ICPSR Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

Capital Punishment in the United States, 1973-1987

U.S. Dept. of Justice Bureau of Justice Statistics

ICPSR 9210

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT
IN THE UNITED STATES, 1973-1987

(ICPSR 9210)

Principal Investigator

United States Department of Justice. Bureau of Justice Statistics

First ICPSR Edition Summer 1989

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION, ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ASSISTANCE AND DATA DISCLAIMER

All manuscripts utilizing data made available through the Consortium should acknowledge that fact as well as identify the original collector of the data. In order to get such source acknowledgment listed in social science bibliographic utilities, it is necessary to present them in the form of a footnote or a reference. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

> U.S. Dept. of Justice. Bureau of Justice Statistics. CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IN THE UNITED STATES, 1973-1987 [computer file]. ICPSR ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, 1989 [producer and distributor].

The ICPSR Council urges all users of the ICPSR data facilities to follow some adaptation of this statement with the parentheses indicating items to be filled in appropriately or deleted by the individual user.

The data (and tabulations) utilized in this (publication) were made available (in part) by the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research. The data for CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IN THE UNITED STATES, 1973-1987 were originally collected by The Bureau of Justice Statistics. Neither the collector of the original data nor the Consortium bears any responsibility for the analyses or interpretations presented here.

In order to provide funding agencies with essential information about the use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, each user of the ICPSR data facilities is expected to send two copies of each completed manuscript or thesis abstract to the Consortium. Please indicate in the cover letter which data were used.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
INTRODUCTION	
Data Collection Description	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
File Structure	X
Codebook Information	хi
ICPSR Processing Information	xiii '
VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST	xv
CODEBOOK	1
APPENDICES	
NOTE 1	15
NOTE 2	17
Data Collection Instrument	19
Univariate Statistics	21

DATA COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Capital Punishment in the United States, 1973-1987 provides annual data on prisoners under a sentence of death, as well as those who had their sentences commuted or vacated and prisoners who were executed. This study examines basic sociodemographic classifications including age, sex, race and ethnicity, marital status at time of imprisonment, level of education, and State and region of incarceration. Criminal history information includes prior felony convictions and prior convictions for criminal homicide and the legal status at the time of the capital offense. Additional information is provided on those inmates removed from death row by yearend 1986 and those inmates receiving a second capital punishment sentence by yearend 1987.

The dataset consists of three parts: the combined file, the historical file, and the current file. Part 1 is the combined file which consists of 3842 records. It is a combination of the historical and current files which are described below. Part 2 is the historical file which consists of 1743 records. This file provides information on inmates whose death sentences were removed as well as information on those inmates who were executed. Part 3 is the current file which consists of 2099 records and provides information on inmates who received a second death sentence by yearend 1987 as well as those who were already on death row.

Background

In the 1972 decision FURMAN v. GEORGIA, the Supreme Court struck down, on Eighth Amendment grounds. State and Federal capital punishment laws that permitted wide discretion in the application of the death penalty. response, many States revised their statutes to conform to the guidelines in FURMAN. The High Court clarified these guidelines in a series of five decision announced on July 2, 1976. In WOODSON v. NORTH CAROLINA and ROBERTS v. LOUISIANA the court struck down State statutes that required mandatory imposition of the death penalty for specified crimes. As a direct consequence, mandatory death penalty provisions in 21 States were invalidated either through subsequent court action or repeal by State legislatures. This resulted in the modification (to life imprisonment) of death sentences imposed upon hundreds of offenders in these States. In three other major cases, however, the Supreme Court upheld

State death penalty laws that afforded sentencing authorities discretion to impose death sentences for specified crimes (GREGG v. GEORGIA, JUREK v. TEXAS, and PROFFIT v. FLORIDA). The Court validated statutes that permitted the imposition of the death penalty after consideration of aggravating and mitigating circumstances.

Further refinements in the Court's views of State death penalty statutes were offered in cases during the late 1970's and early 1980's relating to such issues as whether rape may be punishable by death (COKER v. GEORGIA), mitigating factors only listed in statute (LOCKETT v. OHIO), excusing jurors from service (ADAMS v. TEXAS), findings by juries on lesser included offenses (BECK v. ALABAMA), the use of testimony from a pretrial competency hearing (ESTELLE v. SMITH), failure to consider mitigating factors (EDDINGS v. OKLAHOMA), conviction under a statute that has been partially struck (HOPPER v. EVANS), jury instructions regarding possible commutation by a governor (CALIFORNIA v. RAMOS), commission of "harmless error" by sentencing judge (BARCLAY v. FLORIDA), and admissibility of psychiatric evidence predicting future dangerousness (BAREFOOT v. ESTELLE).

On January 27, 1987, the Supreme Court in CALIFORNIA v. BROWN handed down a decision relating to jury instructions on aggravating and mitigating circumstances during the penalty phase of a capital trial. After finding the defendant guilty of the forcible rape and murder of a 15-year-old, the jury received testimony from the defendant's family, a psychiatrist, and the defendant regarding his usual behavior and psychological problems. The trial court advised the jury to consider the mitigating evidence presented by the defendant but cautioned them to "not be swayed by mere sentiment, conjecture, sympathy, passion, prejudice, public opinion or public feeling." The defendant was subsequently sentenced to death.

On appeal to the Supreme Court of California, the death sentence was reversed based upon the conclusion that the trial court's instruction denied the defendant the right to have the jury weight the "sympathy factor" raised by the testimony during the penalty phase. The High Court, however, concluded that the use of the term "mere sympathy" in the instruction was simply a directive to the jury to focus on the evidence presented in aggravation and mitigation and to ignore extraneous emotional factors, and it violated neither the Eighth nor the Fourteenth amendment. The decision of the California Supreme Court was reversed, and the case was remanded for further proceedings.

In TISON v. ARIZONA (decided April 21, 1987) the Supreme Court dealt with the issue of capital sentencing for accomplices to felony murder. In July 1978 three brothers entered the Arizona State Prison armed with a large number of weapons and effected the escape of their father and his cellmate. The automobile in which they were riding broke down, and a passing automobile occupied by two adults and two children was flagged down for assistance. The two prison escapees subsequently murdered its occupants.

Two of the brothers were convicted of four murders each under the accomplice liability and felony-murder statutes of the State. The trial judge found three statutory aggravating circumstances present, including one that defined conduct that created a grave risk of death to others, and he imposed capital sentences for each. The Arizona Supreme Court, in its review, upheld two of the aggravating circumstances and the death sentence; only the "grave risk to others" circumstances was found to be unsupported by the evidence. In a subsequent post-conviction challenge, the Arizona Supreme Court also concluded that the requisite "intent to kill" for accomplices was also satisfied by the defendants' active role in the prison breakout and abduction of the victims.

The U.S. Supreme Court upheld the death sentences, but it rejected the Arizona Supreme Court's interpretation of the "intent to kill" circumstance. It held that "reckless indifference to human life" in felony murder would satisfy Eighth amendment concerns. The case was remanded for further proceedings by the State.

The Supreme Court addressed the issue of nonstatutory mitigating circumstances in HITCHCOCK v. DUGGER (decided April 22, 1987). The death sentence was imposed in a Florida case arising from the strangulation murder of a 13-year-old female. In the penalty phase the sentencing judge instructed the advisory jury to consider only mitigating circumstances enumerated in statute and then indicated that his own deliberations were based exclusively on statutorily defined aggravating and mitigating circumstances. The Supreme Court reversed the death sentence, concluding that the sentencer may not refuse to consider any relevant mitigating evidence.

On April 22, 1987, the High court in MCCLESKEY v. KEMP dealt with the question of whether the capital sentencing process in Georgia was being administered in a racially biased manner in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth amendments. The petition used statistical data on capital sentences imposed in Georgia to argue that black defendants

who killed white victims have the highest probability of receiving a death sentence. The High Court rejected this claim, concluding that:

- 1. There was no evidence of racial discrimination by decision makers in the petitioner's case;
- 2. There was no evidence that the legislature of Georgia adopted or maintained capital punishment for racially discriminatory purposes; and
- 3. There was no merit to the argument that the sentence was disproportionate, arbitrary, or capriciously imposed.

ARIZONA v. MAURO (decided May 4, 1987) addressed the issue of Fifth amendment protection against self-incrimination. The petitioner, convicted of child abuse and the murder of his son and sentenced to death, had been taken into custody by police and was twice warned of his Miranda rights. While in the police station, his wife requested an opportunity to talk with him. The police agreed to the meeting with the stipulation that a police officer would be present, with a tape recorder, to safeguard against potential violence or an escape attempt and to assure that there was no attempt to exchange statements about the crime. The tape-recorded meeting was subsequently used as evidence to rebut the defendant's claim of insanity at the time of the offense. The Arizona Supreme Court reversed the death sentence, concluding that the police had violated Miranda and impermissibly interrogated the defendant. The High Court, however, held that the actions by the police did not constitute an interrogation and that the defendant's statements had been voluntary.

GRAY v. MISSISSIPPI (decided May 18, 1987) dealt with the issue of juror selection in a Mississippi kidnaping-murder case. During the voir dire proceeding, the trial judge in eight instances rejected motions by the prosecutor to dismiss for cause jurors who had indicated hesitancy about their ability to impose the death penalty. As a result, the prosecutor utilized peremptory challenges to remove these potential jurors. Subsequently, the judge accepted the prosecutor's motion to remove for cause, after he had exhausted all of his peremptory challenges, a prospective juror who, though initially confused about the death penalty, indicated she could impose it. The trial judge acknowledged that he had made the prosecutor use peremptory challenges to reject potential jurors opposed to the death penalty. The Mississippi Supreme Court ultimately upheld the conviction and death sentence, concluding that, although the juror was not excludable for cause, the result was simply to correct previous errors in not permitting earlier juror challenges for cause. The High Court,

however, found that the process was flawed since the composition of the entire jury panel could have been affected by the error, and the case was remanded for further proceedings.

Another issue addressed by the Supreme Court during the year was the use of victim impact statements during the sentencing phase of a capital murder trial. In BOOTH v. MARYLAND (reported June 15, 1987), a double robbery-murder case involving elderly victims, a victim impact statement was prepared, in accordance with Maryland law, by the Division of Probation and Parole. The report contained information drawn from interviews with family members of the victims. The defendant's counsel moved to suppress the report, contending that its use violated the Eighth amendment because of its inflammatory content. The trial court, however, permitted the victim impact statement to be read to the jury by the prosecutor. The Maryland Court of Appeals ultimately upheld the death sentence that was imposed. The High Court however, struck down the use of such statements in capital cases, concluding that such statements shifted the focus of sentencing away from the defendant's record and character, depended too heavily on the ability of family members to express their grief, and may result in a "minitrial" on the victim's character.

In SUMMER v. SHERMAN (decided June 22, 1987) the Supreme Court struck down a Nevada statute that imposed a mandatory death sentence for murder committed by an inmate serving a life sentence without possibility of parole. The Court's decision noted that capital sentences could only be imposed after consideration of relevant mitigating circumstances, if any, and that mandatory death sentences for life prisoners violated the Eighth and Fourteenth amendments.

The double jeopardy clause of the Fifth amendment was a central issue in RICKETTS v. ADAMSON (decided June 22, 1987), an Arizona capital murder case arising from the fatal bombing of a reporter. Originally, the defendant pleaded guilty to a plea-bargained charge of second-degree murder after agreeing to testify against other parties involved in the murder, and he received a confinement sentence of 20 years and 2 months. The plea agreement provided that the original first-degree murder charge would be reinstated if the defendant failed to testify against the other parties. At the trial of the other individuals, the respondent did provide the requisite testimony, and they were convicted. However, the Arizona Supreme Court later reversed these convictions and ordered new trials. The respondent subsequently refused to testify at pretrial proceedings

against these other participants, contending that his obligation under the plea agreement had been satisfied. The prosecutor subsequently filed an information charging him with first-degree murder. The Arizona Supreme Court, despite a motion to reject the information on double jeopardy grounds, vacated the second-degree murder conviction and reinstated the first-degree murder charges. The respondent was ultimately convicted and sentenced to death, and the death sentence was upheld on appeal to the Arizona Supreme Court. The Court of Appeals, however, concluded that the State had violated his double jeopardy protection and had not waived such rights under the original plea agreement. The Supreme Court reversed the findings of the Court of Appeals, concluding that the respondent had breached his promises to testify and could be prosecuted again since second-degree murder was a lesser included offense of first-degree murder.

BURGER v. KEMP (decided June 26, 1987) dealt with the Sixth amendment issue of the effectiveness of counsel in a Georgia case. The petitioner was convicted, along with another individual, of the abduction, robbery, sodomy, and murder of a taxi driver and was sentenced to death. Throughout the trial and initial appeal, the petitioner was represented by an appointed counsel whose law partner had been appointed to represent the other individual involved in the murder. At each trial, the defense strategy was to emphasize the coindictee's greater culpability in the crimes. At the sentencing phase, defense counsel did not offer any evidence of mitigating circumstances. With a new attorney, the petitioner sought relief from the death sentence on the grounds of inadequate counsel, claiming a conflict of interest arose when the law partners each represented the two defendants and because no mitigating evidence was offered at sentencing.

The District Court and the Court of Appeals both rejected the defendant's claim of ineffective representation. The Supreme Court in its review concluded that overlapping counsel did not in and of itself violate constitutional guarantees of effective counsel and that the original attorney had adequately investigated the possibility of presenting mitigating evidence.

At yearend 1987 the death penalty was authorized by the statutes of 37 States and by Federal statute. During 1987 there were no successful challenges to the constitutionality of State death penalty laws, and no State enacted any new legislation authorizing capital punishment.

Nine States altered their existing death penalty statutes during 1987. Four States, Colorado, Illinois, Maryland, and Montana, revised the enumerated aggravating circumstances to be considered at the sentencing phase of a capital trial. Colorado added felony murder as an aggravating circumstance: Illinois amended the multiple murder circumstance to include acts that would be likely to cause death or great bodily harm as aggravating situations; Maryland expanded the definition of law enforcement officers used in their listing of aggravating conditions; and Montana added the death of a kidnapping victim or a person rescuing a kidnapping victim. Indiana added both an aggravating and mitigating circumstance to its statutes. Murder of a victim younger than 12 years old was included as an aggravating factor, and an offender younger than 18 at the time of the capital offense was to be considered a mitigating factor.

Four States amended their laws relating to the minimum age at the time of an offense for which a person could be sentenced to death. Indiana and Kentucky raised the age to 16, North Carolina set the minimum age at 17, and Maryland enacted a minimum age of 18. Other amendments during the year included:

- --Maryland created the sentencing option of life without possibility of parole for first-degree murder convictions;
- --New Hampshire changed the method of execution from hanging to lethal injection; and
- --Washington modified procedures for reissuing death warrants after the passage of an execution date.

At yearend 1987 lethal injection (18 States) and electrocution (14 States) were the most common methods of execution authorized. Seven States authorized lethal gas; two States, hanging; and two States, a firing squad. Six States authorized more than one method—lethal injection and an alternative method—generally at either the election of the condemned prisoner or based upon the date of sentencing.

Some States have stipulated an alternative to lethal injection, anticipating that it may be found unconstitutional. Each of the other four methods, previously challenged on Eighth Amendment grounds as cruel and unusual punishment, has been found to be constitutional. The method of execution for Federal offenders is that of the State in which the execution takes place.

Of the 37 States with capital punishment statutes at yearend 1987, 34 provided for an automatic review of all death sentences. Arkansas, Florida, and Vermont had no specific provisions for automatic review. In most States automatic review is conducted regardless of the defendant's wishes. While most of the 34 States authorize automatic review of both conviction and sentence, Idaho and Indiana require review of the sentence only. Typically, the review is undertaken directly by the State Supreme Court. If either the conviction or sentence is vacated, the case may be remanded to the trial court for additional proceedings or for retrial. It is possible that, as a result of retrial or resentencing, the death sentence may be reimposed.

A total of 26 States specify a minimum age at the time of the offense for which the death penalty may be imposed. In some States the minimum age is specified in the capital punishment statute; in others it is, in effect, set forth in the statutory provisions that determine the age at which a juvenile may be transferred to criminal court for trial as an adult. The most frequently specified age is 18 years (11 States). Eleven States and the Federal system report no minimum age.

Based on voluntary reporting, the National Prisoner Statistics Program (NPS) collects and interprets data on State and Federal prisoners. Begun by the Bureau of the Census in 1926, the program was transferred to the Bureau of Prisons in 1950, the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) in 1971, and the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) late in 1979. Since 1972, the Bureau of the Census, as the collecting agent for LEAA and BJS, has had responsibility for compiling the relevant data.

Limitations

As noted above the capital punishment data collection program was begun in 1926. The earliest date of conviction is 1917 and the earliest date of sentence is 1939. Information collected prior to 1972 is in many cases incomplete and reflect vestiges in the reporting process. In addition to the collection changes, the users should note that the capital punishment combination file will contain duplicate identification numbers for some cases which is a result of a change in status of the inmate. The identification numbers were assigned by the Bureau of Census and have no purpose outside the dataset. At yearend the survey is completed on each inmate. For example,

the sentence of death may have been removed and, in some cases, reinstated. Since the combination file is a compilation of the historical file and the current file, the identification number may appear more than once.

Additional Information

Additional information about the dataset is in the Bureau of Justice Statistics publication titled Correctional Populations in the United States, 1986 (NCJ-111611, February 1989).

Related information about the dataset can also be found in the Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin titled Capital Punishment 1987 (NCJ-111939, July 1988).

Further related information is compiled in the Bureau of Justice Statistics publication titled Capital Punishment 1984 (NCJ-99562, May 1986).

FILE STRUCTURE

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IN THE UNITED STATES, 1973-1987 is available from the ICPSR in two formats: card image and OSIRIS. The card image file contains several decks per case in a format based on 80 column punched cards. The data are sorted by case with all decks for a case together in ascending order.

The OSIRIS dictionary gives the format and other information for each variable in the OSIRIS data file. The dictionary or dictionary-codebook file is used in conjunction with the OSIRIS software package. The OSIRIS data file is constructed with a single logical record for each case. There are 36 variables on 3842 cases for part 1, 1743 cases for part 2, and 2099 cases for part 3.

The OSIRIS data file can be accessed directly through software packages or programs which do not use the OSIRIS dictionary by specifying the tape locations of the desired variables. These tape locations are given in the OSIRIS dictionary-codebook.

CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The example below is a reproduction of information appearing in the machine-readable codebook for a typical variable. The numbers in brackets do not appear but are references to the descriptions which follow this example.

[1] VAR 18 [2] PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS [3] MD=3 REF 18 [4] LOC 34 WIDTH 1 [5] DK 1 COL 60

[6] Q.10a. Prior felony conviction(s)

[7] [8]

- 1. Yes
- 2. No (skip to item 11)
- 3. Unknown (skip to item 11)
- [1] Indicates the variable and reference numbers. A variable number and a reference number are assigned to each variable in the data collection. In the present codebook which documents the archived data collection these numbers are identical. Should the data be subsetted or rearranged by an OSIRIS program (e.g., MMP to intersperse data from another source, or TCOT to produce an analysis deck), the variable numbers would change to reflect the order of the new data collection, while the reference number would remain unchanged to reflect the variable number in the codebook describing the archived data collection.
- [2] Indicates the abbreviated variable name (maximum of 24 characters) used in the OSIRIS system to identify the variable for the user. An expanded version of the variable name can be found in the variable description list.

- [3] Indicates the code values of missing data. In this example, code values equal to 3 or greater than or equal to 3 are missing data (MD=3 OR GE 3). Alternative statements for other variables are "MD=0," "MD GE 3," or "NO MISSING DATA CODES." Some analysis software packages (including the OSIRIS software package) require that certain types of data which the user desires to be excluded from analysis be designated as "MISSING DATA," e.g., inappropriate, unascertained, unascertainable, or ambiguous data categories. Although these codes are defined as missing data categories, this does not mean that the user should not or cannot use them in a substantive role if so desired.
- [4] Indicates the starting location and width of this variable when the data are stored on a magnetic tape in the OSIRIS format. If the variable is of a multiple-response type, the width referenced is that of a single response. In this example the variable named "Prior felony convictions" is 1 column(s) wide and is located in the 34th column within the record.
- [5] Indicates the location by deck and column(s) of this variable when the data are stored on cards or in card-image format (80-column format)
- [6] This is the full text (question) supplied by the investigator to describe the variable. The question text and the numbers and letters that may appear at the beginning reflect the original wording of the questionnaire item.
- [7] Indicates the code values occurring in the data for this variable.
- [8] Indicates an additional comment or explanation appended to the variable description.

ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION

The data collection was processed according to the standard ICPSR processing procedures. The data were checked for illegal or inconsistent code values which, when found, were recoded to OSIRIS missing data values. No consistency checks were performed. Statements bracketed in "<" and ">" and ">" signs in the body of the codebook were added by the processors for explanatory purposes.

VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

- 1 ICPSR Study Number-9210
- 2 ICPSR Edition Number-1
- 3 ICPSR Part Number
- 4 ICPSR Sequential Case Identification Number
- 5 Inmate identification number
- 6 State
- 7 Sex
- 8 Race
- 9 Hispanic origin
- 10 Date of birth: Month
- 11 Date of birth: Year
- 12 Capital offenses for which imprisoned: Murder
- 13 Capital offenses for which imprisoned: Rape
- 14 Capital offenses for which imprisoned: Kidnap
- 15 Marital status at time of first imprisonment for capital offense
- 16 Highest year of education completed at time of first imprisonment for capital offense
- 17 Legal status at time of capital offense
- 18 Prior felony conviction(s)
- 19 Were any of these convictions for criminal homicide?
- 20 Date of arrest for capital offense: Month
- 21 Date of arrest for capital offense: Year
- 22 Date of conviction for capital offense: Month
- 23 Date of conviction for capital offense: Year
- 24 Date of sentence for capital offense: Month
- 25 Date of sentence for capital offense: Year
- 26 Inmate status on December 31, 19_?
- 27 Was this inmate on escape or at a mental hospital on December 31?
- 28 Was this inmate on escape or at a mental hospital on December 31: Month
- 29 Was this inmate on escape or at a mental hospital on December 31: Year
- 30 Reason for inmate's removal from under sentence of death
- 31 Reason for inmate's removal from under sentence of death: Month
- 32 Reason for inmate's removal from under sentence of death: Year
- 33 Current status of inmate removed from sentence of death
- 34 Current status of inmate removed from sentence of death
- 35 Method of execution
- 36 Cause of death other than execution

ICPSR STUDY NUMBER-9210 NO MISSING DATA CODES VAR 0001 REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 ICPSR Study Number-9210 9210. The ICPSR has attached this number as a unique data collection identification number. VAR 0002 | ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 | NO MISSING DATA CODES LOC 5 WIDTH 1 REF 0002 ICPSR Edition Number-1 The number identifying the release edition of the data collection. 1. Summer 1989 VAR 0003 | CPSR PART NUMBER NO MISSING DATA CODES LOC 6 WIDTH 1 REF 0003 ICPSR Part Number 1. Part one is the Combined file. 2. Part two is the Historical file. 3. Part three is the Current file. NO MISSING DATA CODES VAR 0004 ICPSR SEQ ID NUMBER LOC 7 WIDTH 4 REF 0004

The ICPSR has attached a sequential identification number to

ICPSR Sequential Case Identification Number

every case the data c			uely	iden	tifi	ies each	recor	d in
VAR 0005 REF 0005			4		NO	MISSING	DATA	CODES
Inmate ide	ntificati 	on number						
Actual num	ber is co	ded	• • • •	• • • • •	• • •			
VAR 0006 REF 0006		15 WIDTH	2		NO	MISSING	DATA	CODES
Q.l. State								
State numb		ed using F	ips	codes				
SEE NOTE(S) 1							
VAR 0007 REF 0007		17 WIDTH	1	•••	NO	MISSING	DATA	CODES
Q.2. Sex								
	le male							
VAR 0008	RACE		••••		 NO	MISSING	DATA	CODES
REF 0008	LOC	18 WIDTH	1 					
Q.4a. Race	•							

• • •		White Black American India Asian or Pacif Other			Nati	ve 	• • • •		
	0009	HISPANIC OR LOC 19	IGIN WIDTH	1					MD=3
(2.4b. H	ispanic origin							
		Hispanic Non-Hispanic							
	3.	Not known			• • • •				
	0010 0010	DATE OF BIR LOC 20							MD=99
	2.5.(1)	Date of birth:	Month						
	01.	January •							
	12.	December							
• • • •	99.	Unknown	• • • • • •	· • • • •	• • • •	••••	••••	• • • •	
	0011 0011	DATE OF BIR LOC 22				NO MI	SSING	DATA	CODES

01. 1901

Q.5.(2) Date of birth: Year

71.	1971			
VAR 0012 REF 0012		IMPRIS-MURDER 24 WIDTH 2	NO MISSING DA	ATA CODES
Q.6.(1)	Capital of	fenses for which	imprisoned: Murdo	er
02.	Yes No			
REF 0013	LOC	26 WIDTH 2	NO MISSING D	ATA CODES
Q.6.(2)	Capital of	fenses for which	imprisoned: Rape	
01. 02.	Yes No			
VAR 0014 REF 0014	CAP OFF LOC	IMPRIS-KIDNAP 28 WIDTH 2	NO MISSING D	ATA CODES
Q.6.(3)	Capital of	fenses for which	imprisoned: Kidn	ap
01. 02.	Yes No			
VAR 0015 REF 0015	MARITAL LOC	STAT-1ST CAP OFF 30 WIDTH 1		MD=5

Q.7. Marital status at time of first imprisonment for

capital offense

- 1. Married (include commonlaw marriage)
- 2. Divorced or separated
- 3. Widowed
- 4. Never married
- 5. Not known

VAR 0016 HIGH LEV ED-IST CAP OFF MD=12
REF 0016 LOC 31 WIDTH 2

Q.8. Highest year of education completed at time of first imprisonment for capital offense

- 01. 7th grade or less
- 02. 8th grade
- 03. 9th grade
- 04. 10th grade
- 05. 11th grade
- 06. 12th grade (include G.E.D.)
- 07. 1st year of college
- 08. 2nd year of college
- 09. 3rd year of college .
- 10. 4th year of college
- 11. More than 4 years of college

LOC 33 WIDTH I

12. Not known

VAR 0017 LEGAL STA AT TIME CAP OF MD=8

Q.9. Legal status at time of capital offense

Not under sentence

REF 0017

- No charges pending
- 2. Charges pending

Under sentence

- 3. On probation
- 4. On parole
- 5. On escape
- 6. Imprisoned
- 7. Other (includes mandatory conditional release, bail, leave from detention, AWOL, work furlough, jail and work release)
- 8. Unknown

VAR 0018 PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS MD=3
REF 0018 LOC 34 WIDTH 1

Q.10a. Prior felony conviction(s)

- 1. Yes
- 2. No (skip to item 11)
- 3. Unknown (skip to item 11)

VAR 0019 PRIOR CONVICT-CRIM HOMIC MD=7 OR GE 8 REF 0019 LOC 35 WIDTH 1

-- If Q.10a. coded 1--

Q.10b. Were any of these convictions for criminal homicide?

- 1. Murder
- 2. Involuntary manslaughter
- 3. Other manslaughter
- 4. Attempted murder
- 5. Other
- 6. No
- 7. Unknown
- 8. Not applicable

VAR 0020 DATE ARREST CAP OFF-MON MD=99 REF 0020 LOC 36 WIDTH 2 -- If Q.10a. coded 2 or 3--Q.11.(1) Date of arrest for capital offense: Month 01. January 12. December 99. Unknown VAR 0021 DATE ARREST CAP OFF-YR REF 0021 LOC 38 WIDTH 2 MD=99 Q.11.(2) Date of arrest for capital offense: Year 01. 1901 87. 1987 99. Unknown VAR 0022 DATE CONVICT CAP OFF-MO REF 0022 LOC 40 WIDTH 2 MD=99 Q.12.(1) Date of conviction for capital offense: Month 01. January 12. December 99. Unknown

	DATE CONVICT CAP OFF-YR LOC 42 WIDTH 2	MD=99
Q.12.(2)	Date of conviction for capital offense: Year	
01.	1901	
87.	1987	
99.	Unknown	
VAR 0024 REF 0024	DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO LOC 44 WIDTH 2	MD=99
Q.13.(1)	Date of sentence for capital offense: Month	
01.	January •	
12.	December	
99.	Unknown	
VAR 0025 REF 0025	DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-YR LOC 46 WIDTH 2	MD≃99
Q.13.(2)	Date of sentence for capital offense: Year	
01.	1901	
87.	1987	
99.	Unknown	

VAR 0026 INMATE STATUS ON 12/31 REF 0026 LOC 48 WIDTH 1 MD=9Q14a. Inmate status on December 31, 19__? 1. Under sentence of death (Continue with item b) 2. Sentence of death removed (Skip to items c and d) 9. Unknown VAR 0027 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-12/31 MD=9REF 0027 LOC 49 WIDTH 1 -- If Q.14a. coded 1--Q14b.(1) Was this inmate on escape or at a mental hospital on December 31? 1. Yes, on escape 2. Yes, at a mental hospital 3. No 9. Unknown VAR 0028 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-MONTH REF 0028 LOC 50 WIDTH 2 MD=99 -- If Q.14a. coded 1--Q14b. (2) Was this inmate on escape or at a mental hospital on December 31: Month 01. January 12. December

99. Unknown

VAR 0029 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-YEAR REF 0029 LOC 52 WIDTH 2

MD=99

-- If Q.14a. coded 1--

014b. (3) Was this inmate on escape or at a mental hospital on December 31: Year

- 01. 1901
- 87. 1987
- 99. Unknown

VAR 0030 REAS INMAT REMOV DEA SEN REF 0030 LOC 54 WIDTH 1

MD=9

--If Q.14a. coded 2--

Q14c.(1) Reason for inmate's removal from under sentence of

- 1. Executed
- Deceased by other causes
- Capital sentence declared unconstitutional by State or U.S. Supreme Court
- 4. Sentence commuted
- Conviction affirmed, sentence overturned by appellate court
- Conviction and sentence overturned by appellate court
- 7. Other
- 8. Information not available at this office
- 9. Unknown

	-	REAS IN							MD=99
	If Q.1	a. coded	2						
	Q.14c.(2) death: Mo	Reason f	or i		remova	l from	under	sente	ence of
	01,	January							
	12.	December							
	99.	Unknown							
VAR REF	0032 0032	REAS IN LOC	MATE 57		-YEAR 2		• • • • •	• • • • •	MD=99
. (-	Reason f		nmate's	remova	l from	under	sente	ence of
	01.	1901				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	87.	1987							
	99.	Unknown							
	0033 0033	CUR STA LOC		-REM DE WIDTH			• • • • •		MD=99
	If Q.11	a. coded	2						
	Q.14d.(1) death	Current	stat	us of i	nmate r	emoved	frem	senter	nce of

	01. 02. 03. 04. 05. 06. 07.	Awaiting retrial to determine guilt Awaiting resentencing only (guilt affirmed) Found not guilty in retrial All charges on capital offense dropped Other No action has been taken since removal from sentence of death Information not available at this office	
	99.	Unknown	• • • • •
	R 0034 F 0034	CUR STA INM-REM DEA SENT M LOC 61 WIDTH 3	D=999
	If Q.	14a. coded 2	
	Q14d.(2) death) Current status of inmate removed from sentence	of
		sentence length in years	
		six yearsseven years.	
	600	. six hundred years	
	999	. Unknown	
•	SEE NOTE	E (S) 2 TUS OF INMATE NUMERIC CODES	
•		******************	· · · · ·

MD=9

15. Method of execution

VAR 0035 METHOD OF EXECUTION REF 0035 LOC 64 WIDTH 1

- 1. Lethal injection
- 2. Electrocution
- Lethal gas
- 4. Hanging
- 5. Firing squad
- 6. Other
- 9. Unknown

VAR 0036 NO EXECUTION-CAUSE DEATH REF 0036 LOC 65 WIDTH 1 MD=9

Q.16. Cause of death other than execution

- 1. Natural causes

 - Suicide
 Murdered by another inmate
 - 4. Other
 - 9. Unknown

APPENDIX

*** NOTE 1 ***

STATE (FIPS) CODES

S	TATE	STATE	STATE	STATE
С	ODE	NAME	CODE	NAME
	01	Alabama	20	Montana
	02	Alaska	30 31	Nebraska
	04	Arizona	32	Nevada
		Arkansas	-	
	05		33	New Hampshire
	06	California	34	New Jersey
	08	Colorado	35	New Mexico
	09	Connecticut	36	New York
	10	Delaware	37	North Carolina
	11	District of Columbia	38	North Dakota
	12	Florida	39	Ohio
	13	Georgia	40	Oklahoma
	15	Hawaii	41	Oregon
	16	Idaho	42	Pennsylvania
	17	Illinois	44	Rhode Island
	18	Indiana	45	South Carolina
	19	lowa	46	South Dakota
	20	Kansas	47	Tennessee
	21	Kentucky	48	Texas
	22	Louisiana	49	Utah
	23	Maine	50	Vermont
	24	Maryland	51	Virginia
	25	Massachusetts	53	Washington
	26	Michigan	54	West Virginia
	27	Minnesota	55	Wisconsin
	28	Mississippi	56	Wyoming
	29	Missouri	57	Federal Prison
	-)		J1	System
				-/

*** NOTE 2 ***

Numeric Code for Status of Inmate removed from sentence of death

DIS	Discharged by Court Order900
EXC	Executed901
INS	incompetent to stand trial902
KAE	Killed attempting escape903
LIF	Life sentence904
MUR	Murdered by another inmate905
NAT	Natural death906
NEV	On death row in Nevada907
OAB	Out on Bond908
PR0	On probation909
SUI	Suicide910
WRT	Writ911

	OMB No. 1121-0030: Approval Expires: 10/31/90
ORM NPS-8 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS	CENSUS USE ONLY
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS ACTING AS COLLECTING AGENT FOR THE BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	a. Report year b.
REPORT OF INMATES UNDER SENTENCE OF D NATIONAL PRISONERS STATISTICS	EATH 19 Card of Cards
Bureau of the Census ATTN: Demographic Surveys Division Washington, DC 20233	IMPORTANT — Please read instructions on reverse before completing this form.
1. State	11. Date of arrest for Month Year capital offense
2. Inmate name Last First Middle	12. Date of conviction for Month Year capital offense
3. Sex - Mark (X) appropriate box.	13. Date of sentence for Month Year capital offense
1	14a. Inmate status on December 31, 19
1 ☐ White 4 ☐ Asian or Pacific Islander 2 ☐ Black 5 ☐ Other — Specify ⊋ 3 ☐ American Indian or Alaskan Native	Mark (X) appropriate box. 1 ☐ Under sentence of death — Continue with item b 2 ☐ Sentence of death removed — Skip to items c and d 14b.Was this inmate on escape or at a membal
4b. Hispanic origin — Mark (X) appropriate box. 1 ☐ Hispanic 2 ☐ Non-Hispanic 3 ☐ Not known	hospital on December 31? 1 Yes, on escape — Enter month: and year of escape. 2 Yes, at a mental hospital — Month Enter month and year of transfer to mental hospital.
5. Date of birth Month Year	3 □ No NO FURTHER INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THIS INMATE
6. Cepital offense(s) for which imprisoned	14c. Reason for Inmate's removal from under sentence of death — Mark (X) appropriate box. Month Year
Number of death sentences imposed ——	1 Executed — Enter date and skip
CENSUS USE ONLY	2 Deceased by other causes —
7. Marital status at time of first imprisonment for capital offense	constitutional by State or U.S. Supreme Court
1 Married — Include common law marriage	
2 ∐ Divorced or separated 3 ☐ Widowed	Sentence commuted
4 ☐ Never married 5 ☐ Not known	overturned by appellate court .
Highest year of education completed at time of first imprisonment for capital offense	turned by appellate court
01 🔲 7th grade or less 07 🔲 1st year of college	
o2 ☐ 8th grade o8 ☐ 2nd year o3 ☐ 9th grade o9 ☐ 3rd year	8 Information not available at this office
04 ☐ 10th grade 10 ☐ 4th year	14d.Current status of Inmate removed from sentence of death — Mark the one box showing the inmate's status as
os ☐ 11th grade 11 ☐ More than 4 years of college os ☐ 12th grade — 12 ☐ Not known	of the day you fill out this report, i.e., TODAY.
(Include GED)	1 Under new sentence of
9. Legal status at time of capital offense	3 Awaiting resentencing only (guilt affirmed)
Mark (X) appropriate box. NOT UNDER SENTENCE	4 D Found not guilty in retrial
1 No charges pending 2 Charges pending	5 ☐ All charges on capital offense dropped 6 ☐ Other — Specify →
UNDER SENTENCE	
3 🔲 On probation	7 ☐ No action has been taken since removal from sentence of death
4 ☐ On parole 5 ☐ On escape	8 Information not available at this office
e 🔲 Imprisoned	15. Method of execution — Mark one box showing how the inmate was executed,
7 ☐ Other — Specify legal status	01 Lethal injection 02 Electrocution
0a. Prior felony conviction(s) — Mark (X) in appropriate box.	03 Lethal gas
1 🔲 Yes	04 Hanging
2 No Skip to item 11	05 ☐ Firing squad 06 ☐ Other — Specify
	18. Cause of death other than annual and
Ob, Wers any of these convictions for criminal homicide? ☐ Yes, prior conviction for ☐ 6 ☐ No	16. Cause of death other than execution Mark the appropriate box.
1 Murder 7 Unknown	01 ☐ Natural causes
2 Involuntary manslaughter	02 🔲 Suicide
어네요	03 ☐ Murdered by another inmate 04 ☐ Other — Specify
5 ☐ Other — Specify	Over Other Specify

INSTRUCTIONS

Please complete one card for each person who (1) entered your State's correctional system under sentence of death at any time during the report year, or (2) had received a sentence of death in a previous year but was not previously reported. Attempt to answer all items.

Item b. Card of Cards — Complete this item to insure proper accounting of all persons under sentence of death in your correctional system who have not been previously reported.

Item 4a. Race

- (1) White A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa, or the Middle East.
- (2) Black A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.
- (3) American Indian or Alaskan Native A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North America, and who maintains cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.
- (4) Asian or Pacific Islander A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian Subcontinent, or the Pacific Islands. This area includes China, India, Japan, Korea, the Philippine Islands, and Samoa.
- (5) Other Any other race not covered by the above categories. Please specify the race in the space provided.

Item 4b. Hispanic Origin — Hispanics are defined as those having an ethnic origin or background in Central America, South America, Mexico, Cuba, Puerto Rico, or Spain.

Item 5. Date of Birth — Enter two digits for month (January = 01, February = 02, etc.) and last two digits of year.

Item 6. Capital offense for which imprisoned — Mark all and only those offenses for which capital punishment was levied during the report year or a previous year but not reported. Enter the number of death sentences the inmate received in the space provided.

Item 7. Marital status at time of first imprisonment for capital offense — "Time of first imprisonment" refers to the immate's original time of arrival at prizon after his first sentencing to death. Separated does not include separation because of imprisonment.

Item 8. Highest year of education completed at time of first imprisonment for capital offense — Time frame as in Item 7 above, include Graduate Equivalent Degree (GED) as "12th grade."

Item 9. Legal status at time of capital offense — If the person was known to be free in all states with no criminal charges pending at the time of the offense(s) in item 6, mark "Not under sentence — no charges pending."

item 10a. Prior felony conviction(s) — If the person was known to have been convicted of any felony(ies) before being convicted of the offense(s) in item 6, mark "Yes" in item 10a and fill item 10b.

item 10b. Prior conviction for criminal homicide — If the prior felony conviction(s) referred to in item 10a was for criminal homicide, mark item 10b "Yes". Also mark one or more of the types of criminal homicide listed. If the person was convicted of a type not listed, mark "other" and specify the type.

item 12. Date of conviction for capital offense — Generally a person will receive only one conviction for a single or multiple capital offense(s). For such a person, enter the month and year he or she was found quilty of the capital offense(s) entered in item 6. If a person was convicted of more than one capital offense and received more than one death sentence, not all on the same date, enter the earliest date (month and year) the person was found guilty. If a person was previously convicted for the offense(s) shown in item 6, and that conviction was subsequently overturned — the person was retried and found guilty — enter the most recent month and year of conviction. Do not for any of the above situations, enter the date an appeal was rejected or the person was admitted to prison.

Item 13. Date of sentence for capital offense — Enter the month and year the person was sentenced to death. This date must be the same or later than the conviction date entered in item 12.

Item 14a. Inmate status on December 31, 19— Indicate whether or not the inmate was under sentence of death at the end of the report year.

Item 14b. If inmate was under sentence of death on December 31, indicate if the inmate was on escape or at a mental hospital. Enter the month and year of escape or transfer to mental hospital.

Item 14c. Reason for removal from sentence of death — Complete this item only if the sentence of death was removed during the report year. Mark the box which most accurately describes how the inmate was removed from sentence of death.

Item 14d. Current status of inmate removed from sentence of death — Mark the one box which most accurately shows the inmate's legal status as of THE DATE THIS REPORT IS COMPLETED. If "Under new sentence", enter new sentence.

TABLES

1

JUN 14, 1989 CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IN THE U.S., COMBINED FILE (ICPSR 9210)

ILLEGAL CHARACTERS IN THE DATA WILL BE TREATED AS MISSING DATA 1

THE DATA ARE NOT WEIGHTED

3.842 CASES PASSED FILTER

ANALYSIS 1

VARIABLE V3 ICPSR PART NUMBER

Code 1 TOTAL Frequency 3,842 3,842

ANALYSIS 2

VARIABLE V7 SEX

Code 1 2 TOTAL Frequency 3,784 58 3,842

ANALYSIS 3

VARIABLE V8 RACE

Code 1 2 3 4 5 TDTAL Frequency 2,130 1,666 25 13 8 3,842

ANALYSIS 4

VARIABLE V9 HISPANIC ORIGIN

Code 1 2 3 TOTAL Frequency 175 2,610 1,057 3,842

ANA	ı١	/ C	T	~	5
AINA	டி		1	-	- 3

VARIABLE	V10 D	ATE OF B	IRTH MONT	TH -											
Code Frequency	1 325	2 348	3 338	4 307	. 5 271	300 6	7 336	8 337	9 319	10 336	11 281	12 336	99 8	TOTAL 3,842	
						-									
ANALYSIS 6															
VARIABLE	V11 D	ATE OF B	IRTH YEAR	?											
Code Frequency	1 - 1	5 1	7 2	8 2	9	. 10 1	11 2	12 1	13 1	15 · · · 3	17 3	18 3	19 8	20 5	21 6
Code Frequency	22 7	23 8	24 6	25 11	26 7	27 11	28 18	29 14	30 17	31 20	32 15	33 24	34 21	35 29	36 41
Code Frequency	37 36	38 46	39 58	40 50	41 68	42 90	43 80	44 98	45 131	46 121	47 153	48 123	49 151	50 170	51 186
							-								
Code Frequency	52 155	53 181	54 186	55 157	56 197	57 176	58 168	59 171	60 142	61 98	62 99	63 95	64 53	65 47	66 32
Code Frequency	67 23	68 5	69 5	71 1	TOTAL 3,842										

VARIABLE V12 CAP OFF IMPRIS-MURDER

 Code
 1
 2
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 3,841
 1
 3,842

VARIABLE V13 CAP OFF IMPRIS-RAPE

Code - 1 2 TOTAL Frequency 1 3,841 3,842

ANALYSIS 9

VARIABLE V14 CAP OFF IMPRIS-KIDNAP

2 TOTAL 3,842 3,842 Code Frequency

ANALYSIS 10

VARIABLE V15 MARITAL STAT-1ST CAP OFF

Code TOTAL 1,161 718 90 1,672 3,842 Frequency 201

ANALYSIS 11

VARIABLE V16 HIGH LEV ED-1ST CAP OFF

9 TOTAL Code 10 11 476 104 21 47 Frequency 390 349 385 381 967 101 611 3,842

ANALYSIS 12

VARIABLE V17 LEGAL STA AT TIME CAP OF

7 TOTAL Code - 8 2,148 519 62 3,842 Frequency 227 163 106 582

AN	٩L	YS.	Ι	S	1:	3

VARIABLE V18 PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS

Code 1 2 3 TOTAL Frequency 1,864 1,016 962 3,842

ANALYSIS 14

PRIOR CONVICT-CRIM HOMIC VARIABLE V19 Code TOTAL 2,413 3,842 Frequency

DATE ARREST CAP OFF-MON

ANALYSIS 15

V20

VARIABLE

TOTAL Code 3,125 3,842 Frequency

ANALYSIS 16

VARIABLE V21 DATE ARREST CAP OFF-YR Code 3,125 Frequency

Code TOTAL Frequency 3,842

UN	14, 389	CAPITAL PUNISHMENT	IN THE U.S.,	COMBINED	FILE	(ICPSR 210

Code 83 84 85 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TOTAL	AL 42 56 67 21 13 31 82 52 274	
Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO Frequency 292 318 362 347 327 362 306 283 274 346 326 267 32 3.0 ANALYSIS 18 VARIABLE V23 DATE CONVICT CAP OFF-VR Code 17 39 49 54 56 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 6 13 9 6 14 13 Code 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 Frequency 34 34 58 60 37 44 166 313 261 159 227 164 193 Code 83 84 85 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO	36 67 21 13	
ANALYSIS 18 VARIABLE V23 DATE CONVICT CAP DFF-YR Code 17 39 49 54 56 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 6 13 9 6 14 13 Code 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 Frequency 34 34 58 60 37 44 166 313 261 159 227 164 193 : Code 83 84 85 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP DFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO	36 67 21 13	
VARIABLE V23 DATE CONVICT CAP OFF-YR Code 17 39 49 54 56 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 6 13 9 6 14 13 Code 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 Frequency 34 34 58 60 37 44 166 313 261 159 227 164 193 Code 83 84 85 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO	21 13 31 82	
VARIABLE V23 DATE CONVICT CAP OFF-YR Code 17 39 49 54 56 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 6 13 9 6 14 13 Code 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 Frequency 34 34 58 60 37 44 166 313 261 159 227 164 193 Code 83 84 85 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO	21 13 31 82	
VARIABLE V23 DATE CONVICT CAP OFF-YR Code 17 39 49 54 56 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 6 13 9 6 14 13 Code 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 Frequency 34 34 58 60 37 44 166 313 261 159 227 164 193 Code 83 84 85 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO	21 13 31 82	
Code 17 39 49 54 56 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 6 13 9 6 14 13 Code 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 Frequency 34 34 58 60 37 44 166 313 261 159 227 164 193 2 Code 83 84 85 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TOTAL	21 13 31 82	
Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 6 13 9 6 14 13 Code 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 Frequency 34 34 58 60 37 44 166 313 261 159 227 164 193 3 Code 83 84 85 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TOTAL	21 13 31 82	
Frequency 34 34 58 60 37 44 166 313 261 159 227 164 193 3 Code 83 84 85 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TOTAL 10 11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	31 82 52 274	
Frequency 271 288 282 312 269 31 3,842 ANALYSIS 19 VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO		
VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO		
VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO		
VARIABLE V24 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-MO Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO		
Code 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 99 TO		
Frequency 295 303 372 338 348 349 315 266 288 337 338 292 1 3,8	1L 12	
ANALYSIS 20		
VARIABLE V25 DATE SENTENCE CAP OFF-YR		
Code 39 49 54 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 Frequency 1 1 1 1 2 6 12 10 6 14 13 21	67 68 13 34	
Code 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 Frequency 33 57 57 43 44 140 298 225 123 147 94 95 85	32 83 77 38	

 Code
 84
 85
 86
 99
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 42
 5
 4
 2,099
 3,842

ANALYSIS 21

VARIABLE V26 INMATE STATUS ON 12/31

Code 1 2 9 TOTAL Frequency 1,984 1,596 262 3,842

ANALYSIS 22

VARIABLE V27 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-12/31

Code 2 3 9 TOTAL Frequency 11 2,400 1,431 3,842

ANALYSIS 23

VARIABLE V28 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-MONTH

Code 8 10 11 12 99 TOTAL Frequency 2 2 6 1 3,831 3,842

ANALYSIS 24

VARIABLE V29 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-YEAR

 Code
 84
 85
 86
 87
 99
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 1
 1
 7
 2
 3,831
 3,842

1989 CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IN THE U.S., COMBINED FILE (ICPSR-5210)

À	N	Α	L	Υ	S	Ι	S	2	5

ANALYSIS 28 VARIABLE

Frequency

Code

Väa

1,143

CUR STA INM-REM DEA SENT

3 198

13

2

194

5 26

ANALYSIS 25															
VARIABLE	V3O REA	S INMA	REMOV D	EA SEN											
Code Frequency	1 93	2 61	3 471	4 121	5 780	6 319	7 . 8	9 1,989	TOTAL 3,842						
ANALYSIS 26															
VARIABLE		S INMAT	TE REMOVE	-монтн											
Code Frequency	1 130	2 89	3 103	4 124	5 118	6 205	7 413	8 84	9 121	10 152	11 133	12 187	99 1,983	TOTAL 3,842	
													•		
ANALYSIS 27															
VARIABLE	V32 REA	S INMAT	E REMOVE	-YEAR											
Code Frequency	73 240	74 55	75 67	76 317	77 156	78 150	79 61	80 101	81 83	82 79	83 122	84 90	85 126	86 98	
Code Frequency		DTAL ,842													
		•													
					- '.										

7 98

8 20

99 1,989

152

TOTAL 3,842

6 9

VARIABLE	V34	CUR STA	INM-REM	DEA SENT											
Code Frequency	6	10 3	15 2	20 11	21	22 1	25 2	30 5	40 5	45 2	50 9	60 1	65 1	70 1	80 1
Code Frequency	90 1	95 1	99 3	100 2	120 1	125 1	150 5	180 1	200 3	300 7	500 1	600 1	900 900	901 65	902 1
Code Frequency	903	904 1,068	905 5	906 1.5	807 1	908	909 1	910 13	911 1	999 2,595	TOTAL 3,842				

ANALYSIS 30

VARIABLE V35 METHOD OF EXECUTION

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 9
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 7
 16
 2
 3,817
 3,842

ANALYSIS 31

VARIABLE V36 NO EXECUTION-CAUSE DEATH

Code 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Frequency 6 4 1 1 3,830 3,842

TABLES

JUN 15, 1989 CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IN THE U.S., HISTORICAL FILE (ICPSR 9210)

ILLEGAL CHARACTERS IN THE DATA WILL BE TREATED AS MISSING DATA 1

THE DATA ARE NOT WEIGHTED

1,743 CASES PASSED FILTER

ANALYSIS 1

VARIABLE V3 ICPSR PART NUMBER

Code 2 TOTAL Frequency 1,743 1,743

ANALYSIS 2

VARIABLE V7 SEX

Code 1 2 TOTAL Frequency 1,708 35 1,743

ANALYSIS 3

VARIABLE V8 RACE

Code 1 2 3 4 5 TOTAL Frequency 927 798 7 3 8 1,743

ANALYSIS 4

VARIABLE V9 HISPANIC ORIGIN

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 49
 864
 830
 1,743

ANALYSIS 5	Δ	NΑ	LY	SI	S	5
------------	---	----	----	----	---	---

VARIABLE	V10	DATE O	F BIRTH M	ONTH											
Code Frequency	1 139		2 3 6 162		5 111	6 134	7 163	8 158	9 131	10 147	11 126	12 154	99 7	TOTAL 1,743	
ANALYSIS 6															
VARIABLE	V 1 1	DATE O	F BIRTH Y	EAR											
Code Frequency	1	!	5 7 1 2	8 2	9	10 1	11	12 1	13 1	15 2	17 3	18 3	19 7	20 5	21 5
Code Frequency	22 7	. 2	3 24 6 5	25 8	26 6	27 8	28 12	29 8	30 11	31 14	32 12	33 20	34 16	35 20	36 24
Code Frequency	37 18				41 41	42 58	43 51	44 52	45 70	46 66	47 94	48 62	49 85	50 100	51 87
Code Frequency	52 78				56 92	57 66	58 47	59 40	60 25	61 16	62 15	63 8	64 1	65 3	66 1

Code TOTAL Frequency 1,743

ANALYSIS 7

VARIABLE V12 CAP OFF IMPRIS-MURDER

Code 1 TOTAL Frequency 1,743 1,743

ANALYSIS 8

VARIABLE V13 CAP OFF IMPRIS-RAPE

Code 2 TOTAL Frequency 1,743 1,743

ANALYSIS 9

VARIABLE V14 CAP OFF IMPRIS-KIDNAP

Code 2 TOTAL Frequency 1,743 1,743

ANALYSIS 10

VARIABLE V15 MARITAL STAT-1ST CAP OFF

Code 1 2 3 4 5 TOTAL Frequency 566 278 47 756 96 1,743

ANALYSIS 11

VARIABLE V16 HIGH LEV ED-1ST CAP OFF

7 10 11 12 TOTAL Code 2 5 6 8 3 -. 4 6 197 154 16 388 1.743 Frequency 175 225 155 342 39 41

ANALYSIS 12

VARIABLE V17 LEGAL STA AT TIME CAP OF

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 1,057
 122
 42
 154
 25
 49
 12
 282
 1,743

VARIABLE V18 PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 546
 366
 831
 1,743

ANALYSIS 14

VARIABLE V19 PRIOR CONVICT-CRIM HOMIC

Code 1 2 3 8 TOTAL Frequency 35 172 14 1,522 1,743

ANALYSIS 15

VARIABLE V20 DATE ARREST CAP OFF-MON

Code 2 5 99 TOTAL Frequency 1 1 1,741 1,743

ANALYSIS 16

VARIABLE V21 DATE ARREST CAP OFF-YR

Code 74 82 99 TOTAL Frequency 1 1 1,741 1,743

ANALYSIS 17

VARIABLE V22 DATE CONVICT CAP OFF-MO

11 12 99 TOTAL Code 2 . 5 6 .7 9 10 1,743 152 172 148 32 Frequency 141 121 165 160 126 131 118 144 133



ANA	LY:	SIS	: 18
-----	-----	-----	------

Code Frequency 85 5

86 4

TOTAL 1,743

MINALISIS IC	•															
VARIABLE	V23	DATE	CONVIC	T CAP	DFF-YR											
Code Frequency	17		39 1	49 1	54 1	56 1	58 1	59 2	60 6	61 13	62 9	63 6	64 14	65 13	66 21	67 13
Code Frequency	68 34		69 34	70 58	71 60	72 37	73 44	74 138	75 284	76 231	77 119	78 153	79 89	80 89	81 84	82 74
Code Frequency	83 33		84 39	85 6	86 3	99 31	TOTAL 1,743									
ANALYSIS 19	9											-				
VARIABLE	V24	DATE	SENTEN	ICE CAP	OFF-MO											
Code Frequency	1 144		2 122	3 171	4 161	5 160	6 166	7 135	8 127	9 125	10 144	11 146	12 141	99 1	TOTAL 1,743	
ANALYSIS 20)															

Code Frequency	725 DA 39	49 1	54	57 1	58 1	59	60 6	61 12	62 10	63 6	64 14	65 13	66 21	67 13	68 34
Code	69	70	7 1	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83
Frequency	33	57	57	43	44	140	298	225	123	147	94	95	85	77	38

ANALYSIS 21

VARIABLE V26 INMATE STATUS ON 12/31

Code 2 9 TOTAL Frequency 1,481 262 1,743

ANALYSIS 22

VARIABLE V27 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-12/31

Code 3 9 TOTAL Frequency 317 1,426 1,743

ANALYSIS 23

VARIABLE V28 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-MONTH

Code 99 TOTAL Frequency 1,743 1,743

ANALYSIS 24

VARIABLE V29 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-YEAR

Code 99 TOTAL Frequency 1,743 1,743

ANALYSIS 25

VARIABLE V30 REAS INMAT REMOV DEA SEN

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 9
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 68
 50
 471
 116
 734
 291
 8
 5
 1,743

		-		1	
JUN 15, 39	CAPITAL PUNISHMENT	IN THE U.S.,	HISTORICAL	FILE (IC	9210)

Code Frequency

ANALYSIS 26	i														
VARIABLE	V31 REA	S IN	MATE REMOVE-	-MONTH											
Code Frequency	1 124	2 79	3 96	4 113	5 105	6 192	7 402	8 78	9 108	10 141	11 124	12 180	99 1	TOTAL 1,743	
ANALYSIS 27															
VARIABLE	V32 REA	S IN	MATE REMOVE-	YEAR											
Code Frequency	73 240	74 55	75 67	76 317	77 156	78 150	79 61 -	80 101	81 83	82 78	83 122	84 90	85 126	86 97	TOTAL 1,743
*															
ANALYSIS 28	,														
VARIABLE	V33 CUR	STA	INM-REM DEA	SENT											
Code Frequency	1 1,090	2 181	3 191	4 10	5 23	6 9	7 98	8 20	9. 117	99 4	TOTAL 1,743				
ANIAL VETE OF									-						
ANALYSIS 29		CTA	TABLE DEM DE	CENT											
VARIABLE			INM-REM DEA			-				<u></u> .					
Code Frequency	6	10 3	15 2	20 11	21 1	22 1	25 2	30 5	40 5	45 2	50 8	60 1	65 1	70 1	80 1
Code Frequency	90	99 3	100 2	120 1	150 5	. 18Q 1	200 3	300	500 1	600 1	900	901 65	902	903	904 1,018

 TOTAL 1,743

ANALYSIS 30

VARIABLE V35 METHOD OF EXECUTION

Code 9 TOTAL Frequency 1,743 1,743

ANALYSIS 31

VARIABLE V36 NO EXECUTION-CAUSE DEATH

Code 1 9 TOTAL Frequency 1 1,742 1,743

JUN 16, 1989 CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IN THE U.S., CURRENT FILE (ICPSR 9210)

ILLEGAL CHARACTERS IN THE DATA WILL BE TREATED AS MISSING DATA 1

THE DATA ARE NOT WEIGHTED

2,099 CASES PASSED FILTER

ANALYSIS 1

VARIABLE V3 ICPSR PART NUMBER

Code 3 TOTAL Frequency 2,099 2,099

ANALYSIS 2

VARIABLE V7 SEX

Code 1 2 TOTAL Frequency 2,076 23 2,099

ANALYSIS 3

VARIABLE V8 RACE

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 4
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 1,203
 868
 18
 10
 2,099

ANALYSIS 4

VARIABLE V9 HISPANIC ORIGIN

Code 1 2 3 TOTAL Frequency 126 1,746 227 2,099 TABLES

1

ANALYSI:	55
----------	----

VARIABLE	V 10 D	ATE OF B	IRTH MONT	ГН											
Code Frequency	1 186	2 172	- 3 176	4 172	5 160	6 166	7 173	8 179	9 188	10 189	11 155	12 182	99	TOTAL 2.099	
ANALYSIS 6															
VARIABLE	V11 D	ATE OF B	IRTH YEAR	₹ ,											
Code Frequency	11 1.	15	19 1	21 1	23 2	24	25 3	26 1	27 3	28 6	29 6	30 6	3 1 6	32 3	33 4
Code Frequency	34 5	35 9	36 17	37 18	38 13	39 19	40 26	4 1 27	42 32	43 29	44 46	45 61	46 55	47 59	48 61
Code Frequency	49 66	50 70	51 99	52 77	53 70	54 97	55 100	56 105	57 110	58 121	59 131	60 117	61 82	62 84	63 87
Code Frequency	64 52	65 44	66 31	67 23	68 5	69 5	71 1	TOTAL 2,099							

VARIABLE V12 CAP OFF IMPRIS-MURDER

 Code
 1
 2
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 2,098
 1
 2,099

ANALYSIS 8

VARIABLE V13 CAP OFF IMPRIS-RAPE

Code 1 2 TOTAL Frequency 1 2,098 2,099

ANALYSIS 9

VARIABLE V14 CAP OFF IMPRIS-KIDNAP

Code 2 TOTAL Frequency 2,099 2,099

ANALYSIS 10

VARIABLE V15 MARITAL STAT-1ST CAP OFF

Code 1 2 3 4 5 TOTAL Frequency 595 440 43 916 105 2,099

ANALYSIS 11

VARIABLE V16 HIGH LEV ED-1ST CAP OFF

7 9 10 TOTAL Code 3 4 5 6 8 11 12 251 31 2,099 Frequency 193 195 210 226 625 62 63 16 223

ANALYSIS 12

VARIABLE V17 LEGAL STA AT TIME CAP OF

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 1,091
 105
 121
 365
 37
 57
 23
 300
 2,099

ANALYSIS 13

VARIABLE V18 PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS

Code 1 2 3 TOTAL Frequency 1,318 650 131 2,099

ANALYSIS	14		

VARIABLE	V19 PF	RIOR CON	VICT-CRIM	HOMIC											
Cade Frequency	1 122	2 5	3 12	4 21	5 2	6 993	7 53	8 891	TOTAL 2,099						
ANALYSIS 15	; ;														
VARIABLE	V20 DA	TE ARRES	ST CAP OF	F-MON								-			
Code Frequency	1 73	2 65	3 49	4 65	5 44	6 55	7 64	8 68	9 58	10 58	11 49	12 67	99 1,384	TOTAL 2,099	
			-												
ANALYSIS 16	<u>.</u>														÷
VARIABLE	V21 DA	TE ARRES	ST CAP OF	F-YR											
Code Frequency	74 11	75 11	- 76 9	77 26	78 27	79 24	80 40	81 48	82 59	83 71	84 88	85 118	86 143	87 40	99 1,384
Code Frequency	TOTAL 2,099														·
				· .											
ANALYSIS 17									-						
VARIABLE	V22 DA	TE CONVI	ICT CAP O	FE-MO											
Code Frequency	1 151	2 197	3 197	4 187	5 175	6 190	7 180	8 152	9 156	10 202	11 178	12 134	TOTAL 2,099		

ANA	ıŁ١	15	TS.	- 1	Я

AIIII-1010 10															
VARIABLE V23	DAT	E CONV	ICT CAP O	FF-YR											
Code Frequency	74 28	75 29	76 30	77 40	78 74	79 75	80 104	81 178	82 200	83 238	84 249	85 276	309	87 269	TOTAL 2,099
ANALYSIS 19															
VARIABLE V24	DAT	E SENT	ENCE CAP	OFF-MO											
Code Frequency	1 151	2 181	3 201	4 177	5 188	6 183	7 180	8 139	9 163	10 193	11 192	12 151	TOTAL 2,099		
ANALYSIS 20															
VARIABLE V25	DAT	E SENT	ENCE CAP	OFF-YR							•				
Code Frequency	74 21	75 21	76 24	77 36	78 63	79 80	80 109	81 165	82 210	83 226	84 255	85 284	86 307	87 298	TOTAL 2,099

ANALYSIS 21

VARIABLE INMATE STATUS ON 12/31 V26

Code 2 TOTAL 115 2,099 Frequency 1,984

ANALYSIS 22

VARIABLE INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-12/31 V27

Code 2 3 TOTAL Frequency 11 2,083 2,099

VARIABLE V28 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-MONTH

 Code
 8
 10
 11
 12
 99
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 2
 2
 6
 1
 2,088
 2,099

ANALYSIS 24

VARIABLE V29 INMATE ES/MNT HOSP-YEAR

 Code
 84
 85
 86
 87
 99
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 1
 1
 7
 2
 2,088
 2,099

ANALYSIS 25

VARIABLE V30 REAS INMAT REMOV DEA SEN

Code 1 2 4 5 6 9 TOTAL Frequency 25 11 5 46 28 1,984 2,099

ANALYSIS 26

VARIABLE V31 REAS INMATE REMOVE-MONTH

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 99
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 6
 10
 7
 11
 13
 13
 11
 6
 13
 11
 9
 7
 1,982
 2,099

ANALYSIS 27

VARIABLE V32 REAS INMATE REMOVE-YEAR

Code 82 86 87 99 TOTAL Frequency 1 1 115 1,982 2,099

ANALYSIS 28

VARIABLE V33 CUR STA INM-REM DEA SENT

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 9
 99
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 53
 13
 7
 3
 3
 35
 1,985
 2,099

ANALYSIS 29

VARIABLE V34 CUR STA INM-REM DEA SENT

 Code
 50
 95
 125
 904
 999
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 1
 1
 1
 50
 2,046
 2,099

ANALYSIS 30

VARIABLE V35 METHOD OF EXECUTION

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 9
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 7
 16
 2
 2,074
 2,099

ANALYSIS 31

VARIABLE V36 NO EXECUTION-CAUSE DEATH

 Code
 1
 2
 3
 4
 9
 TOTAL

 Frequency
 5
 4
 1
 1
 2,088
 2,099