	30,739	30
Employment	170	279,111	158	46	26.8	148,690	201
Rental/lease	85	178,902	79	11	11.3	4,447	56
Other contract	113	35,410	55	2	1.8	4,409	162
Real property cases <sup>b</sup>	43	\$15,553	\$55	5	11.7%	\$7,698	\$95

Note: Data on case type and final award amounts to plaintiff winners were available for 96% of jury trial cases. 1992 final and punitive damage awards are adjusted for inflation and presented in 1996 dollars. Award amounts were rounded to the nearest \$1,000. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. In this study, cases classified by the primary case type, though many cases involve multiple claims (contract and tort). Under laws in almost all States, only tort claims qualify for punitive damages. If a contract or real property case involved punitive damages, it involved a related tort claim.

Punitive damage awards may be incomplete for 4 counties: Palm Beach Co., FL, Wayne Co., MI, Allegheny Co., PA, and Philadelphia Co., PA. <sup>a</sup>Excludes cases with a directed verdict, cases in which both the plaintiff and defendant won damages, and cases in which the plaintiff won the liability trial.

<sup>b</sup>Eminent domain cases are not calculated among final awards because there is always an award; the issue is how much the defendant (whose property is being condemned) will receive for the property.

#### Methodology

Definitions of disposition types:

**Jury trial:** A trial held before and decided by a group of laypersons selected according to the law presided over by a judge culminating in a verdict for the plaintiff(s) and/or defendant(s).

**Bench trial** (non-jury trial): A trial held in the absence of a jury and decided by a judge culminating in a judgment for the plaintiff(s) or defendant(s).

**Directed verdict:** In a case in which the party with the burden of proof has failed to present a prima facie case for jury consideration, a trial judge may order the entry of a verdict without allowing the jury to consider it, because, as a matter of law, there can be only one such verdict.

#### Judgment notwithstanding the

**verdict** ("JNOV" or judgment non obstante veredicto): A judgment rendered in favor of one party despite the finding of a jury verdict in favor of the other party.

#### Jury trials for defaulted defendants:

Some States make provisions for a jury to be empaneled even if the defendants in a case fail to appear and enter a defense. The purpose of a trial is to decide issues such as amount of damages. See Florida *Rules of Civil Procedure*, Rule 1.500, section (e).

#### Definitions of civil case types:

Torts: Claims arising from personal injury or property damage caused by negligent or intentional acts of another person or business. Specific tort case types include: automobile accident; premise liability (injury caused by the dangerous condition of residential or commercial property); medical malpractice (by doctor, dentist, or medical professional); other professional malpractice (e.g. by engineers, architects); product liability (injury or damage caused by defective products; injury caused by toxic substances such as asbestos); libel/slander (injury to reputation); intentional tort (vandalism, intentional personal injury): and other negligent acts (negligence against

another party for an act not represented by the other case categories used in this study such as the negligent supervision of a dog resulting in an attack).

Contracts: Cases which include all allegations of breach of contract. Specific case types include seller plaintiff (sellers of goods or services, including lenders, seeking payment of money owed to them by a buyer, including borrowers); buyer plaintiff (purchaser of goods or services seeking return of their money, recision of the contract, or delivery of the specified goods): mortgage contract/foreclosure (foreclosures on real property, commercial, or residential: because the title to real property is transferred to the lender if the claim is successful it could be included under real property cases); fraud (financial damages incurred due to intentional or negligent misrepresentation regarding a product or company; fraud is also considered a type of tort claim, but because it arises out of commercial transactions, it was included under contracts); employment discrimination (claim against an employer for unfair treatment or denial of normal privileges due to race, gender, religion, age, handicap and/or nationality); other employment dispute (claim against an employer for wrongful termination not based on discrimination or by the employer or the employee claiming contractual failure of the other party); rental/lease agreement; tortious interference with a commercial or contractual relationship (this tort consists of four elements: existence of a valid contract, defendant's knowledge of that contract, defendant's intentional procuring of breach of that contract and damages); and other contract claims (any contractual dispute other than the case categories used in this study such as partnership claims, stockholder claims, and subrogation issues).

**Real property:** Any claim regarding ownership of real property (excluding mortgage foreclosures which are included under contracts). Specific categories used include: *eminent domain* (condemnation of real property to obtain for public use); *other real property* (any other claim regarding title to or use of real property).

Source: Definitions were developed by the National Center for State Courts through consultation with NCSC Staff Attorneys, law professors, and from *Black's Law Dictionary*.

#### Sample

The sample design for the 1996 civil trial study was the same one used for the 1992 civil jury study. The sample is a 2-stage stratified sample with 45 of the 75 most populous counties selected at the first stage. The 75 counties were divided into 4 strata based on civil disposition data for 1990 obtained through telephone interviews with court staff in the general jurisdiction trial courts. Stratum 1 consisted of the 14 counties with the largest number of civil case dispositions. Every county in stratum 1 was selected for the sample. Stratum 2 consisted of 15 counties with 12 chosen for the sample. From strata 3, 10 of the 20 counties were selected. Nine of the 26 counties in stratum 4 were included in the sample.

The second stage of the sample design involved generating lists of cases that would be coded. Prior to drawing the 1996 case sample, each participating jurisdiction was asked to identify a list of cases that had been disposed by jury trial or bench trial between January 1, 1996, and December 31, 1996. Trial cases were to meet the definitional criteria for jury and bench trials as defined in Black's Law *Dictionary*: (1) A jury trial was defined as "a trial held before and decided by a jury of laypersons and presided over by a judge culminating in a verdict for the plaintiff(s) or defendant(s), and (2) A bench trial was defined as "a trial held in the absence of a jury and decided by a judge culminating in a judgment for the plaintiff(s) or defendant(s)." Cases that did not meet these definitional criteria were not to be included in the jury and bench lists.

The study plan was to obtain approximately 300 jury and 300 bench cases from the court of general jurisdiction in each of the counties selected for the study. In courts that reported approximately 300 or less jury or bench trials, all trials were to be coded. In courts that reported more than 300 jury or 300 bench trials, a list of cases was to be provided to project staff and a random sample of 275 drawn from the jury and bench trial case list. For jury and bench case lists in which the case type was known, any remaining medical malpractice, professional malpractice and product liability cases not initially selected were to be included in the sample in order to over sample these case types.

At the second stage of sampling for jury cases, all tort, contract, and real property rights cases disposed by jury verdict between January 1, 1996, and December 31, 1996, were selected in 39 jurisdictions. In the 3 jurisdictions, where the total number of jury cases disposed exceeded 300 and where case type could be identified, a random sample of about 275 cases was drawn from a list of tort, contract and real property jury trials provided by the court. Any remaining medical malpractice, professional malpractice and product liability cases not initially chosen in the initial sample were also included. In the 3 jurisdictions where the total number of jury cases disposed exceeded 300 and case type could not be identified, a random sample of about 275 cases was selected from the list of jury trials.

At the second stage of sampling for bench cases, all tort, contract, and real property rights cases disposed by bench verdict between January 1, 1996, and December 31, 1996, were selected in 41 jurisdictions. In the 1 jurisdiction where the total number of bench cases disposed exceeded 300 and the case type could be identified, a random sample of about 275 cases was drawn from a list of tort, contract, and real property bench trials. Any remaining medical malpractice, professional malpractice and product liability cases not initially chosen in the random sample also were included. In the 3 jurisdictions where the total number of bench cases disposed exceeded 300 and case type could not be identified, a random sample of about 275 tort, contract and real property rights cases were selected from the list of bench trials.

During the coding process in all sites, it was discovered that some courts included in their list some jury and bench trials that did not meet the study definitional criteria of a trial. These cases were excluded from the data base. By excluding cases that did not meet the study criteria, some jurisdictions in which sampling was utilized have final sample sizes of less than 275 cases.

Data on 6,713 civil jury trial cases and 2,312 civil trial bench cases that meet the study criteria were collected in the 45 courts. The final sample consisted of 9,025 tort, contract, and real property rights case disposed of by jury or bench verdict.

#### Populations of jury and bench trials

In jurisdictions where second stage case sampling was not used, the populations of jury and bench trials reported are based on applying the study criteria in each site and excluding cases that did not meet the study definitions.

In the jurisdictions where second stage sampling was used, the true population of trial verdicts according to the study definitions could not be known. It was impossible to know the number of cases that failed to meet the definitional criteria of a trial among the cases that did not make it into the sample. The true population within each of these jurisdictions, therefore, was estimated by applying the same rejection rate generated from the selected sample after it was coded. For example, Orange County reported 340 jury trials in 1996. A random sample of 275 cases was chosen and when coded

according to study criteria produced 221 jury trial verdicts. This translates into a reject rate of 20% of the cases since 20% did not meet the definitional criteria of a jury trial. Applying this rejection rate to the original list of 374 jury trial cases provided by the jurisdiction resulted in an estimated population of 301 jury trials.

#### Sampling error

Since the data in this report came from a sample, a sampling error (standard error) is associated with each reported number. In general, if the difference between 2 numbers is greater than twice the standard error for that difference, there is confidence that for 95 out of 100 possible samples a real difference exists and that the apparent difference is not simply the result of using a sample rather than the entire population. All differences discussed in the text of this report were statistically significant at or above the 95 percent confidence level.

### Data recoding and unobtainable information

For each sampled case, a standard coding form was manually completed by on-site court staff to record information about the litigants, case type, processing time and award amounts.

Information for which data were not available or collected included the cost of litigation for the parties involved, as well as for others; the actual disbursement of awards; and the number of cases appealed.

State/acut	Jury	Civil juries	State/actor	Jury	Civil juries	Notes:
State/court	size	decision rule	State/court	size	decision rule	<sup>a</sup> Or fewer by agreement of the parties.
Alabama Circuit	12	Unanimous	Montana District	12 <sup>k</sup>	2/3 rule	<sup>b</sup> 6-member jury unless a jury of 12 is demanded.
Alaska Superior	12	5/6 rule	Nebraska District	12	5/6 rule or Unanimous <sup>i</sup>	°Eminent domain cases require a 12-member jury and an unani-
Arizona Superior	8	3/4 rule	Nevada District	12 <sup>m</sup>	3/4 rule	mous verdict. <sup>d</sup> May stipulate that the jury consist of any number less than
Arkansas			New Hampshire			12 or that a verdict or finding of
Circuit Common Pleas	12 12	3/4 rule 3/4 rule	Superior	12	Unanimous	a stated majority of jurors is taken as the verdict or finding of
California			New Jersey			the jury.
Superior	12ª	3/4 rule	Superior	6/12	5/6 rule	<sup>e</sup> Can stipulate to 6-member jury with 5/6 rule.
Colorado District	6	Unanimous	New Mexico District	12	5/6 rule	<sup>f</sup> 6-member jury unless a jury of 12 is requested.
Connecticut			New York			97/8 rule applies after 6 hours
Superior	6	Unanimous	Supreme County	6 6	5/6 rule 5/6 rule	of deliberation. h12 member jury if damages are
Delaware			North Carolina <sup>n</sup>			greater than \$5,000; otherwise 6.
Superior District of Columbia	12	Unanimous	Superior North Dakota	12	Unanimous	<sup>15</sup> /6 rule applies with 12 jurors; otherwise, must be unanimous.
Superior Florida	6 <sup>b</sup>	Unanimous	District	12/6	Unanimous	<sup>i</sup> Parties may stipulate to a 6-member jury. <sup>k</sup> 4-member jury if both parties
Circuit	6 <sup>c</sup>	Unanimous	Common Pleas	12/8	3/4 rule	agree. <sup>1</sup> 5/6 rule after 6 hours of
Georgia Superior	12	Unanimous	Oklahoma District	6/12°	3/4 rule	deliberation. <sup>m</sup> Parties may stipulate to 4-8
Hawaii			Oregon			jurors rather than 12.
Circuit Idaho	12 <sup>d</sup>	5/6 rule <sup>e</sup>	Circuit Pennsylvania	12	3/4 rule	<sup>n</sup> Except in actions in which a jury is required by statute, the
District Illinois	12	3/4 rule	Common Pleas Rhode Island	12	5/6 rule	parties may stipulate that the jury shall consist of any number less
Circuit	6 <sup>f</sup>	Unanimous	Superior	12	5/6 rule	than 12 or that a verdict or finding of a stated majority of the
Indiana Superior Circuit	6 6	Unanimous Unanimous	South Carolina Circuit	12	Unanimous	jurors shall be taken as the verdict or finding of the jury. °12-member jury if damages are
lowa District	8	7/8 rule or Unanimous <sup>9</sup>	South Dakota Circuit	12	5/6 rule	greater than \$2,500; otherwise 6. PA 12-member jury may be allowed by the judge.
Kansas			Tennessee			<sup>q</sup> May demand a 12-member jury.
District	6/12 <sup>h</sup>	5/6 rule or	Circuit	12	Unanimous	'A party may request, or the cour
		Unanimous <sup>i</sup>	Chancery	12	Unanimous	on its own motion may require, a greater number, not to exceed
Kentucky Circuit	12	3/4 rule	Texas District	12	5/6 rule	12. <sup>s</sup> 6-member jury unless a jury of
Louisiana	4 0		Utah		0/4	12 is demanded.
District	12 <sup>j</sup>	5/6 rule, 9/12 rule	District	8	3/4 rule or majority	<sup>1</sup> 6-member jury unless a jury of 12 is demanded (local rules).
Maine Superior	8	3/4 rule	Vermont Superior	12	Unanimous	Even absent stipulation, if the
	0	0,4 1010	District	12	Unanimous	court finds it necessary to excuse a juror, a valid verdict may be
Maryland Circuit	12	Unanimous	Virginia Circuit	5/7°	Unanimous	returned by the remaining 11.
Massachusetts			Washington			Source: David B. Rottman, et al. State Court Organization, 1993.
Superior Michigan	12	5/6 rule	Superior West Virginia	6 <sup>q</sup>	5/6 rule	National Center for State Courts.
Circuit	6	5/6 rule	Circuit	6	Unanimous	NCJ 148346, January 1995.
Minnesota District	6	5/6 rule or	Wisconsin Circuit	6 <sup>r</sup>	5/6 rule	
Mississioni		Unanimous	Wyoming			
Mississippi Circuit Chancery	12 12	3/4 rule 3/4 rule	Wyoming District	6 <sup>s</sup>	5/6 rule	
•	. 2	0, 11010	Federal Court			
Missouri Circuit	12	3/4 rule	Federal Court District	6 <sup>t</sup>	Unanimous	

Appendix B. Selected characteristics of sampled counties										
				Minimum jurisdiction	Number of peremptory					
County	Primary city	Court name	1996 Population estimate	amount in civil cases	challenges in jury trials <sup>a</sup>					
oounty		oourrhame	countate		in jury that					
Maricopa, AZ	Phoenix	Superior	2,611,327	\$5,000	4					
Pima, AZ	Tucson Oakland	Superior	767,873	5,000	4 6					
Alameda, CA Contra Costa, CA	Martinez	Superior Superior	1,328,139 881,490	25,000 25,000	6					
Fresno, CA	Fresno	Superior	751,272	25,000	6					
Los Angeles, CA	Los Angeles	Superior	3,553,638 <sup>b</sup>	25,000	6					
Orange, CA	Santa Ana	Superior	2,636,888	25,000	6					
San Bernardino, CA	San Bernardino	Superior	1,598,358	25,000	6					
San Francisco, CA	San Francisco	Superior	735,315	25,000	6					
Santa Clara, CA	San Jose	Superior	1,599,604	25,000	6					
Ventura, CA	Ventura	Superior	714,733	25,000	6 3					
Fairfield, CT Hartford, CT	Bridgeport Hartford	Superior Superior	298,469° 841,777₫	2,000 2,000	3					
Dade, FL	Miami	Circuit	2,076,175	15,000	3					
Orange, FL	Orlando	Circuit	758,980	15,001	3					
Palm Beach, FL	West Palm Beach	Circuit	992,840	15,001	3					
Fulton, GA	Atlanta	Superior	718,336	5,000	6					
Honolulu, HI	Honolulu	Circuit	871,766	5,000	3					
Cook, IL	Chicago	Circuit	5,096,540	15,000	5					
Dupage, IL	Wheaton	Circuit	859,310	15,000	5					
Marion, IN	Indianapolis	Superior	817,525	20,000	3 3					
Jefferson, KY Essex, MA	Louisville Salem	Circuit Superior	673,040 686,774	4,000 25,000	3 4					
Middlesex, MA	Cambridge	Superior	1,412,561	25,000	4					
Norfolk, MA	Dedham	Superior	637,388	25,000	4					
Suffolk, MA	Boston	Superior	645,068	25,000	4					
Worcester, MA	Worcester	Superior	719,545	25,000	4					
Oakland, MI	Pontiac	Circuit	1,162,098	10,000	3					
Wayne, MI	Detroit	Circuit	2,039,819	10,000	3					
Hennepin, MN	Minneapolis	District	1,058,746	5,000	2					
St. Louis, MO	St. Louis	Circuit	1,003,807	5,000	3					
Bergen, NJ	Hackensack Newark	Superior Superior	846,498	7,500	6 6					
Essex, NJ Middlesex, NJ	New Brunswick	Superior	755,089 702,458	7,500 7,500	6					
New York, NY	New York	Supreme	1,533,774	25,000	3					
Cuyahoga, OH	Cleveland	Common Pleas	1,401,552	10,000	3					
Franklin, OH	Columbus	Common Pleas	1,013,724	10,000	3					
Allegheny, PA	Pittsburgh	Common Pleas	1,296,037	4,000	4					
Philadelphia, PA	Philadelphia	Common Pleas	1,478,002	5,000	4					
Bexar, TX	San Antonio	District	1,318,322	5,000	6					
Dallas, TX	Dallas	District	2,000,192	5,000	6					
Harris, TX Fairfax, VA	Houston Fairfax	District Circuit	3,126,966 902,492	5,000 7,000	6 3					
King, WA										
Milwaukee, WI	Milwaukee	Circuit	922,243	2,000	3					
1996 population estima website, http://www.ce 1996 population estima Hartford-New Britain ju http://www.census.go *See Appendix A for St bThe 1996 population e only collected for the c suburban courts were r *The 1996 population e CT, are located in 4 juc Fairfield. 1996 civil tria	Milwaukee, WI       Milwaukee       Circuit       922,243       2,000       3         Sources:       State Court Organization, 1998, forthcoming.       1996 population estimates for each county came from the U.S. Bureau of the Census website, http://www.census.gov/population/www/estimates/countypop/html.       1996 population estimates for City of Los Angeles and the towns in the Fairfield and         1996 population estimates for City of Los Angeles and the towns in the Fairfield and       1996 population/www/estimates/countypop/html.         1996 population estimates for City of Los Angeles and the towns in the Census website,       1996 population/www/estimates/mcdplace.html.         1986 Appendix A for State jury size and State decision rule for jury trials.       1996 population estimate is only for the City of Los Angeles.         1996 population estimate is only for the City of Los Angeles.       1996 civil trial data were only collected for the central district of Los Angeles County Superior Court. Los Angeles suburban courts were not included.         The 1996 population estimate is for Fairfield judicial district. Towns in Fairfield County, CT, are located in 4 judicial districts: Ansonia-Milford, Danbury, Stramford-Norwalk, and Fairfield.         1996 civil trial data were collected only for Fairfield judicial district. The 1996 population estimate for Fairfield County, CT, was 833,761.									

	All c	ases	Jury	trials	Benc	h trials	Oth	er trials <sup>a</sup>
		Percent plaintiff	<b>N</b> 1	Percent plaintiff		Percent plaintiff		Percent plaintiff
County	Number	winners	Number	winners	Number	winners	Number	· winners
Maricopa, AZ	372	56.1%	337	56.7%	26	61.5%	9	14.3%
Pima, AZ	118	65.3	79	62.0	39	71.8		
Alameda, CA	83	47.6	70	42.9	10	77.8	3	66.7
Contra Costa, CA	78	44.9	55	45.5	22	45.5	1	
Fresno, CA	76	48.7	48	45.8	27	51.9	1	100.00
Los Angeles, CA <sup>b</sup>	500	51.9	249	49.8	240	58.9	11	18.2
Orange, CA	463	50.1	298	42.5	162	59.9	3	100.0
San Bernardino, CA	65	40.0	49	34.7	13	61.5	3	33.3
San Francisco, CA	166	52.4	125	51.2	33	63.6	8	25.0
Santa Clara, CA	112	48.2	73	43.8	38	57.9	1	
Ventura, CA	145	41.4	99	39.4	46	45.7		
Fairfield, CT°	50	68.0	42	69.0	7	71.4	1	
Hartford, CT <sup>d</sup>	126	58.7	42	47.6	83	63.9	1	100.0
Dade, FL	426	61.5	375	63.6	29	48.3	22	58.3
Orange, FL	94	67.0	73	67.1	19	73.7	2	
Palm Beach, FL	285	71.9	201	65.7	81	87.7	3	66.7
Fulton, GA	142	35.9	81	55.6	57	10.5	4	
Honolulu, HI	50	64.0	25	56.0	21	81.0	4	25.0
Cook, IL	564	46.3	483	44.3	56	64.3	25	20.0
Dupage, IL	148	62.2	102	60.8	46	65.2		
Marion, IN	147	70.3	44	56.8	102	78.8	1	100.0
Jefferson. KY	195	58.3	138	59.3	44	63.6	13	30.8
Essex, MA	66	40.9	57	40.4	8	37.5	1	100.0
Middlesex, MA	129	28.7	119	28.6	5	60.0	5	
Norfolk, MA	69	21.7	64	23.4	3		2	
Suffolk, MA	91	36.3	77	31.2	14	64.3		
Worcester, MA	59	27.1	50	22.0	9	55.6		
Oakland, MI	199	41.7	146	38.4	45	55.6	8	25.0
Wayne, MI	255	47.5	218	46.8	23	56.5	14	42.9
Hennepin, MN	274	47.1	216	42.6	58	63.8		
St. Louis, MO	196	46.4	148	41.9	38	73.7	10	10.0
Bergen, NJ	219	53.0	140	51.7	68	58.8	4	
Essex, NJ	140	34.1	120	34.2	12	33.3	8	33.3
Middlesex, NJ	213	36.6	191	31.9	21	81.0	1	
New York, NY	399	55.9	306	51.6	74	71.6	19	63.2
Cuyahoga, OH	323	52.4	241	45.8	80	68.8	10	
Franklin, OH	120	55.0	88	56.8	26	61.5	6	
Allegheny, PA	407	54.8	201	40.8	202	69.3	4	25.0
Philadelphia, PA	407	50.5	364	50.7	36	50.0	1	25.0
Bexar, TX	331	32.9	143	36.4	175	23.2	13	46.2
Dallas, TX	340	42.9	298	43.0	33	23.2 51.5	9	40.2
Harris, TX	1,528	42.9	290 580	43.0 34.9	914	66.9	34	
Fairfax, VA	271	40.3 54.6	198	34.9 49.0	914 66	74.2	34 7	28.6
King, WA	336	54.6 51.8	212	49.0 50.9	116	74.2 54.3	8	28.6 37.5
NULL VVA		31.0	///		110	: 14 .7		.1/ .7

--No cases recorded. alncludes cases with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants. blncludes only the central district of the Los Angeles County Superior Court. Los Angeles suburban courts are not included.

<sup>c</sup>Includes only cases for Fairfield judicial district. <sup>d</sup>Includes only cases for Hartford-New Britain judicial district.

### Appendix D. Final and punitive damage awards for plaintiff winners in jury trials, by sampled counties, 1996

	Final a	mount award	ded to plaintiff w	Punitive damages awarded to plaintiff winners			
	Total	Number			Number		
	number of		Total	Median	of plaintiff	Total	Median
County	jury trials	winners	award	award	winners	award	award
ooung	july thate		unara	anala		andra	undid
Maricopa, AZ	337	189	\$66,716,000	\$28,000	9	\$1,640,000	\$50,000
Pima, AZ	79	48	16,499,000	27,000	2	145,000	73,000
Alameda, CA	70	30	11,336,000	59,000		·	·
Contra Costa, CA	55	23	10,385,000	48,000	2	36,000	18,000
Fresno, CA	48	19	2,478,000	50,000	2	303,000	152,000
Los Angeles, CAª	249	123	90,642,000	87,000	17	13,188,000	65,000
Orange, CA	298	124	144,885,000	49,000	12	23,219,000	250,000
San Bernardino, CA	49	17	10,839,000	91,000			
San Francisco, CA	125	64	27,095,000	98.000	3	3,527,000	500,000
Santa Jose, CA	73	30	6,836,000	64,000	1	2,500	2.500 <sup>*</sup>
Ventura, CA	99	39	6,457,000	21,000	3	718,000	10,000
Fairfield, CT <sup>b</sup>	42	29	3,221,000	24,000		110,000	
Hartford, CT°	42	19	736,000	27,000			
Dade, FL	375	239	130,575,000	44,000	13	1,031,000	57,000
	73					1,031,000	57,000
Orange, FL		48	13,799,000	29,000	 2	450.000	225 000
Palm Beach, FL	201	132	21,712,000	31,000		450,000	225,000
Fulton, GA	81	45	11,030,000	27,000	4	1,093,000	170,000
Honolulu, Hlª	25	13	5,333,000	26,000	2	800,000	400,000
Cook, IL	483	228	195,842,000	81,000	4	1,305,000	363,000
Dupage, IL	102	62	4,195,000	14,000	4	30,000	8,000
Marion, IN	44	25	2,099,000	23,000			
Jefferson, KY	138	78	11,210,000	14,000	7	592,000	30,000
Essex, MA	57	20	1,624,000	40,000			
Middlesex, MA	119	32	14,481,000	91,000	2	163,000	81,000
Norfolk, MA	64	15	3,033,000	17,000			
Suffolk, MA	77	24	18,695,000	33,000	1	175,000	175,000*
Worcester, MA	50	10	1,227,000	27,000			
Oakland, MI	146	55	20,455,000	40,000			
Wayne, MI	218	93	52,872,000	76,000	1	1,000	1,000*
Hennepin, MN	216	91	30,051,000	28,000	2	2,517,000	1,258,000
St. Louis, MO	148	62	5,020,000	15,000	3	237,000	36,000
Bergen, NJ	147	74	14,235,000	46,000	5	756,000	156,000
Essex, NJ	120	40	10,113,000	37,000			
Middlesex, NJ	191	61	9,035,000	45,000	2	23,000	12,000
New York, NY	306	158	206,822,000	212,000	3	30,475,000	400,000
Cuyahoga, OH	242	106	11,504,000	23,000	4	237,000	50,000
Franklin, OH	88	50	4,689,000	12,000	3	575,000	75,000
Allegheny, PA	201	79	22,675,000	34,000	2	412,000	206,000
Philadelphia, PA	364	177	97,370,000	150,000	3	615,000	215,000
Bexar, TX	143	52	4,611,000	24,000	2	68,000	34,000
	298	126			2 5	7,636,000	
Dallas, TX			67,060,000	25,000			31,000
Harris, TX	580	187	567,204,000	29,000	12	434,715,000	
Fairfax, VA King, WA	198	95	10,892,000	17,000	2	3,000	1,500
KING. WA	212	108	8,777,000 8,857,000	15,000	3	313,000	10,000
Milwaukee, WI	152	82		15,000	1	200	200*

<sup>o</sup>Includes only cases for Fairfield judicial district. <sup>o</sup>Includes only cases for Hartford-New Britain judicial district.

<sup>d</sup>In one jury trial case, the plaintiff winner was awarded over \$40 billion. This case

was excluded from the above analysis.

	Fina	al amount award	ded to plaintiff winn	Punitive damages awarded to plaintiff winne			
	Total number of	Number of plaintiff	Total	Median	Number of plaintiff	Total	Median
County	bench trials	winners	award	award	winners	award	award
,							
Maricopa, AZ	26	16	\$535,000	\$19,000			
Pima, AZ	39	28	1,282,000	19,000	2	\$190,000	\$95,000
Alameda, CA	10	6	241,000	42,000			
Contra Costa, CA	22	8	792,000	89,000	1	1	1'
Fresno, CA	27	13	52,143,000	61,000	1	25,183,000	25,183,000
Los Angeles, CA <sup>a</sup>	240	138	25,496,000	91,000	4	202,000	53,000
Orange, CA	162	97	19,140,000	46,000	7	324,000	40,000
San Bernardino, CA	13	8	982,000	70,000			
San Francisco, CA	33	21	4,643,000	54,000	1	100,000	100,000
Santa Jose, CA	38	21	13,276,000	79,000	3	209,000	94,000
Ventura, CA	46	21	1,532,000	51,000	2	57,000	28,000
Fairfield, CT <sup>b</sup>	7	4	81,000	21,000	1	14,000	14,000
Hartford, CT°	83	48	1,453,000	6,000	3	27,000	5,000
Dade, FL	29	13	6,706,000	29,000			
Drange, FL	19	12	699,000	29,000			
Palm Beach, FL	81	71	4,953,000	31,000			
Fulton, GA	57	2	363,000	182,000			
Honolulu, HI	21	17	7,400,000	43,000			
Cook, IL	56	35	3,458,000	67,000	1	50.000	50.000
Dupage, IL	46	30	1,294,000	15,000	2	15.000	8,000
Marion, IN	102	79	3,000,000	7,000	2	4,000	4,000
Jefferson, KY	44	28	501,000	8,000	1	5,000	5,000
Essex, MA	8	3	321,000	96,000		5,000	5,000
Middlesex, MA	о 5	2	194,000	97,000	1	27,000	27,000
	3		194,000	97,000		27,000	27,000
Norfolk, MA	3 14	9	4 075 000				
Suffolk, MA	9	9 5	1,375,000	75,000			
Worcester, MA	-	5 21	128,000	14,000			
Dakland, MI	45		1,369,000	29,000			
Wayne, MI	23	13	1,295,000	49,000			
Hennepin, MN	58	37	1,497,000	17,000	1	100	100
St. Louis, MO	38	28	4,841,000	57,000	3	561,000	36,000
Bergen, NJ	68	38	3,570,000	19,000	2	76,000	38,000
Essex, NJ	12	4	103,000	11,000			
Middlesex, NJ	21	17	1,061,000	9,000	3	238,000	85,000
New York, NY	74	53	12,598,000	69,000	1	125,000	125,000
Cuyahoga, OH	80	49	1,743,000	20,000	2	15,000	8,000
Franklin, OH	26	16	816,000	11,000			
Allegheny, PA	202	140	1,168,000	2,000			
Philadelphia, PA	36	18	3,302,000	61,000			
Bexar, TX	175	41	1,512,000	13,000			
Dallas, TX	33	17	7,225,000	22,000			
Harris, TX	914	567	244,150,000	37,000	67	15,260,000	44,000
Fairfax, VA	66	49	3,495,000	20,000			
King, WA	116	62	8,885,000	20,000	1	30,000	30,000
Milwaukee, WI	88	54	1,490,000	8,000	1	20,000	30,000

#### Appendix E. Final and punitive damage awards for plaintiff winners in bench trials, by sampled counties, 1996

Note: Excludes cases with missing final award amounts.

Award data were rounded to the nearest \$1,000.

Final amount awarded includes both compensatory (reduced for contributory

negligence) and punitive damage awards.

Eminent domain cases are not calculated among final awards because there is always an award; the issue is how much the defendant (whose property is being

condemned) will receive for the property.

\*Not a median but the actual amount awarded.

--No cases recorded.

<sup>a</sup>Includes only the central district of the Los Angeles County Superior Court.

Los Angeles suburban courts are not included.

<sup>b</sup>Includes only cases for Fairfield judicial district.

°Includes only cases for Hartford-New Britain judicial district.

The Bureau of Justice Statistics is the statistical agency of the U.S. Department of Justice. Jan M. Chaiken, Ph.D., is director.

This BJS Bulletin presents the first release of findings in a series of reports from the Civil Justice Survey of State Courts, 1996. Carol J. DeFrances and Marika F.X. Litras wrote this report. Kevin J. Strom provided statistical review. Data collection was supervised by the National Center for State Courts (NCSC); David B. Rottman and Brian J. Ostrom were the project co-directors. John Goerdt, formerly with the NCSC, was the initial project director. David B. Rottman and Neil LaFountain of the NCSC provided comments. Neil LaFountain also provided data assistance. Rhonda C. Keith and Tina Dorsey produced the report. Tom Hester edited it. Jayne Robinson and Yvonne Boston administered final production.

September 1999, NCJ 173426

**U.S. Department of Justice** Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics

Washington, DC 20531

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 PRESORTED STANDARD POSTAGE & FEES PAID DOJ/BJS Permit No. G-91